



**LUCAPA DIAMOND COMPANY LIMITED (ASX: LOM)
QUARTERLY ACTIVITIES REPORT FOR PERIOD ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2014**

HIGHLIGHTS

- **Lucapa commences diamond mining at the Lulo Diamond Concession in Angola after signing agreements for a 35 year alluvial mining licence**
- **Phase 1 mining commences with preliminary in-situ diamond grades of 17.33 carats per 100m³**
- **Term sheet signed for US\$15 million bridge facility to fund initial capital requirements**
- **Sale of third parcel of Lulo diamonds planned for the current quarter**
- **Independent review of ~300 kimberlite targets at Lulo being finalised to guide new 2015/6 kimberlite exploration program**
- **More exceptional diamond recoveries from ongoing bulk sampling programs at Lulo, including >35 carats per 100m³ from BLK_29 and individual stones of up to 34.70 carats**
- **Board restructuring completed for transition to mining, with diamond industry executives Stephen Wetherall and Nick Selby assuming key roles**



25.05 carat Type IIa diamond recovered from alluvial bulk sample BLK_29 during the Quarter

OVERVIEW

Lucapa Diamond Company Limited (ASX: LOM) ("Lucapa" or the "Company") is a miner of world class diamonds. Lucapa is the sole operator of the 3,000km² Lulo Diamond Concession in Angola's Lunda Norte diamond province ("Lulo").

Lulo is easily accessed via sealed roads running through the concession and is located close to Catoca, the world's fourth biggest kimberlite diamond mine, and on the same favourable geological trend (Lucapa Graben).

Lucapa has been undertaking diamond bulk sampling activities continuously at Lulo since 2008, during which time the Company has discovered vast areas of diamond-rich alluvial gravels along the Caculo River.

Lucapa's alluvial bulk sampling programs have consistently produced exceptional diamonds, including special stones of up to 131.4 carats, a high provenance of Type IIa diamonds, D-colour exceptional whites and fancy colours (pinks and yellows).

To date, Lucapa has sold two parcels of Lulo diamonds weighing 867.5 carats for approximately A\$6 million, representing an exceptional average sale price of close to A\$7,000/carats.



Lulo diamonds – exceptional quality and premium value

QUARTERLY ACTIVITIES REPORT FOR PERIOD ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2014

In November 2014, Lucapa and its partners signed agreements for a 35 year licence to mine the alluvial diamonds at Lulo. Alluvial diamond mining commenced at Lulo in January 2015.

Lucapa has infrastructure in place at Lulo to support the Company's mining and exploration activities, including a 150 tonne per hour (tph) diamond treatment plant, a smaller 15tph plant, an expanding fleet of earthmoving equipment and an established camp.

Lucapa has also identified approximately ~300 kimberlite targets or anomalies at Lulo. Preliminary sampling work has already confirmed that four kimberlites at Lulo are diamond bearing pipes.

Lucapa's Board and management team has extensive diamond mining experience with top tier diamond mining companies including De Beers, Rio Tinto and Gem Diamonds.

Lucapa operates Lulo in partnership with Endiama (the Angolan Government's diamond investment concessionary) and private group Rosas & Petalas.

DIAMOND MINING LICENCE

During the Quarter, Lucapa achieved a significant milestone with the signing of three agreements for a 35 year alluvial mining licence at Lulo (See ASX announcement 26 November 2014). The mining licence covers an area of 218km² within the Lulo concession which includes more than 50km of the Caculo River, its valleys and terraces.



Televised signing ceremony for 35 year Lulo alluvial mining licence in Luanda on Friday 21 November 2014


QUARTERLY ACTIVITIES REPORT FOR PERIOD ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2014

Significantly, the three mining licence agreements were concluded and signed within five months of the formal licence application being lodged; underlining the strong relationships Lucapa has built with the Angolan authorities and its in-country partners.



Lucapa's 150 tonne per hour diamond plant at Lulo

The mining licence was the culmination of six years of continuous investment in exploration and bulk sampling programs for both kimberlite and alluvial diamonds at Lulo and represents a critical step towards Lucapa's goal of building a premium diamond mining house.



Alluvial diamond mining licence area within the 3,000km² Lulo concession

In parallel with the mining licence agreement, Lucapa also negotiated a new shareholders' agreement with Endiama and Rosas & Petalas, along with By Laws for a new and to be incorporated diamond mining company. Lucapa retains a 40% shareholding in the new mining company and continues as sole operator of the Lulo diamond mine. The new agreements also include pre-emptive rights for Lucapa and its fellow shareholders.

