



24 July 2018

## Caula Project – Graphite Mineral Resource increases 317%

Updated Measured Graphite Mineral Resource of 21.9 Mt at 13.4% TGC (8% cut-off)

### Key Points

- **Total Updated JORC (Measured) Resource of 21.9 Mt @ 13.4% TGC (8% cut-off) at the Caula Vanadium-Graphite Project in Mozambique**
- **317% increase in size of Mineral Resource from 702,600 tonnes to 2,933,100 tonnes of contained Graphite**
- **The entire Graphite Resource now in the Measured Resource category and upgraded from the initial December 2017 Inferred Resource**
- **Caula Graphite Resource is subdivided into two zones:**
  - **Oxidised Zone – 8.5 Mt @ 13.4% TGC for 1,130,000 tonnes contained Graphite (8% grade cut-off)**
  - **Fresh Zone – 13.4 Mt @ 13.5% TGC for 1,803,100 tonnes of contained Graphite (8% grade cut-off)**
- **Substantial scope for further growth in the Graphite Resource through exploration**
- **Metalurgical testwork confirms exceptional quality graphite with more than 63% of cumulative proportion in large to super jumbo flakes sizes (>180µm) and excellent concentrate grades of more than 97% TGC**
- **Caula's modified and improved flowsheet design allows for integrated vanadium and graphite extraction**
- **Following the merger of Mustang's ruby project with Fura, Mustang ideally positioned to become a leading vanadium and graphite company**

### COMPANY INFORMATION

Mustang Resources Ltd  
ABN 34 090 074 785  
ASX Code: MUS

Current Shares on Issue:  
958,830,739

Market Capitalisation:  
\$15.34M as at 23 July 2018

### COMPANY DIRECTORS

Ian Daymond  
Chairman

Bernard Olivier  
Managing Director

Cobus van Wyk  
Chief Operating Officer

Christiaan Jordaan  
Director


Evan Kirby  
Director

Twitter: @Mustang\_Res  
mustangresources.com.au

**Mustang Resources Ltd (ASX: MUS, FRA: GGY)** is pleased to announce that its Caula Vanadium-Graphite project in Mozambique has taken another key step towards development with the completion of an upgraded JORC-compliant Graphite Mineral Resource estimate.

The Mineral Resource, which is all in the Measured category, is 21.9Mt at 13.4% Total Graphitic Carbon (“TGC”) (8% cut-off) for a total of 2,933,100 tonnes of contained Graphite. The Mineral Resource is an update from the previous Inferred Graphite Resource of 5Mt at 13% TGC for 702,600 of contained Graphite<sup>1</sup> based upon the completion of eleven additional cored boreholes during November and December 2017. The upgraded Mineral Resource represents a 317% increase in the size of the Mineral Resource from 702,600 tonnes of contained graphite to 2,933,100 tonnes of contained graphite.

Mustang Managing Director Dr. Bernard Olivier said: “This is an exceptional result, Caula’s Graphite Mineral Resource has not only increased over 300% but the entire resource is now in the Measured Category. The combination of the upgraded Graphite Mineral Resource and our recently announced maiden Vanadium Mineral Resource now paves the way for the fast-tracked development of the Caula project into production.”



**Figure 1. Locations of Drill holes and the plan view of mineralisation**

### Geology of the Caula Graphite Deposit

The Caula deposit is located in northern Mozambique in the Cabo Delgado Province. The graphite mineralisation is hosted in quartzitic schists of the Xixano Complex. The most common lithologies include Graphitic Schists, Gneisses and thin Pegmatoidal zones. Sulphides are occasionally

<sup>1</sup> Refer to ASX Announcement dated 1 December 2017

logged but are usually absent. The surrounding country rock consists of Quarzitic and Micaceous Schists and Gneisses.

The project area is situated in the Mozambique Belt of the East African Orogen, and contains highly metamorphosed meta-sediments and meta-volcanics. The rocks of the East-African Orogen are dated 850 – 620 Ma in which metamorphic facies vary from amphibolitic to granulitic.

The mineralised zone is contained within a reclined isoclinal fold structure which dips at roughly 60 degrees to the west (**Error! Reference source not found.**). Due to the region's tectonic history these meta-sediments have been altered to the extent that no sedimentary structure remains.

