

Lake Wells Potash (SOP) Project

Best Positioned for Early Market Entry

Scoping Study Presentation

March 2017



Cautionary Statement & Disclaimer

Scoping study – cautionary statement

The Study referred to in this announcement is a preliminary technical and economic investigation of the potential viability of the Lake Wells Potash Project. It is based on low accuracy technical and economic assessments, (+/- 35% accuracy) and is insufficient to support estimation of Ore Reserves or to provide assurance of an economic development case at this stage; or to provide certainty that the conclusions of the Study will be realised.

Approximately 86% of the existing Mineral Resource is in the Indicated category, with the remainder in the Inferred category. There is a low level of geological confidence associated with Inferred mineral resources and there is no certainty that further exploration work will result in the determination of Indicated or Measured Mineral Resources. Furthermore, there is no certainty that further exploration work will result in the conversion of Indicated and Measured Mineral Resources to Ore Reserves, or that the production target itself will be realised.

The Scoping Study is based on the material assumptions outlined below. These include assumptions about the availability of funding. While Australian Potash Limited considers all the material assumptions to be based on reasonable grounds, there is no certainty that they will prove to be accurate or that outcomes indicated by the Study will be achieved.

To achieve the outcomes indicated in this Study, initial funding in the order of A\$175m/US\$135m will likely be required. Investors should note that there is no certainty that Australian Potash Limited will be able to raise funding when needed. It is also possible that such funding may only be available on terms that may be dilutive to or otherwise affect the value of Australian Potash Limited's existing shares.

It is also possible that Australian Potash Limited could pursue other value realisation strategies such as sale, partial sale, or joint venture of the Project. If it does this could materially reduce Australian Potash Limited's proportionate ownership of the Project.

Given the uncertainties involved, investors should not make any investment decisions based solely on the results of this Scoping Study.

Forward looking statements disclaimer

This announcement contains forward-looking statements that involve a number of risks and uncertainties. These forward-looking statements are expressed in good faith and believed to have a reasonable basis. These statements reflect current expectations, intentions or strategies regarding the future and assumptions based on currently available information. Should one or more of the risks or uncertainties materialise, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary from the expectations, intentions and strategies described in this announcement. No obligation is assumed to update forward looking statements if these beliefs, opinions and estimates should change or to reflect other future developments.





Competent Persons Statements

The information in the announcement that relates to Exploration Targets and Mineral Resources is based on information that was compiled by Mr Jeffery Lennox Jolly. Mr Jolly is a principal hydrogeologist with AQ2, a firm that provides consulting services to the Company. Neither Mr Jolly nor AQ2 own either directly or indirectly any securities in the issued capital of the Company. Mr Jolly has over 30 years of international experience. He is a member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists (AIG) and the International Association of Hydrogeologists (IAH). Mr Jolly has experience in the assessment and development of palaeochannel groundwater resources, including the development of water supplies in hypersaline palaeochannels in Western Australia. His experience and expertise is such that he qualifies as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. Mr Jolly consents to the inclusion in this report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

The Hydrogeological information in this report has been prepared by Carsten Kraut, who is a member of the Australasian Institute of Geoscientists (AIG), and International Association of Hydrogeologists (IAH). Carsten Kraut is contracted to the Company through Flux Groundwater Pty Ltd. Carsten Kraut has experience in the assessment and development of palaeochannel groundwater resources, including the development of water supplies in hypersaline palaeochannels in Western Australia. His experience and expertise is such that he qualifies as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. Mr Kraut consents to the inclusion in this report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

The information in this report that relates to Exploration Results is based on information compiled by Brenton Siggs who is a member of the Australasian Institute of Geoscientists (AIG). Mr Siggs is the principal geologist of Reefus Geology Services, a firm that provides geological consulting services to the Company. Mr Siggs is a director and shareholder of Goldphyre WA Pty Ltd, a company that holds ordinary shares and options in the capital of Australian Potash Limited (Australian Potash Limited), Annual Report 2016). Mr Siggs is a Non-Executive Director of Australian Potash Limited. Mr Siggs has sufficient experience relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity currently being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. Mr Siggs consents to the inclusion in this report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.