Key points of the mining licence agreements include:

- Licence is for an initial 35 year term, the maximum period under Angola's new Mining Code
- Licence includes rolling 10 year extension options
- Lucapa is able to repatriate its share of dividends and capital gains
- Licence agreement enables Lucapa to be repaid all past and future alluvial exploration and development expenditures from free cash flow
- Favourable tax and royalty regime including 25% corporate tax rate and 5% royalty rate
- Ability for continuous (24 hour) mining operations
- Lucapa's kimberlite and alluvial exploration licences at Lulo remain valid and in place until May 2016. Lucapa may re-apply for extensions to these exploration licences towards the end of their terms, in line with the Mining Code.

PHASE 1 DIAMOND MINING

In line with the guidance provided in the ASX announcement of 18 December 2014, Lucapa successfully commenced alluvial diamond mining operations at Lulo in January 2015.

Phase 1 targets a progressive increase in mining throughput to a targeted range of up to 14,000 bulk cubic metres (bcm) per month before the end of H1 2015. Phase 1 will focus on areas which produced higher grades during bulk sampling (See ASX announcement 18 December 2014) (See Alluvial Bulk Sampling Section).

Thereafter, Phase 2 mining activities are scheduled to ramp up to a targeted plant throughput rate of 40,000 bcm per month. It is planned that this throughput increase will be achieved primarily through the sourcing of additional earth moving fleet and in-field screening units.



Diamond mining activity at mining block 29

During the Quarter, Lucapa announced (See ASX announcement 18 December 2014) the Company was evaluating debt financing options to fund efficiency and operational improvements to the Company's 150 tph diamond treatment plant to help achieve its Phase 1 monthly processing targets.

These improvements include:

- Modifying the receiving module of the 150 tph treatment plant into a full wet front end to allow for optimal wet gravel reception during the heavy rainfall months
- Investment in a new recovery plant with x-ray transmissive technology to increase capacity and optimise recovery of low luminescent Type IIa diamonds, which bulk sampling results have shown are a significant portion of the diamond population
- Working capital for Phase 1 to ramp up mining throughput to a targeted rate of 14,000 bcm per month by end of H1 2015

On 21 January 2015, Lucapa announced it had signed a term sheet with a well-established mining investment company for a US\$15 million bridge financing facility to fund the efficiency and operational improvements and related working capital requirements to ramp up throughput to the 14,000 bcm/month target.

The US\$15 million facility will also cover funding for the 2015/16 Lulo kimberlite exploration program, which is currently under review. (See Kimberlite Review section).



Lucapa's 150 tph diamond plant

The funding facility remains subject to an exclusive 40-day due diligence period, legal documentation and various other approvals, as set out in the Company's ASX announcement of 21 January 2015. The due diligence commenced immediately and is on-going.

On 22 January 2014, Lucapa announced the Company had completed the preliminary processing of mining at the block 29 area (Figure 1), which produced a highly-encouraging diamond in-situ grade of 17.33 carats per 100 cubic metres (per 100m³) (Table 1).

Cubic metres	Carats	In-situ grade (per 100m ³)	Stones No.	Average size (Cts/stn)
1,721	266.70	17.33	286	0.93

Table 1: Diamond recoveries from mining block 29

QUARTERLY ACTIVITIES REPORT FOR PERIOD ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2014

A total of 286 diamonds weighing 266.70 carats were recovered from block 29 over the first eight days of alluvial diamond mining for an average stone size of 0.93 carats.

Lucapa moved its mining operations from block 29 after eight days of mining due to very wet ground conditions, but expects to return to this area when conditions improve.

Mining block 29 is the first alluvial diamond area to be mined at Lulo. The initial daily mining and throughput rates are in line with the Company's preliminary internal targets set in its mining ramp up schedule.



Diamonds from mining block 29 at Lulo

THIRD DIAMOND SALE

As previously reported, Lulo has to date sold two parcels of Lulo rough diamonds – weighing 371.35 carats and 496.2 carats respectively – all of which were recovered from bulk sampling programs.

These diamonds sold for gross proceeds of more than A\$6 million, representing an exceptional average sale price of almost A\$7,000 per carat.

Lucapa is seeking approval from its project partners to offer a third parcel of Lulo diamonds for sale in February 2015 (See ASX announcement 18 December 2014).

It is expected the parcel of Lulo diamonds to be sold will be approximately 1,500 carats.

As with the previous two parcels, the diamonds will be sold through the Angolan Government diamond marketing agency, SODIAM, in the Angolan capital of Luanda.

The Lulo diamonds intended to be sold will include a parcel of 385.4 carats previously assessed and valued US\$1,239 per carat (See ASX announcement 30 October 2014). The most valuable stone in the 385.4 carat parcel was a 10.15 carat Type IIa diamond which achieved an interim valuation exceeding US\$18,000/carat.