### Drilling, Sampling and Sub-sampling Techniques

The drilling program comprised of one RC (reverse circulation) and 16 DD (Diamond Drilling) boreholes. The initial part of the hole was drilled with PQ (III) until the rock was competent to be drilled with HQ (III). Drill core was orientated wherever possible. The mineralised core was sampled as half (Leco analysis) and quarter (metallurgical test work) core, with the remaining quarter retained in the stratigraphic sequence in the core trays. Appropriate QA/QC samples (standards, blanks, duplicates and umpire samples) are inserted into the sequence as per industry standard.

### Sample Analysis

Sample preparation and analysis was completed by SGS in Johannesburg. Sampling procedure which includes drying, crushing, splitting and pulverising ensures that 85% of the sample is 75 micron or less in size. A split of the sample is analysed using a LECO analyser to determine total carbon in graphite (TGC%) content. A second split of the sample is prepared for element analysis by XRF to determine V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> content. Rougher and multiple re-grind and cleaner flotation, Final concentrate PSD and fraction assays was also done.

### Resource Estimation Methodology

The geological models used for the resource estimation was created in Voxler (Version 4.2.584), a modelling package developed and distributed by Golden Software in Colorado. The deposit was divided into an upper oxidised zone and a lower fresh zone. Once a specific grade volume has been calculated a weighted average density is applied to the volume and a tonnage is determined.

Weighted averaging for sample length was applied. No grade truncations were applied. A cut-off grade of 8% has been applied. Grade-tonnage curves were produced and can be used to determine the effect of cut-off grades on remaining mineralised tonnages, but the drilled resource is calculated as intersected in-situ. The calculated grade is weighted for representative mass, as calculated in Voxler.

### Cut-off Parameters

An 8% TGC grade cut-off was applied. The modelling is limited by drilling extent. The drilling has not intersected nor delineated the outer edge of barren host rock. The physical limits of the mineralisation will be established with additional future drilling.

Grade-tonnage curves were produced (see Figures 2 and 3) and the influence of various cut-off grades can be investigated. The physical deposit boundaries have not been intersected in the drilling work to date and hence the model is suspended within graphite and roscoelite mineralised rock. The western and northern deposit boundary (at shallow depth), is expected to be delineated



with the next phase of drilling. The eastern and southern boundaries are open to at least 200m and several kilometres respectively.

### Caula Graphite Resource Estimate

The Caula Mineral Resource estimate is based on 16 diamond drillholes totalling 2,233.21 metres (484.72m in 2016 and 1,748.49m in 2017) and one reverse circulation (RC) drillhole totalling 99 metres (Figure 4). Drillholes are spaced approximately 85 metres apart along a 540 metre strike length. With the exception of drillhole MORC004 (-77°), all holes were drilled at inclinations of between 55° and 60° from the horizontal.

The drillhole samples were submitted to SGS in Randfontein (South Africa) for analyses as well as to SGS (Malaga), Independent Metallurgical Operations Pty Ltd (“IMO”) and Nagrom, Perth for metallurgical testwork. In total, 1,128 assay results were generated and these were used with the drillhole data to complete the updated Graphite Mineral Resource estimate.

Grade estimation was completed using an inverse distance squared method. The deposit was divided into an upper oxidised zone and a lower fresh zone. Points of equal grade within the model boundary are draped with a wireframe shape (of which the anisotropy settings are defined in the gridder module) and the volume for the shape is calculated in Voxler. This is repeated for grades of 0.01% TGC to 24% TGC for the oxidised zone and up to 26% TGC for the fresh zone.

Once a specific grade volume interval had been calculated (by difference) a weighted average density was applied to the volume and a tonnage determined.

The Measured Mineral Resource totals 21.9 million tonnes at an average grade of 13.4% TGC for 2,993,100 tonnes of contained Graphite.

The results of the Mineral Resource estimate are summarised in Tabel 1, below. Drillhole information and reporting in accordance with the JORC Code 2012 Edition are included as Appendices to this announcement.