Capital structure			
ASX Code	APC		
Share price	11.5c		
Shares on issue	221m		
Options (unlisted, various)	30m		
Market Cap.	\$25.4m		
Cash (31 December 2016)	\$4.3m		
Debt	NIL		
Enterprise Value	\$22.7m		

Shareholders					
Board & management	8%				
Significant shareholders	19%				
 Mark Creasy 	13%				
Тор 20	49%				



Introduction

Lake Wells Potash Project

Australian Potash Limited (ASX: APC) has completed a Scoping Study into developing the Lake Wells Potash Project in Western Australia's Eastern Goldfields

- 100% owned Project located in one of the best mining jurisdictions in the world
- of personal Adjustable production rates, low capital, high margin, long mine life
 - Project development pathways simple & well understood





Consultants

Process modelling and plant design

Brine resource and bore field design

Project Development & Management

Geotechnical investigations



SHAWMAC

applied hydrotechnics

Evaporation pond and infrastructure design

Environmental surveys and assessments





Scoping Study team In-house

Alan Rubio

B.Eng. (Mechanical)

Project Manager with +20 years experience in design, study management and project engineering

Shaun Triner B.Sc. (Mineral Science)

Process Manager with many years' experience including 21 years with Rio Tinto Dampier Salt finishing as Manager – Process Development and Technical Marketing

Carsten Kraut M.Sc. (Hydrogeology ...)

Principal Hydrogeologist with 20 years experience in groundwater resource evaluation and development

Brenton Siggs B.App.Sc. (Geology ...)

Exploration Manager with +20 years experience in the Australian exploration and mining industry





Robust Financial Model

Scoping Study shows strong financial returns on a long mine life, low operating expenditure development

Variable

Approximate pre-tax NPV10%*	A\$500m	US\$385			
Approximate pre-tax IRR	33.0%				
LOM average operating pre-tax cashflow [#]	A\$118m p.a.	US\$81m p.a.			
Stage 1	A\$61m p.a.	US\$47m p.a.			
Stage 2	A\$137m p.a.	US\$106m p.a.			
LOM development capital intensity^	A\$1,126/t SOP	US\$868/t SOP			

*Based on Stage 2 being developed #Operating cashflows include all revenue and operating expenditure, but exclude capital expenditure ^Exclusive of LOM sustaining capital and mine closure costs





2 Stage Development

APC will mitigate operational and commodity price risk through developing a staged development approach

Development	Years	Production	CAPEX (incl. contingency)	Payback
Stage 1	1-5	150,000	A\$175m^	2.9 years
Stage 2	6 - 20	300,000	A\$163m#	1.7 years

- Portion of SOP produced through the conversion of imported MOP to SOP using the natural excess sulphate in the brine
- Scoping Study assumes expansion to Stage 2 occurs in Year 5 and the majority of Stage 2 capital expenditure is funded from internal cash flow
- Life of Mine (LOM) is 20 years (inc. Stage 1 & Stage 2) upside to LOM through continued exploration



DGISON





High Margin Operation

Scoping Study modelled SOP sales price and operating expenditure show high operating margin

		CRU	Green Markets	Fertecon	Australian distributors	Average
	Australian landed price per tonne SOP	A\$844	A\$901	A\$801	A\$946	A\$873
	SOP price pe	er tonne used	in Scoping St	udy modellin	g	A\$795
\mathcal{O}						
	Operating ex	xpenditure			US\$/t SOP	A\$/t SOP
	Stage 1				283	368
	Stage 2				261	339
	Life of mine	(20 years)			264	343
	^ CFR costs include ocea # Estimates of A\$ FOT So	an freight (US\$23) and port cl OP sales price using quoted	narges export (US\$23) and in overseas sources	mport (US\$23)		

* FOB Florida



Low Risk Brine Extraction

Brine C extraction ^Omodel is 🔍 simple, lowrisk & technically Simple bore field development

4000m 8000m Section Line 0m 12000 Lake Wells West Long Section West East Groundwater Surface Level 18m at SOP grade 6m at SOP grade Sand, Clay & Silcrete of 10,243 mg/l of 8,117 mg/l 50m Upper Sand 12m at SOP grade 59m 4.25m at SOP grade of 9,367 mg/l of 8,496 mg/l Clay & Silt 100m 24m at SOP grade 18m at SOP grade of 9,277 mg/l of 9,745 mg/l 150m Basal Sand 163.3m 166m 167.7m 7m at SOP grade 170.3m 12m at SOP grade 174m of 9,585 mg/l of 8,351 mg/l 200m Basement 2500m