Lulo diamonds from the 385.4 carat parcel, including the 10.15 carat gem

Significantly, testing with a Yehuda ZVI colorimeter confirmed that 37% of the alluvial diamonds in the 385.4 carat parcel were Type IIa, which are the world's rarest category of white diamonds, accounting for approximately 1% of global diamond production.

ALLUVIAL BULK SAMPLING – RESULTS AND REVIEW


Lucapa continues to process gravels from both alluvial mining and exploration bulk sampling.

During the Quarter, the Company continued to achieve exceptional diamond recoveries from its alluvial bulk sampling programs.

As announced to the ASX on 8 December 2014, the BLK_29 bulk sample (Table 4) achieved diamond in-situ grades of more than 35 carats per 100m³, underlining the exceptional nature and potential of the Lulo diamond concession.

QUARTERLY ACTIVITIES REPORT FOR PERIOD ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2014

The gems recovered from BLK_29 included diamonds weighing 34.70 carats, 25.05 carats, 17.35 carats and 9.90 carats. The average size of the diamonds recovered from BLK_29 was 1.81 carats, with a high proportion of Type IIa stones (See ASX announcements 27 November 2014 and 8 December 2014).



Diamonds from bulk sample BLK_29

The most recent bulk sample completed at Lulo, BLK_31, also produced extremely encouraging results (Table 2). This bulk sample produced an in-situ grade of 15.7 carats per 100m³ and included diamonds weighing 14.40 carats, 6.90 carats, 6.60 carats and 4.35 carats. The average stone size from BLK_31 was an exceptional 2.18 carats per stone.

Month	In-situ Gravel Volume (m ³)	No. of diamonds	Size Distribution					Diamond weight (ct)	Average size (ct/st)	Grade (ct/100 m ³)	Largest diamond (ct)
			<1ct	1-2ct	2-5ct	5-10ct	>10ct				
Dec 2014	249.4	18	12	2	1	2	1	39.15	2.18	15.70	14.40

Table 2: Bulk sampling results from BLK_31



Bulk sampling operations at Lulo

QUARTERLY ACTIVITIES REPORT FOR PERIOD ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2014

During the Quarter, Lucapa also completed a review of bulk sample results and diamond size distributions at Lulo, as at 18 December 2014, as part of the Company's mine planning process (See Figure 1 and Tables 3 and 4) (See ASX announcement 18 December 2014).

(Note: This review did not include the subsequent results from BLK_30 and BLK_31, which are now included in the updated Tables).

A breakdown of the 1,728 diamonds recovered is shown in Table 3:

	Diamonds < 3 cts	Diamonds > 3 cts and < 10.8 cts	Diamonds > 10.8 cts	Total	All Diamonds > 3 cts
Number	1,619	94	15	1,728	109
- as a % of total	94%	5%	1%		6%
Weight	930.75	468.75	543.25	1,942.75	1,012.00
- as a % of total	48%	24%	28%		52%
Ave Stone size	0.57	4.99	36.22	1.12	9.28
Largest diamond	2.75	10.15	131.40	131.40	131.40

Table 3: Summary of bulk sampling results

In summary, Table 3 shows:

- Diamonds > 3 carats in weight:
 - Represent 52% of the carats recovered to date – but only 6% of the stones recovered;
 - Average stone size of this category is 9.28 carats per stone
- Diamonds > 3 carats < 10.8 carats in weight:
 - Represent 24% of the carats recovered to date – but only 5% of the stones recovered;
 - Average stone size of this category is 4.99 carats per stone
- Diamonds > 10.8 carats in weight:
 - Represent 28% of the carats recovered to date – but only 1% of the stones recovered;
 - Average stone size of this category is 36.22 carats per stone

The large average diamond stone size recovered to date, coupled with top white colour and quality diamonds, a high Type IIa population and the recovery of a number of fancy colour diamonds, illustrates the exceptional potential of the Lulo diamond field.