**Table 1. Measured Mineral Resource estimate for the Caula Vanadium Deposit (at 8% TGC cut-off grade)**

Caula Graphite Deposit – Mustang Resources – as at 23 July 2018 (8.0 % TGC Cut-off)						
Resource Block	Volume (M m <sup>3</sup> )	Density (ton/m <sup>3</sup> )	GTIS (Mt)	Average Grade (% TGC)	Contained Graphite (tonnes)	Resource Category
Oxidised Zone	3.3	2.550	8.5	13.4	1,130,000	Measured
Fresh Zone	5.1	2.650	13.4	13.5	1,803,100	Measured
<b>Total</b>	<b>8.4</b>	<b>2.610</b>	<b>21.9</b>	<b>13.4</b>	<b>2,933,100</b>	<b>Measured</b>

The grade-tonnage curve for the oxidised zone is shown in Figure 2 below. The Oxidised Zone displays the following grade-tonnage relationship: at a cut-off grade of 2% TGC the deposit will have as a balance 13.4 Mt of mineralised tonnes at an average grade of 10.4% TGC, for 1,390,900 tonnes of contained graphite. At a cut-off grade of 4% TGC the deposit will have as a balance 12.0 Mt of mineralised tonnes at an average grade of 11.2% TGC, for 1,350,100 tonnes of contained graphite. At a cut-off grade of 8% TGC the deposit will have a balance of 8.5 Mt of mineralised

tonnes at an average grade of 13.4%, for 1,130,000 tonnes of contained Graphite in the Oxidised Zone.




Figure 2. The Grade-Tonnage curve for the Oxidised Zone of the Caula deposit

Table 2. The TGC cut-off grades and tonnages for the Oxidised Zone of the Caula deposit

Cut-Off Grade (% TGC)	Remaining Tons (Mt)	Remaining Grade (% TGC)	Contained Graphite (tonnes)
2	13.4	10.4	1 390 900
4	12.0	11.2	1 350 100
6	10.4	12.2	1 268 200
8	8.5	13.4	1 130 000
14	3.3	17.1	572 400

The grade-tonnage curve for the Fresh Zone is shown in Figure 3 below. For the Fresh Zone the following relationship is seen from the grade-tonnage curve; At a cut-off grade of 2% TGC the deposit will have a balance of 20.5 Mt mineralised tonnes at an average grade of 10.6% TGC, for 2,180,600 tonnes of contained TGC. At a cut-off grade of 4% TGC the deposit will have a balance of 18.6 Mt mineralised tonnes at an average grade of 11.4% TGC, for 2,121,400 tonnes of contained Graphite. At a cut-off grade of 8% TGC the deposit will have a balance of 13.4 Mt mineralised tonnes at an average grade of 13.5%, for 1,803,100 tonnes of contained TGC in the Fresh Zone.

For personal use only




Figure 3. The Grade-Tonnage curve for the Fresh Zone of the Caula Graphite deposit

Table 3. Cut-off grades and tonnages for the Fresh Zone of the Caula deposit


Cut-Off Grade (% TGC)	Tonnes (Mt)	Grade (% TGC)	Contained Graphite (tonnes)
2	20.5	10.6	2 180 600
4	18.6	11.4	2 121 400
6	16.3	12.3	2 008 000
8	13.4	13.5	1 803 100
14	5.4	17.5	937 800

### Mineral Resource Classification Criteria

The resource is classified as Measured. The core losses in the DD boreholes were assigned 0% TGC values as a conservative measure. With additional drilling in the future, the confidence in the estimate may very well improve. The Competent Person has no reason to doubt the input data from the core logging to the laboratory results. The estimate is conservative and probably understated in both tonnage and grade.

The surface area of 12.2 Ha is covered by 17 regularly spaced boreholes for an average grid of just less than 85m squared.

In addition, the geovariance which was calculated over 14 ranges with 27 data-pairs shows a sill distance of 170m. This calculation is based on information from 17 boreholes, and may well change as it gets updated with new drilling information. Based on this geovariance, the drill spacing at an average of 85m is considered to be sufficient to determine a measured resource.