This method of brine extraction is commonly used in Australia for mining operations' water supply



Evaporation Ponds



On-playa evaporation ponds will be built taking advantage of the natural topographic and lake surface structures





Site Layout

Geotechnical program indicates siting evaporation ponds on playa surface Harvest ponds (red) to be built offplaya and sealed to ensure maximum recovery of potassium



Stage 1: total pond area c.12.7km² (incl. harvest ponds c.2.3km²) Stage 2: total pond area c.25.4km² (incl. harvest ponds c.4.5km²)



Process plant CAPEX estimated ^Oincluding: An MOP to SOP conversion circuit A Granulation plant: APC will produce 100% premium grade granulated SOP



Process Plant



Process Modelling

An MOP to SOP conversion circuit has been modelled within the plant

- NOT a Mannheim conversion process but the simple addition of MOP + water to the existing crystalliser unit
- Takes advantage of the natural excess levels of sulphate in the brine (otherwise wasted)
- MOP to SOP conversion process accounts for significant amounts of SOP global production: Compass Minerals and SDIC – the 2 largest SOP producers in the world

100% premium <u>granular grade</u> SOP has been modelled, with the process plant including a compaction/granulation circuit

 Granulated SOP is priced at a premium of c.US\$50/t to the more common standard grade SOP Lake Wells Potash Project

Focus of Operations

Modelled mine life extracts only 34% of the Indicated Resource in Grade Zone and 33% of the Inferred Resource in the Southern Zone, providing opportunities to extend LOM with inclusion of the Eastern Zone (4.6mt SOP Indicated)





Significant Opportunities for Upside

- of dersonal
- Increase to LOM through continued exploration, and modelling the Eastern Zone (4.6Mt Indicated)
- Modularisation of the plant construction
- Alternative power sources reducing OPEX
- Bulk versus bulka-bag production using the closer Esperance port for exports reducing OPEX
- Early works construction of the evaporation ponds to keep development on the fast track
- Expand product suite to include ancillary products (SOPM and MgSO4) increasing revenue





Timeline & Next Steps



- Optimisation studies, site investigations and continued test work
- Building pilot harvest ponds
- Mining licence applications and permitting and approvals
- Cash balance at 31 December 2016 A\$4.3m APC well funded for next phase of work



Peer Comparison

		POTASH	AMN	KLL	RWD	SO4
Share Price		\$0.125	\$0.60	\$0.40	\$0.39	\$0.54
Market Cap.	A\$m	\$27	\$75	\$56	\$55	\$86
Production	p.a.	150kt > 300kt	370kt	n/s	400kt	200kt > 400kt
Method		Bore Pumps	Trenching	n/s	Trenching	Trenching & Bore Pumps
Stated pre-tax NPV	A\$m	\$500	n/s	n/s	\$534	n/s
Initial Capex (excl. contingency)	A\$m	\$151	\$276	n/s	\$320	\$224
LOM Operating Cost	A\$∕t	\$343	\$369	n/s	\$328	\$241 - \$185
Mine Life		20+	20	n/s	13	20