QUARTERLY ACTIVITIES REPORT FOR PERIOD ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2014

Bulk Sample No	<i>In-situ</i> Volume Treated (m³)	Carats	Stones	<i>In-situ</i> Grade (cphm³)	Avg stone-size (ct/stn)	Largest stone (ct)
BLK_01	232.00	4.80	7	2.07	0.69	1.45
BLK_02	368.30	47.60	44	12.92	1.08	22.25
BLK_03	276.30	31.00	40	11.22	0.78	4.25
BLK_04	256.70	9.20	11	3.58	0.84	5.05
BLK_05	123.50	2.50	7	2.02	0.36	1.50
BLK_06	457.60	184.15	116	40.24	1.59	53.20
BLK_07	310.20	25.30	43	8.16	0.59	2.40
BLK_08	198.90	189.05	24	95.05	7.88	131.40
BLK_09	42.90	0.45	2	1.05	0.23	0.30
BLK_10	117.00	2.65	3	2.26	0.88	2.10
BLK_11	31.20	8.65	5	27.72	1.73	2.75
BLK_12	159.19	3.55	5	2.23	0.71	2.40
BLK_13	259.88	19.95	20	7.68	1.00	5.35
BLK_14	240.00	52.45	52	21.85	1.01	6.95
BLK_15-17	69.70	1.00	3	1.43	0.33	0.50
BLK_18	3,361.16	159.80	202	4.75	0.79	9.30
BLK_19	971.55	318.85	147	32.82	2.17	95.45
BLK_20	1,164.33	110.30	87	9.47	1.27	13.30
BLK_21	1,138.32	69.75	124	6.13	0.56	6.25
BLK_22	1,603.44	56.00	82	3.49	0.68	6.30
BLK_23	907.29	61.00	54	6.72	1.13	10.15
TMB-1	3,629.16	293.50	392	8.09	0.75	16.50
BLK_24	391.68	35.10	52	8.96	0.68	4.25
BLK_25	333.54	31.85	43	9.55	0.74	3.25
BLK_26	408.51	1.95	7	0.48	0.28	0.40
BLK_27	82.62	0.75	3	0.91	0.25	0.35
BLK_29	449.81	157.50	87	35.01	1.81	34.70
BLK_30	1,118.43	24.95	48	2.23	0.52	2.80
BLK_31	249.40	39.15	18	15.70	2.18	14.40
TOTAL	18,952.61	1,942.75	1,728	10.25	1.12	131.40

Table 4: Summary of bulk sampling results

Note: Some information included in the above table relates to previously released exploration data disclosed under the JORC Code 2004 which has not been updated to comply with the JORC Code 2012 on the basis that the information has not materially changed since it was last reported. This table does not include the subsequent results from BLK_28, which are incomplete.




Figure 1: Location of alluvial bulk samples

KIMBERLITE REVIEW

Lucapa's kimberlite exploration program aims to find the primary source, or sources, of the exceptional alluvial diamonds being mined at Lulo.

As previously announced, aeromagnetic surveys flown over the Lulo concession have successfully identified ~300 kimberlite targets or anomalies in two separate kimberlite provinces (Figure 2).

Preliminary exploration and bulk sampling during 2014 has already confirmed four of the kimberlites to be diamond-bearing pipes (See ASX announcement 1 August 2014).

While Lucapa continues with its pitting program to confirm targets as kimberlitic, the broader Lulo kimberlite exploration program remains subject to a comprehensive independent review commissioned during the Quarter to determine the next critical stage of exploration.

Management has engaged the services of independent kimberlite exploration consultants, with significant experience in Angola, to analyse and review all the results achieved to date.

This review, which has commenced and is planned to be completed by the end of February 2015, will determine the next major phase of the 2015 Lulo kimberlite exploration program.




Figure 2: Kimberlites and targets within the 3,000km² Lulo concession

CORPORATE

As announced to the ASX on 11 December 2014, Lucapa completed a Board restructuring process in line with the Company's transition to a diamond miner. This included the appointment of Mr Stephen Wetherall as Chief Executive Officer and Mr Nick Selby as Chief Operating Officer.

Mr Wetherall, a chartered accountant, and Mr Selby, an extraction metallurgist, have a combined 50 years' experience in the international diamond industry including senior roles with global diamond giant De Beers and London-listed Gem Diamonds.

As part of the Board restructuring process, Managing Director Mr Miles Kennedy moved to the role of Non-Executive Chairman replacing Mr Gordon Gilchrist, who moved to the role of Non-Executive Director.

As announced on 3 December 2014, 833,334 unlisted \$0.90 options, exercisable on or before 2 December 2014, expired without exercise.

For further information, please contact:

STEPHEN WETHERALL
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Tel+61 8 9489 9200

Competent Person's Statement

Information included in this announcement that relates to previously released exploration data disclosed under the JORC Code 2004 has not been updated to comply with the JORC Code 2012 on the basis that the information has not materially changed since it was last reported and is based on and fairly represents information and supporting documentation prepared and compiled by Albert Thamm MSc F.Aus.IMM (CP), who is a Corporate Member of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Mr Thamm is a Director of Lucapa Diamond Company Limited. Mr Thamm has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the Australasian Code for Reporting Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves. Mr Thamm and consents to the inclusion in the announcement of the matters based on this information in the form and context in which it appears.