**Figure 4. Mustang's Caula Graphite & Vanadium Project, EM depicting the graphitic anomaly and the exploration drilling to date**

### Mining and Metallurgical Methods and Parameters

The resource estimation has assumed that the deposit could potentially be mined using open cut mining techniques. No assumptions have been made for mining dilution or mining widths, however mineralisation is generally broad.

On 25 June 2018 Mustang announced the results of additional metallurgical testwork on the Caula Graphite – Vanadium project. The testwork was conducted on composites from borehole 15 which is centrally located in the measured resource area. The testwork flowsheet was a modification of a similar flowsheet used for the earlier work. The graphite concentrate recovery procedure comprised an initial coarse grind, a de-slime stage (by-passed on Fresh), then rougher flotation followed by three re-grind & cleaner flotation stages and two final cleaner flotation stages. By graphite industry standards, this is a remarkably simple flowsheet.

The cumulative proportion of large to super jumbo flakes ( $>180\mu\text{m}$ ) comprises 60% of the Oxide Zone while the cumulative proportion of large to super jumbo flakes ( $>180\mu\text{m}$ ) comprises 68% of the Fresh Zone. The high concentrate grades of more than 97% Total Graphitic Carbon have been maintained in the modified flowsheet.

The flowsheet has been extended to allow integrated extraction of both graphite and vanadium. The first vanadium concentration work is currently in progress and results are expected in due course.

### Project Area Potential

The Caula Project is located within a world-class graphite province and there is significant potential to expand this Graphite Resource estimate through ongoing exploration and drilling.

In the immediate vicinity of the Caula discovery, graphite mineralisation has now been defined over a 540m strike length. This mineralisation is up to 230m wide (estimated true thickness) and the depth is completely open-ended at the limit of the current drilling.

A new program of diamond and reverse circulation drilling has been planned to test for both up-dip and down-dip extensions to the Caula deposit in this area.

In addition to the potential to define additional graphite and vanadium mineralisation immediately adjacent to the Caula discovery, there is also very strong potential to define high grade graphite mineralisation over the much larger project area.

The Caula discovery is located at the northern end of a suite of large-scale geophysical (TEM) anomalies that extend over an 18km strike length within Mustang's tenements (see Figure 5). Drilling at the Caula site confirms a strong spatial correlation between the TEM anomaly and high grade graphite drill hole intersections. The larger-scale TEM anomaly has received minimal drilling to date and therefore remains largely untested.

Mustang proposes systematically to drill test the large-scale TEM target through progressive step out drilling from the Caula discovery. This drilling has commenced.




Figure 5. Large-scale untested SkyTEM anomaly within the greater Caula Graphite and Vanadium Project area



The Company is highly encouraged by the results received to date from its updated Graphite Resource Estimate and the Caula deposit as a whole. The combination of high grade drilling results, positive metallurgical testwork, a large Graphite Measured Resource estimate, large-scale untested exploration targets and the project's location within a demonstrated world-class vanadium-graphite province confirm the project's potential to create significant future value for the Company.

As previously reported, due to unexpected delays in receiving the final vanadium and graphite assay results from the independent laboratory, the completion of the maiden vanadium resource estimate as well as this graphite resource update was delayed. This delay means that the estimated completion of the Scoping Study has been updated to Q3 2018.

For and on behalf of the Board



Dr. Bernard Olivier  
**Managing Director**

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION, PLEASE CONTACT:**

Managing Director:  
Bernard Olivier  
bernard@mustangresources.com.au  
+61 (0) 408 948 182  
+27 (66) 4702 979

Media & Investor Relations:  
Paul Armstrong  
paul@readcorporate.com.au  
+61 (0) 8 9388 1474

For personal use only

**COMPETENT PERSON'S STATEMENT:**

Information in this report that relates to Exploration Targets, Exploration Results, Mineral Resources or Ore Reserves is based on information compiled by Mr Johan Erasmus, a Competent Person who is a registered member of the South African Council for Natural Scientific Professions (SACNASP) which is a Recognised Professional Organisation (RPO) included in a list posted on the ASX website. Mr Erasmus is a consultant to Sumsare Consulting, Witbank, South Africa which was engaged to undertake this work. Mr Erasmus has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined by the 2012 Edition of the Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results. Mr Erasmus consents to the inclusion of the data in the form and context in which it appears.