JORC Mineral Resource Estimate

MCM Mean MCM Weighted Mean Value Weighted Mean Value MT Indicated Resources Western High Grade Zone Surficial Aquifer 5,496 10% 549 3,738 8,336 4,6 Upper Sand 37 25% 9 4,017 8,958 0,1 Clay Aquitard 4,758 6% 308 4,668 9,071 2.8 Basal Sand Aquifer 214 29% 63 4,520 10,080 0.6 Sub Total (MCM / MT) 10,505 919 3,904 8,706 8,1 Subper Sand 22 25% 5 3,345 7,459 0.04 Clay Aquitard 2,689 6% 174 3,362 7,475 0.5 Sub Total (MCM / MT) 6,545 602 3,391 7,563 4.6 Clay Aquitard 2,689 6% 174 3,362 7,475 0.5 Sub Total INCICAT / MT) 6,545 602 3,391 <	Hydrogeological Unit	Volume of Aquifer	Specific Yield	Drainable Brine Volume	K Concentration (mg/L)	SOP Grade (mg/L)	SOP Resource
Indicated Resources Western High Grade Zone Surficial Aquifer 5,496 10% 549 3,738 8,936 4.6 Upper Sand 37 25% 9 4,017 8,958 0.1 Clay Aquifard 4,758 6% 308 4,068 9,071 2.8 Basal Sand Aquifer 214 29% 63 4,520 10,080 0.6 Surficial Aquifer 214 29% 63 4,520 10,080 0.6 Surficial Aquifer 214 29% 63 4,520 10,080 0.6 Surficial Aquifer 3,596 10% 359 3,416 7,617 2.7 Surficial Aquifer 2,689 6% 174 3,362 7,497 1.3 Basal Sand Aquifer 2,675 5 3,345 7,459 0.04 Surficial Aquifer 9,092 10% 907 3,610 8,051 7.3		МСМ	Mean	МСМ	Weighted Mean Value	Weighted Mean Value	МТ
Western High Grade Zone Surficial Aquifer 5,496 10% 549 3,738 8,336 4.6 Upper Sand 37 25% 9 4,017 8,958 0.1 Clay Aquitard 4,758 6% 308 4,068 9,071 2.8 Basal Sand Aquifer 214 29% 6.3 4,520 10,080 0.6 Sub Total (MCM / MT) 10,505 919 3,904 8,706 8.1 Eastern Zone 10% 359 3,416 7,617 2.7 Upper Sand 22 25% 5 3,345 7,459 0.04 Clay Aquitard 2,689 6% 174 3,362 7,477 0.5 Sub Total (MCM / MT) 6.55 602 3,397 7,563 4.6 Surficial Aquifer 9,092 10% 907 3,610 8,051 7.3 Surficial Aquifer 9,092 10% 907 3,610 8,051 7.3 <			Indicated F	Resources			
Surficial Aquifer 5,496 10% 549 3,738 8,336 4.6 Upper Sand 37 25% 9 4,017 8,958 0.1 Clay Aquitard 4,758 6% 308 4,068 9,071 2.8 Basal Sand Aquifer 214 29% 63 4,520 10,080 0.6 Sub Total (MCM / MT) 10,505 919 3,904 8,706 8.1 Eastern Zone Surficial Aquifer 3,596 10% 359 3,416 7,617 2.7 Upper Sand 22 25% 5 3,362 7,497 1.3 Basal Sand Aquifer 2,689 6% 174 3,362 7,475 0.5 Sub Total (MCM / MT) 6,545 602 3,391 7,563 4.6 Surficial Aquifer 9,092 10% 907 3,610 8,051 7.3 Upper Sand 59 25% 15 3,769 8,404 0.1	Western High Grade	Zone					
Upper Sand 37 25% 9 4,017 8,958 0.1 Clay Aquitard 4,758 6% 308 4,068 9,071 2.8 Basal Sand Aquifer 214 29% 63 4,520 10,080 0.6 Sub Total (MCM / MT) 10,505 919 3,904 8,706 8.1 Eastern Zone	Surficial Aquifer	5,496	10%	549	3,738	8,336	4.6
Clay Aquitard 4,758 6% 308 4,068 9,071 2.8 Basal Sand Aquifer 214 29% 63 4,520 10,080 0.6 Sub Total (MCM / MT) 10,505 919 3,904 8,706 8.1 Eastern Zone 8,706 8.1 Surficial Aquifer 3,596 10% 359 3,416 7,617 2.7 Upper Sand 22 25% 5 3,345 7,459 0.04 Clay Aquitard 2,689 6% 174 3,362 7,497 1.3 Basal Sand Aquifer 237 29% 69 3,352 7,475 0.5 Sub Total (MCM / MT) 6,545 602 3,391 7,563 4.6 Upper Sand 59 25% 15 3,610 8,051 7.3 Upper Sand 59 25% 132 3,906 8,711 1.1 Indicated Resource (MCM / MT) 7,050	Upper Sand	37	25%	9	4,017	8,958	0.1