Forward-Looking Statements

This announcement has been prepared by Lucapa Diamond Company Limited. This document contains background information about Lucapa Diamond Company Limited and its related entities current at the date of this announcement. This is in summary form and does not purport to be all inclusive or complete. Recipients should conduct their own investigations and perform their own analysis in order to satisfy themselves as to the accuracy and completeness of the information, statements and opinions contained in this announcement. This announcement is for information purposes only. Neither this document nor the information contained in it constitutes an offer, invitation, solicitation or recommendation in relation to the purchase or sale of shares in any jurisdiction.

This announcement may not be distributed in any jurisdiction except in accordance with the legal requirements applicable in such jurisdiction. Recipients should inform themselves of the restrictions that apply in their own jurisdiction. A failure to do so may result in a violation of securities laws in such jurisdiction. This document does not constitute investment advice and has been prepared without taking into account the recipient's investment objectives, financial circumstances or particular needs and the opinions and recommendations in this representation are not intended to represent recommendations of particular investments to particular investments to particular persons. Recipients should seek professional advice when deciding if an investment is appropriate. All securities transactions involve risks, which include (among others) the risk of adverse or unanticipated market, financial or political developments.

No responsibility for any errors or omissions from this document arising out of negligence or otherwise is accepted. This document does include forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are only predictions and are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions which are outside the control of Lucapa Diamond Company Limited. Actual values, results, outcomes or events may be materially different to those expressed or implied in this announcement. Given these uncertainties, recipients are cautioned not to place reliance on forward-looking statements.

Any forward-looking statements in this announcement speak only at the date of issue of this announcement. Subject to any continuing obligations under applicable law and ASX Listing Rules, Lucapa Diamond Company Limited does not undertake any obligation to update or revise any information or any of the forward-looking statements in this document or any changes in events, conditions or circumstances on which any such forward-looking statement is based.

**Appendix - Reporting of diamond exploration results for the Lulo Project
- JORC Code (2012) requirements -**

Sampling Techniques and Data

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Lucapa Commentary
Sampling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc.) These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling. Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used. Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bulk sample results are reported. The bulk samples were collected from surface excavations using an excavator and trucks. For kimberlite samples overburden of Kalahari sand and Calonda Formation were stripped and weathered kimberlite was exposed. Sample comprised kimberlitic material only. For alluvial samples overburden of Kalahari sand and Calonda Formation sand and silt were stripped and basal Calonda gravel exposed. The gravel + some underlying basement material (<30cm) was excavated. The sampling is grade control in nature and generally is seeking to identify diamondiferous lithologies. Samples are relatively large (typically >100m³) and by their nature are representative. Diamonds occur in very low concentrations in most lithologies. They also occur as discrete crystal particles and these must be physically separated and recovered to determine grade. Individual diamonds are unique and their value depends on factors including size, shape, colour and clarity. Large samples (tens to hundreds of tonnes) are required to identify the presence of commercial diamonds. Samples in the order of tens of or hundreds of thousands of tonnes are required to establish reliable grade and value for diamond deposits
Drilling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc.) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc.). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No drilling is reported in this document.
Drill sample recovery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed. Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples. Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No drilling is reported in this document Sample recovered using an excavator and front-end loader. Sample area visually inspected and all gravels excavated to basement. For kimberlite samples all materials within the sample interval are processed No relationship appears to exist between sample recovery and grade. All material within the sampled interval is collected for treatment.
Logging	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sample pits are lithologically logged and measured to determine volumes. Logging is semi-quantitative with edge thicknesses measured of the entire pit. Pits are photographed, but the photography is not systematic.

QUARTERLY ACTIVITIES REPORT FOR PERIOD ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2014