Information in this report that relates to the metallurgical aspects of the Caula Graphite & Vanadium Project is based on information compiled by Dr. Evan Kirby, a Competent Person who is a registered member of the South African Institute for Mining and Metallurgy (SAIMM), which is a Recognised Professional Organisation (RPO) included in a list posted on the ASX website. Dr Kirby is a Non-Executive Director of the company. Dr Kirby has sufficient experience, which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity, which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined by the 2012 Edition of the Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves. Dr Kirby consents to the inclusion of the data in the form and context in which it appears.

**FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS:**

This document may include forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements include, but are not necessarily limited to the Company's planned exploration program and other statements that are not historic facts. When used in this document, words such as "could", "plan", "estimate", "expect", "intend", "may", "potential", "should" and similar expressions are forward-looking statements. Although the Company considers that its expectations reflected in these statements are reasonable, such statements involve risks and uncertainties, and no assurance can be given that actual results will be consistent with these forward-looking statement.

For personal use only

## APPENDIX 1 – RC DRILLHOLE SUMMARY TABLE

RC drillhole included in this Mineral Resource estimation. Drill hole coordinates WGS 84 UTM – Zone 37S. All TGC grades reported for the intersections seen below.

Drill Hole	East (m)	North (m)	Dip	Azimuth	EOH Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	%TGC
MORC004	484939	8563344	-77.9	115.5	99	0	17	17	4.48
						22	78	56	12.40
						87	93	6	11.40

For personal use only

## APPENDIX 2 – DD DRILLHOLE SUMMARY TABLE

Note – drillhole coordinates WGS 84 UTM – Zone 37S.

DD drillholes drilled in November/December 2016 – refer to ASX announcement dated 6 November 2017 for additional information pertaining to these five drillholes

Drill Hole	East (m)	North (m)	Dip	Azimuth	EOH Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	%TGC
MODD001	485,040	8,563,594	-55	153	65.68	10	14	4	20.98%
						17.4	20.44	3.04	20.56%
						21.44	24.44	3	21.87%
						26.44	35.44	9	14.03%
						38.44	42.44	4	12.44%
						43.44	53.86	10.42	17.58%
						59.44	65.68	6.24	9.34%
MODD002	485057	8563110	-55	43	63.14	19.04	21	1.96	19.58%
						31.64	33.05	1.41	8.43%
						37	43.06	6.06	13.16%
						44.71	46.76	2.05	8.62%
						56.54	58.13	1.59	14.50%
						62.69	63.14	0.45	8.06%
MODD003	484966	8563488	-55	115	158.42	14.85	21.42	6.57m	15.01%
						26.42	28.42	2m	5.52%
						30.63	31.31	0.68m	15.50%
						50.34	53.59	3.25m	13.60%
						63.11	64.42	1.31m	12.70%
						66	66.78	0.78m	6.98%
						68	75.13	7.13m	21.10%
						80.9	90	9.10m	13.53%
						100	114	14m	13.09%
						116	122	6m	8.83%
						122	129	7m	18.15%
						129	137	8m	19.94%
137	144	7m	13.76%						
144	146	2m	1.99%						
						146	158	12.42m	19.53%
MODD004	484949	8563339	-60	91	97.04	17	20.54	3.54m	8.55%
						21.22	22	0.82m	7.98%
						22.89	24	1.15m	13.60%
						25.32	27	1.22m	10.30%
						27.39	28	0.65m	9.16%
						28.61	30	0.93m	6.89%
						30.05	32.54	2.35m	11.35%
						32.91	37.04	3.93m	17.08%
						37.32	39	1.68m	2.73%
						39	43	4m	12.50%
						43	45	2m	3.30%
						45	49	4m	17.52%
						56.54	59.54	3m	6.26%
						61.57	68.54	6.97m	17.69%
						70.42	79	8.58m	18.08%
79	93.2	14.2m	10.98%						
93.2	97.04	3.84m	1.47%						