Basal Sand Aquifer 214 29% 63 4,520 10,080 0.6 Sub Total (MCM / MT) 10,505 919 3,904 8,706 8.1 Eastern Zone 8,706 8.1 Surficial Aquifer 3,596 10% 359 3,416 7,617 2.7 Upper Sand 22 25% 5 3,345 7,459 0.04 Clay Aquitard 2,689 6% 174 3,362 7,477 1.3 Basal Sand Aquifer 237 29% 69 3,352 7,475 0.5 Sub Total (MCM / MT) 6,545 602 3,391 7,563 4.6 Total Indicated 5 25% 15 3,769 8,404 0.1 Upper Sand 59 25% 15 3,769 8,404 0.1 Clay Aquitard 7,447 6% 482 3,813 8,503 4.1 Basal Sand Aquifer 452	Clay Aquitard	4,758	6%	308	4,068	9,071	2.8
Sub Total (MCM / MT) 10,505 919 3,904 8,706 8.1 Eastern Zone	Basal Sand Aquifer	214	29%	63	4,520	10,080	0.6
Eastern Zone Surficial Aquifer 3,596 10% 359 3,416 7,617 2.7 Upper Sand 22 25% 5 3,345 7,459 0.04 Clay Aquitard 2,689 6% 174 3,362 7,497 1.3 Basal Sand Aquifer 237 29% 69 3,352 7,475 0.5 Sub Total (MCM / MT) 6,54 602 3,391 7,563 4.6 Surficial Aquifer 9,092 10% 907 3,610 8,051 7.3 Upper Sand 59 25% 15 3,769 8,404 0.1 Clay Aquitard 7,447 6% 482 3,813 8,503 4.1 Basal Sand Aquifer 452 29% 132 3,906 8,711 1.1 Indicated Resource (MCM / MT) 17,050 1,521 3,707 8,267 12.7 Surficial Aquifer 1,296 16% 207 2,742 6,115 1.3 </td <td>Sub Total (MCM / MT)</td> <td>10,505</td> <td></td> <td>919</td> <td>3,904</td> <td>8,706</td> <td>8.1</td>	Sub Total (MCM / MT)	10,505		919	3,904	8,706	8.1
Surficial Aquifer 3,596 10% 359 3,416 7,617 2.7 Upper Sand 22 25% 5 3,345 7,459 0.04 Clay Aquitard 2,689 6% 174 3,362 7,497 1.3 Basal Sand Aquifer 237 29% 69 3,352 7,475 0.5 Sub Total (MCM / MT) 6,545 602 3,391 7,563 4.6 Total Indicated 9,092 10% 907 3,610 8,051 7.3 Upper Sand 59 25% 15 3,769 8,404 0.1 Clay Aquitard 7,447 6% 482 3,813 8,503 4.1 Basal Sand Aquifer 452 29% 132 3,906 8,711 1.1 Indicated Resource (MCM / MT) 17,050 1,521 3,707 8,267 12.7 Surficial Aquifer 1,296 16% 207 2,742 6,115 1.3 Clay Aquitard 1,901<	Eastern Zone						
Upper Sand 22 25% 5 3,345 7,459 0.04 Clay Aquitard 2,689 6% 174 3,362 7,497 1.3 Basal Sand Aquifer 237 29% 69 3,352 7,475 0.5 Sub Total (MCM / MT) 6,545 602 3,391 7,563 4.6 Total Indicated Surficial Aquifer 9,092 10% 907 3,610 8,051 7.3 Upper Sand 59 25% 15 3,769 8,404 0.1 Clay Aquitard 7,447 6% 482 3,813 8,503 4.1 Basal Sand Aquifer 452 29% 132 3,906 8,711 1.1 Indicated Resource (MCM / MT) 17,050 1,521 3,707 8,267 12.7 Southern Zone Inferred Resources Surficial Aquifer 1,296 16% 207 2,742 6,115 1.3 Clay Aquitard 1,901	Surficial Aquifer	3,596	10%	359	3,416	7,617	2.7