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc.) photography. The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All excavated faces of the pits are logged
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken. If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc. and whether sampled wet or dry. For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique. Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples. Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not core. No sub-samples are taken. All material excavated is processed to recover diamonds. Most of the samples are excavated dry and all material is taken. The sampling and sample preparation are identical to those that would be used for mining and are considered appropriate for this type of sampling. Samples are disaggregated during excavation and washed through a scrubber. The process is identical to that which would be used for mining and results are considered representative. Sample size is appropriate for the material being sampled.
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc., the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc. Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Samples are processed through a Dense Media Separation (DMS) plant. Recovery in the size fractions used on the plant is considered total. Samples are processed through the Company's DMS Plant to produce a heavy concentrate. Diamonds are recovered from the heavy concentrate using a Flowsort x-ray sorting machine followed by visual sorting. DMS efficiency is monitored using density beads.
Verification of sampling and assaying	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. The use of twinned holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No verification of sample data at an independent facility has been undertaken due to the very large size of the samples and the lack of appropriate facilities in Angola. Twinned holes are rarely used because of the size of the sample. In the case of the first significantly diamondiferous samples collected from kimberlite Se251, two pits side by side were used to confirm the presence of diamonds. Entry of primary data has been checked and loaded into a sampling spreadsheet. Assay data are not adjusted
Location of data points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation. Specification of the grid system used. Quality and adequacy of topographic control. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sample sites were located using a hand held GPS with a nominal accuracy of about 5m. The grid system is WGS84 Zone 34L Topographic control uses Digital Terrain Models collected during aeromagnetic surveys. In pit measurements are recorded with tape measures

QUARTERLY ACTIVITIES REPORT FOR PERIOD ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2014

<i>Data spacing and distribution</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results. • Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied. • Whether sample compositing has been applied. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Data in this report comes from individual pits where all the material from that pit has been, or will be processed. • The pit spacing is currently related to exploration and is not appropriate for Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation. • Sample compositing has not been applied
<i>Orientation of data in relation to geological structure</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type. • If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The samples are considered spot samples within either an alluvial or kimberlitic body. • Insufficient data exists to determine whether sample bias is present but given the nature of the body, bias is considered unlikely.
<i>Sample security</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The measures taken to ensure sample security. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sample stockpiles are located near the company's processing facility and are guarded by armed security personnel at all times. • Security of processing and diamond recovery is monitored by company and Angolan State Diamond Security personnel.
<i>Audits or reviews</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The sampling techniques are industry standard and no audits or reviews have been undertaken.

Reporting of Exploration Results

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Lucapa Commentary
<i>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings. • The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The 1994 legislation covering the Angolan diamond industry stipulates that only ENDIAMA (Empresa Nacional de Diamantes de Angola, the State Diamond Company) or joint ventures with ENDIAMA, can hold diamond mining rights awarded by the Council of Ministers. • Under the terms of the Lulo Joint Venture Association Agreements, separate titles are granted for alluvial and kimberlite mining. The exploration for both alluvials and kimberlites on the Lulo Concession is a requirement under the Act. • The Angolan Government Gazette, dated 24 December 2007, authorized the formation of a Joint Venture for the exercise of prospecting, evaluation and mining of secondary (alluvial) diamond deposits. These rights were granted for a maximum period of five years. Should the Joint Venture wish to extend the agreement beyond five years, then 50% of the Concession would be relinquished. The equity distribution is: ENDIAMA 32%, Lucapa Diamond Company Ltd 40%, Rosas e Petalas S.A. 28% • In May 2014, the authorization for the kimberlite exploration and mining was gazetted. The equity distribution is: ENDIAMA

QUARTERLY ACTIVITIES REPORT FOR PERIOD ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2014

		<p>51%, Lucapa Diamond Company Ltd 39%*, Rosas e Petalas S.A. 19% (*This interest will be reduced to 30% after recoupment of the investment.).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Joint Ventures Alluvial licence was extended for two years to 25 May 2016. The application to extend Kimberlite Licence for two years until 25 May 2016 was also granted to the concession by the Angolan Ministry of Mines. A new 35 year alluvial mining licence was signed on 21 November 2014 creating "Sociedade Mineira Do Lulo, LDA.", an Angolan incorporated company with which Lucapa Diamond Company Ltd has a 40% beneficial interest.
Exploration done by other parties	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limited exploration has been undertaken by state controlled entities. Parts of the area have been exploited by artisanal miners – no records of this work are available.
Geology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Significant diamond bearing alluvial systems, of Mesozoic to Recent ages overlie a major, but relatively poorly explored, kimberlite field. The kimberlite pipes intrude flat-lying Proterozoic sediments within the Lucapa Graben. The kimberlite field is believed to be the source of the alluvial diamonds.
Drill hole Information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> easting and northing of the drill hole collar elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar dip and azimuth of the hole down hole length and interception depth hole length. If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No drilling is reported in this document. The location of the sample pits is shown on maps within this report. The maps provide data on the location and relative elevations of the samples. The sample pits are surface excavations and other data required in the code is not material and its exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report.
Data aggregation methods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No weighting, averaging, grade truncations or cut-off grades have been used. No short or long length aggregation applicable. No metal equivalent values are used

QUARTERLY ACTIVITIES REPORT FOR PERIOD ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2014

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated. 	
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results. If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not known'). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Results quoted are from surface pits. For the alluvial sample, the entire gravel horizon was sampled. For kimberlite samples all material excavated from the pit was processed Non-drillhole, in pit sampling, not applicable length concepts.
Diagrams	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Appropriate map and plans for the reported mineralisation with scale and north points are included with the text of the report.
Balanced reporting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Results reported are complete.
Other substantive exploration data	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Previously reported drilling, pitting and bulk sampling data were used to site bulk sample pits. The collar locations of drill holes, exploration pits and bulk samples are shown on diagrams within the report
Further work	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling). Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Further excavation and processing of material from BLK_30 & BLK_31 is ongoing and results will be reported on completion.