For personal use only



## DD drillholes drilled in November/December 2017

Hole ID	WGS 84 UTM Zone 37s		EOH Depth (m)	Dip	Azimuth	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Average TGC %	Average V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> %
	Easting	Northing								
MODD014	485052	8563473	143	53.42	104.55	17	39	22	16.2	0.31
						39	45	6	7.0	0.23
						45	55	10	17.6	0.35
						55	58	3	1.4	0.06
						58	63	5	17.6	0.47
						63	68	5	Gneiss	Gneiss
						68	104	36	16.5	0.60
						104	110	6	0.1	0.37
						110	118	8	11.0	0.48
						118	124	6	17.3	0.49
						124	137	13	11.6	0.32
137	143	6	19.8	0.41						

Hole ID	WGS 84 UTM - Zone 37s		EOH Depth (m)	Dip	Azimuth	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Average TGC %	Average V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> %
	Easting	Northing								
MODD015	485057	8563362	118	54.26	84.99	17	31	14	16.7	0.36
						31	34	3	Gneiss	Gneiss
						34	37	3	0.1	0.02
						37	89	52	9.2	0.25
						89	95	6	3.7	0.07
						95	110	15	7.6	0.13
110	118	8	Gneiss	Gneiss						

Hole ID	WGS 84 UTM - Zone 37s		EOH Depth (m)	Dip	Azimuth	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Average TGC %	Average V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> %
	Easting	Northing								
MODD016	485107	8563261	80	54.46	70.90	20	24	4	11.2	0.24
						24	35	11	1.7	0.15
						35	49	14	8.6	0.26
						49	51	2	0.2	0.06

For personal use only

Hole ID	WGS 84 UTM - Zone 37s		EOH Depth (m)	Dip	Azimuth	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Average TGC %	Average V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> %
	Easting	Northing								
MODD017	485158	8563180	131	53.71	67.48	14	20	6	17.0	0.31
						20	23	3	6.1	0.23
						23	26	3	0.3	0.35
						26	38	12	Gneiss	Gneiss
						38	39.49	1.49	8.4	0.31
						39.49	47.66	8.17	Gneiss	Gneiss
						47.66	48.23	0.57	19.8	0.37
						48.23	50	1.77	Gneiss	Gneiss
						50	53	3	11.1	0.16
						53	56	3	13.5	0.33
						56	64	8	13.0	0.30
						64	70	6	1.8	0.08
						70	75	5	5.9	0.14
						75	78	3	0.2	0.02
						78	84	6	9.5	0.34
						84	94	10	6.4	0.10
						94	97	3	0.8	0.10
97	107	10	7.1	0.15						
107	115	8	14.2	0.40						
115	121	6	8.2	0.23						
121	125	4	14.8	0.33						
125	131.1	6.1	7.7	0.13						

Hole ID	WGS 84 UTM - Zone 37s		Dip	Azimuth	EOH Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Average TGC %	Average V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> %
	Easting	Northing								
MODD018	485114	8563455	55	80	217.89	6	19	13	15.47	0.29
						19	20	1	1.29	0.28
						20	25	5	16.62	0.52
						25	28	3	5.78	0.48
						28	30	2	26.65	36.79
						30	34	4	0.92	0.10
						34	37	3	19.73	0.29
						37	44	7	2.87	0.12
						44	63	19	20.22	0.42
						63	64	1	3.95	0.25
						64	78	14	14.06	0.49
						78	79	1	1.93	0.11
						79	84	5	23.98	0.33
						84	86	2	8.31	0.26
						86	92	6	20.87	0.70
						92	99	7	9.07	0.32
						99	112	13	18.00	0.38
112	142	30	0.05	0.01						
142	165	23	15.97	0.49						
165	188	23	4.19	0.42						
188	220.89	32.89	16.14	0.78						