Clay Aquitard 2,689 6% 174 3,362 7,497 1.3 Basal Sand Aquifer 237 29% 69 3,352 7,475 0.5 Sub Total (MCM / MT) 6,545 602 3,391 7,563 4.6 Surficial Aquifer 9,092 10% 907 3,610 8,051 7.3 Upper Sand 59 25% 15 3,769 8,404 0.1 Clay Aquitard 7,447 6% 482 3,813 8,503 4.1 Basal Sand Aquifer 452 29% 132 3,906 8,711 1.1 Indicated Resource (MCM / MT) 17,050 1,521 3,707 8,267 12.7 Indicated Resource (MCM / MT) 1,296 16% 207 2,742 6,115 1.3 Clay Aquitard 1,901 6% 114 2,620 5,842 0.7 Basal Sand Aquifer 82 23% 19 2,871 6,401 0.1 Inferred Resources (MCM / MT) 3,279 340 2,674 5,963 2.1 <td>Upper Sand</td> <td>22</td> <td>25%</td> <td>5</td> <td>3,345</td> <td>7,459</td> <td>0.04</td>	Upper Sand	22	25%	5	3,345	7,459	0.04
Basal Sand Aquifer 237 29% 69 3,352 7,475 0.5 Sub Total (MCM / MT) 6,545 602 3,391 7,563 4.6 Total Indicated 9,092 10% 907 3,610 8,051 7.3 Upper Sand 59 25% 15 3,769 8,404 0.1 Clay Aquitard 7,447 6% 482 3,813 8,503 4.1 Basal Sand Aquifer 452 29% 132 3,906 8,711 1.1 Indicated Resource (MCM / MT) 17,050 1,521 3,707 8,267 12.7 Southern Zone Inferred Resources 1.3 </td <td>Clay Aquitard</td> <td>2,689</td> <td>6%</td> <td>174</td> <td>3,362</td> <td>7,497</td> <td>1.3</td>	Clay Aquitard	2,689	6%	174	3,362	7,497	1.3
Sub Total (MCM / MT) 6,545 602 3,391 7,563 4.6 Total Indicated 9,092 10% 907 3,610 8,051 7.3 Upper Sand 59 25% 15 3,769 8,404 0.1 Clay Aquitard 7,447 6% 482 3,813 8,503 4.1 Basal Sand Aquifer 452 29% 132 3,906 8,711 1.1 Indicated Resource (MCM / MT) 17,050 1,521 3,707 8,267 12.7 Surficial Aquifer 1,296 16% 207 2,742 6,115 1.3 Clay Aquitard 1,901 6% 114 2,620 5,842 0.7 Basal Sand Aquifer 82 23% 19 2,871 6,401 0.1 Inferred Resources (MCM / MT) 3,279 340 2,674 5,963 2.1	Basal Sand Aquifer	237	29%	69	3,352	7,475	0.5
Total Indicated Surficial Aquifer 9,092 10% 907 3,610 8,051 7.3 Upper Sand 59 25% 15 3,769 8,404 0.1 Clay Aquitard 7,447 6% 482 3,813 8,503 4.1 Basal Sand Aquifer 452 29% 132 3,906 8,711 1.1 Inferred Resource (MCM / MT) 17,050 1,521 3,707 8,267 12.7 Surficial Aquifer 1,296 16% 207 2,742 6,115 1.3 Clay Aquitard 1,901 6% 114 2,620 5,842 0.7 Basal Sand Aquifer 82 23% 19 2,871 6,401 0.1 Inferred Resources (MCM / MT) 3,279 340 2,674 5,963 2.1	Sub Total (MCM / MT)	6,545		602	3,391	7,563	4.6
Surficial Aquifer 9,092 10% 907 3,610 8,051 7.3 Upper Sand 59 25% 15 3,769 8,404 0.1 Clay Aquitard 7,447 6% 482 3,813 8,503 4.1 Basal Sand Aquifer 452 29% 132 3,906 8,711 1.1 Indicated Resource (MCM / MT) 17,050 1,521 3,707 8,267 12.7 Southern Zone Inferred Resources 5 </td <td>Total Indicated</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Total Indicated						
Upper Sand5925%153,7698,4040.1Clay Aquitard7,4476%4823,8138,5034.1Basal Sand Aquifer45229%1323,9068,7111.1Indicated Resource (MCM / MT)17,0501,5213,7078,26712.7Southern ZoneSurficial Aquifer1,29616%2072,7426,1151.3Clay Aquitard1,9016%1142,6205,8420.7Basal Sand Aquifer8223%192,8716,4010.1Inferred Resources (MCM / MT)3,2793402,6745,9632.1	Surficial Aquifer	9,092	10%	907	3,610	8,051	7.3