Estimation and Reporting of Diamonds and Other Gemstones

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Lucapa Commentary
Indicator minerals	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reports of indicator minerals, such as chemically/physically distinctive garnet, ilmenite, chrome spinel and chrome diopside, should be prepared by a suitably qualified laboratory. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Samples were collected from hand-dug prospecting pits approximately 0.7m deep Indicator minerals were concentrated and recovered in the field by hand panning of samples. Indicator grains were identified and counted by an experienced Lulo geologist using a x10 Loupe. Only +1mm indicator minerals were counted.
Source of diamonds	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Details of the form, shape, size and colour of the diamonds and the nature of the source of diamonds (primary or secondary) including the 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The diamonds reported have a variety of sizes, shapes and colours. The diamonds were recovered from alluvial gravels of the Mid-

QUARTERLY ACTIVITIES REPORT FOR PERIOD ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2014

	rock type and geological environment.	<p>Cretaceous Calonda conglomerate. These are essentially fanglomerates and braided stream sediments. At Lulo the primary, kimberlitic source of the diamonds are believed to be kimberlites located within the Lulo Concession.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> As described in the report a number of diamonds were also recovered from surface kimberlite samples
Sample collection	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Type of sample, whether outcrop, boulders, drill core, reverse circulation drill cuttings, gravel, stream sediment or soil, and purpose (e.g. large diameter drilling to establish stones per unit of volume or bulk samples to establish stone size distribution). Sample size, distribution and representivity. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Samples reported are bulk samples of alluvial gravels and weathered kimberlite. The samples are designed to determine whether the units sampled are diamondiferous and to what extent. The samples are also designed to determine stone size distribution and eventually diamond values. Lucapa and its co shareholders are conducting exploration activities to locate diamondiferous lithologies. The sample size, distribution and representivity are appropriate for this activity
Sample treatment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Type of facility, treatment rate, and accreditation. Sample size reduction. Bottom screen size, top screen size and re-crush. Processes (dense media separation, grease, X-ray, hand-sorting, etc.). Process efficiency, tailings auditing and granulometry. Laboratory used type of process for micro diamonds and accreditation. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Samples are processed through a DMS plant. The plant uses a 420mm diameter cyclone and has a nominal treatment rate of 150 tonnes per hour. The plant is not accredited. Samples are disaggregated during excavation and washed through a scrubber. The bottom screen size is 1.2mm (slotted) and the top size is 32mm. The recovery process involves DMS separation, X-ray sorting of the heavy concentrate and hand sorting of the X-ray concentrate. Larger diamonds are characterised using a ZVI Yehuda F1000 Colorimeter. Lulo are processing the material through a recently commissioned DMS plant. Processing efficiency has been demonstrated in density bead recovery tests. Tails auditing and granulometry studies have not been completed. Microdiamonds are not reported.
Carat	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> One fifth (0.2) of a gram (often defined as a metric carat or MC). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reported as carats.
Sample grade	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sample grade in this section of Table 1 is used in the context of carats per units of mass, area or volume. The sample grade above the specified lower cut-off sieve size should be reported as carats per dry metric tonne and/or carats per 100 dry metric tonnes. For alluvial deposits, sample grades quoted in carats per square metre or carats per cubic metre are acceptable if accompanied by a volume to weight basis for calculation. In addition to general requirements to assess volume and density there is a need to relate stone frequency (stones per cubic metre or tonne) to stone size (carats per stone) to derive sample grade (carats per tonne). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sample grade is quoted in the text in units of carats per 100 cubic metres for alluvials. A nominal 1.9 tonnes per cubic metre is ascribed to the alluvial gravels and weathered kimberlite. Limited density measurements have been made and the use of an "average" density is considered appropriate for the stage of exploration. The table in the report reports average carats per stone and carats per unit volume. Stones per cubic metre are not reported but can be calculated from the reported data.