Clay Aquitard 7,447 6% 482 3,813 8,503 4.1 Basal Sand Aquifer 452 29% 132 3,906 8,711 1.1 Indicated Resource (MCM / MT) 17,050 1,521 3,707 8,267 12.7 Indicated Resource (MCM / MT) 17,050 1,521 3,707 8,267 12.7 Southern Zone Inferred Resources Sufficial Aquifer 1,296 16% 207 2,742 6,115 1.3 Clay Aquitard 1,901 6% 114 2,620 5,842 0.7 Basal Sand Aquifer 82 23% 19 2,871 6,401 0.1 Inferred Resources (MCM / MT) 3,279 340 2,674 5,963 2.1	Upper Sand	59	25%	15	3,769	8,404	0.1
Basal Sand Aquifer 452 29% 132 3,906 8,711 1.1 Indicated Resource (MCM / MT) 17,050 1,521 3,707 8,267 12.7 Inferred Resources Southern Zone Inferred Resources 1.3 1.3 1.3 Surficial Aquifer 1,296 16% 207 2,742 6,115 1.3 Clay Aquitard 1,901 6% 114 2,620 5,842 0.7 Basal Sand Aquifer 82 23% 19 2,871 6,401 0.1 Inferred Resources (MCM / MT) 3,279 340 2,674 5,963 2.1	Clay Aquitard	7,447	6%	482	3,813	8,503	4.1
Indicated Resource (MCM / MT) 17,050 1,521 3,707 8,267 12.7 Inferred Resources Southern Zone Surficial Aquifer 1,296 16% 207 2,742 6,115 1.3 Clay Aquitard 1,901 6% 114 2,620 5,842 0.7 Basal Sand Aquifer 82 23% 19 2,871 6,401 0.1 Inferred Resources (MCM / MT) 3,279 340 2,674 5,963 2.1	Basal Sand Aquifer	452	29%	132	3,906	8,711	1.1
Inferred Resources Southern Zone 207 2,742 6,115 1.3 Surficial Aquifer 1,296 16% 207 2,742 6,115 1.3 Clay Aquitard 1,901 6% 114 2,620 5,842 0.7 Basal Sand Aquifer 82 23% 19 2,871 6,401 0.1 Inferred Resources (MCM / MT) 3,279 340 2,674 5,963 2.1	Indicated Resource (MCM / MT)	17,050		1,521	3,707	8,267	12.7
Southern Zone Surficial Aquifer 1,296 16% 207 2,742 6,115 1.3 Clay Aquitard 1,901 6% 114 2,620 5,842 0.7 Basal Sand Aquifer 82 23% 19 2,871 6,401 0.1 Inferred Resources (MCM / MT) 3,279 340 2,674 5,963 2.1			Inferred R	esources			
Surficial Aquifer1,29616%2072,7426,1151.3Clay Aquitard1,9016%1142,6205,8420.7Basal Sand Aquifer8223%192,8716,4010.1Inferred Resources (MCM / MT)3,2793402,6745,9632.1	Southern Zone						
Clay Aquitard 1,901 6% 114 2,620 5,842 0.7 Basal Sand Aquifer 82 23% 19 2,871 6,401 0.1 Inferred Resources (MCM / MT) 3,279 340 2,674 5,963 2.1	Surficial Aquifer	1,296	16%	207	2,742	6,115	1.3
Basal Sand Aquifer 82 23% 19 2,871 6,401 0.1 Inferred Resources (MCM / MT) 3,279 340 2,674 5,963 2.1	Clay Aquitard	1,901	6%	114	2,620	5,842	0.7
Inferred Resources (MCM / MT) 3,279 340 2,674 5,963 2.1	Basal Sand Aquifer	82	23%	19	2,871	6,401	0.1
	Inferred Resources (MCM / MT)	3,279		340	2,674	5,963	2.1

Indicated Resource based modelled aquifer volume, mean specific yield and weighted mean K concentrations (derived from modelling)

Summary							
Indicated Resources	17,050	1,521	3,707	8,267	12.7		
Inferred Resources	3,279	340	2,674	5,963	2.1		
Total Resources	20,329	1,861	3,541	7,896	14.7		

Resources do not include exploration target at Lake Wells South (tenement areas south of Southern Zone)



Thankyou



use only OF DEFSONAI