QUARTERLY ACTIVITIES REPORT FOR PERIOD ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2014

Reporting of Exploration Results	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Complete set of sieve data using a standard progression of sieve sizes per facies. Bulk sampling results, global sample grade per facies. Spatial structure analysis and grade distribution. Stone size and number distribution. Sample head feed and tailings particle granulometry. • Sample density determination. • Per cent concentrate and undersize per sample. • Sample grade with change in bottom cut-off screen size. • Adjustments made to size distribution for sample plant performance and performance on a commercial scale. • If appropriate or employed, geostatistical techniques applied to model stone size, distribution or frequency from size distribution of exploration diamond samples. • The weight of diamonds may only be omitted from the report when the diamonds are considered too small to be of commercial significance. This lower cut-off size should be stated. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exploration results are reported in the text of the report. • The density for both alluvials and weathered kimberlite samples has been determined at 1.9 tonnes per cubic metre. This number was measured for previous samples and has been applied throughout. An approximation of this sort is considered appropriate for the stage of exploration. • Percent concentrate and undersize have not been measure and are not considered material to the understanding of this report. • Variation in grade with changes in bottom cut-off screen size has not been determined. Lulo's original DMS plant is considered to be a pilot plant and plant parameters are the same as would be used on a commercial plant. A second DMS plant was commissioned in November 2013 and this plant will be used for commercial production. • Geostatistical studies have not been undertaken because of the relatively small number of diamonds recovered and uncertainties of using this data for alluvial deposits. • The total weight of diamonds recovered is reported in the text as are the upper and lower cut-off sizes.
Grade estimation for reporting Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Description of the sample type and the spatial arrangement of drilling or sampling designed for grade estimation. • The sample crush size and its relationship to that achievable in a commercial treatment plant. • Total number of diamonds greater than the specified and reported lower cut-off sieve size. • Total weight of diamonds greater than the specified and reported lower cut-off sieve size. • The sample grade above the specified lower cut-off sieve size. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No Mineral Resources or Ore Reserves are included in the report
Value estimation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Valuations should not be reported for samples of diamonds processed using total liberation method, which is commonly used for processing exploration samples. • To the extent that such information is not deemed commercially sensitive, Public Reports should include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ diamonds quantities by appropriate screen size per facies or depth. ○ details of parcel valued. ○ number of stones, carats, lower size cut-off per facies or depth. • The average \$/carat and \$/tonne value at the selected bottom cut-off should be reported in US Dollars. The value per carat is of critical importance in demonstrating project value. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Value estimates are based on recoveries from a commercial scale DMS plant. Total liberation methods have not been employed. • Much of the detailed or individual diamond valuation data is considered commercially sensitive from a marketing perspective and cannot be released in advance of sale. • Broad details of the parcel valuations are included in the text. • The second parcel of diamonds sold includes all diamonds held by Lulo at the time the valuation was undertaken (February 2014). • The bottom cut-off used is the same as the plant – 1.2 mm slotted screen. • Values are reported in US and/ or Australian Dollars.

QUARTERLY ACTIVITIES REPORT FOR PERIOD ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2014

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The basis for the price (e.g. dealer buying price, dealer selling price, etc.). • An assessment of diamond breakage. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The price quoted is the average sale price per carat. • No significant diamond breakage was recognised.
Security and integrity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Accredited process audit. • Whether samples were sealed after excavation. • Valuer location, escort, delivery, cleaning losses, reconciliation with recorded sample carats and number of stones. • Core samples washed prior to treatment for micro diamonds. • Audit samples treated at alternative facility. • Results of tailings checks. • Recovery of tracer monitors used in sampling and treatment. • Geophysical (logged) density and particle density. • Cross validation of sample weights, wet and dry, with hole volume and density, moisture factor. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There has been no accredited process audit. • Samples were monitored by armed guards after excavation and the process operation was monitored by Angolan State Diamond Security personnel. • Diamonds recovered are stored in a locked vault or in vaults in Sodiam's secure offices in Luanda. The diamonds have not yet been cleaned or valued. • Microdiamonds were not processed • No audit samples were collected because of the size of the bulk samples. • Tailings have not been checked. • Tracer monitors were used in sample treatment with tracer recovery in all tested size fractions >95% for tracers of density 3.5 g/cc • Geophysical densities were not determined. • Gross validation of weights with hole volume and density is not considered appropriate for the stage of exploration
Classification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In addition to general requirements to assess volume and density there is a need to relate stone frequency (stones per cubic metre or tonne) to stone size (carats per stone) to derive grade (carats per tonne). The elements of uncertainty in these estimates should be considered, and classification developed accordingly. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Insufficient diamonds have been recovered to allow Lucapa to quantify the commercial uncertainty in stone size frequency, stone size or diamond grade, as yet.