Hole ID	WGS 84 UTM - Zone 37s		Dip	Azimuth	EOH Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Average TGC %	Average V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> %
	Easting	Northing								
MODD019	485152	8563372	55	73	127.96	6.9	18	11.1	10.45	0.27
						18	19	1	0.24	0.09
						19	23	4	8.38	0.30
						23	25	2	1.29	0.19
						25	30	5	14.36	0.40
						30	32	2	2.35	0.23
						32	34	2	9.25	0.33
						34	39	5	4.14	0.15
						39	45	6	10.24	0.41
						45	82	37	7.87	0.27
						82	89	7	19.17	0.53
						89	95	6	4.07	0.12
						95	99	4	15.10	0.41
						99	100	1	0.06	0.02
						100	105	5	8.97	0.21
105	108	3	15.53	0.43						
108	109	1	3.27	0.12						
109	123	14	15.27	0.41						
123	127.96	4.96	3.86	0.13						
Hole ID	WGS 84 UTM - Zone 37s		Dip	Azimuth	EOH Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Average TGC %	Average V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> %
	Easting	Northing								
MODD020	485212	8563291	55	62	125.29	48	51	3	1.25	0.09
						51	57	6	15.77	0.35
						57	63	6	5.40	0.16
						63	95	32	9.83	0.24
						95	98	3	1.26	0.03
						98	114	16	10.57	0.18
						114	118	4	1.14	0.03
						118	123	5	15.42	0.43
						123	125	2	0.05	0.02
Hole ID	WGS 84 UTM - Zone 37s		Dip	Azimuth	EOH Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Average TGC %	Average V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> %
	Easting	Northing								
MODD022	485181	8563465	55	55	161.29	22	23	1	14.21	0.40
						23	24	1	0.05	0.09
						24	34	10	16.43	0.32
						34	38	4	1.77	0.21
						38	41	3	14.08	0.60
						41	42	1	1.67	0.51
						42	66	24	15.52	0.41
						66	67	1	2.83	0.16
						67	78	11	15.92	0.43
						78	79	1	5.09	0.08
						79	93	14	15.90	0.40
						93	94	1	0.41	0.02
						94	110	16	16.17	0.38
						110	116	6	6.02	0.22
116	132	16	9.71	0.26						
132	133	1	0.21	0.03						

Hole ID	WGS 84 UTM - Zone 37s		Dip	Azimuth	EOH Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Average TGC %	Average V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> %
	Easting	Northing								
MODD030	485029	8563297	55	93	95.54	7	20	13	14.16	0.33
						20	21	1	3.88	0.42
						21	25	4	12.26	0.25
						25	27	2	2.58	0.12
						27	35	8	13.30	0.31
						35	42	7	3.44	0.27
						42	49	7	12.03	0.34
						49	51	2	4.41	0.22
						51	57	6	15.77	0.29
						57	60	3	3.64	0.33
						60	61	1	19.30	0.35
						61	62	1	5.09	0.14
						62	65	3	12.27	0.30
						65	95.54	30.54	3.09	0.09

Hole ID	WGS 84 UTM - Zone 37s		Dip	Azimuth	EOH Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Average TGC %	Average V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> %
	Easting	Northing								
MODD031	485001	8563422	55	79	131.24	15.44	23	7.56	9.98	0.27
						23	30	7	17.49	0.42
						30	31	1	1.23	0.63
						31	33	2	18.25	0.40
						33	36	3	6.37	0.09
						36	48	12	22.67	0.35
						48	49	1	2.37	0.06
						49	51	2	17.25	0.27
						51	56	5	6.14	0.18
						56	90	34	16.51	0.41
						90	94	4	0.30	0.02
						94	100	6	12.96	0.37
						100	101	1	0.69	0.03
						101	121	20	7.89	0.17
						121	124	3	2.48	0.09
124	131.24	7.24	12.53	0.30						

Hole ID	WGS 84 UTM - Zone 37s		Dip	Azimuth	EOH Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Average TGC %	Average V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> %
	Easting	Northing								
MODD032	485085	8563199	55	63	87.59	6	7	1	0.43	0.19
						7	23	16	15.06	0.28
						23	25	2	3.54	0.19
						25	63	38	12.00	0.26
						63	69	6	3.71	0.17
						69	71	2	17.15	0.70
71	73	2	0.96	0.04						



# JORC CODE, 2012 EDITION – TABLE 1

## Appendix to Announcement – 20 July 2018

### Section 1: Sampling techniques and data.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	MUS Commentary
<p>Sampling techniques</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</li> <li>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</li> <li>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</li> </ul>	<p><b>2015 Field Program</b>            Samples have been taken from a Reverse Circulation (RC) drillhole (MORC004) which was drilled by Mitchell Drilling, an Australian company with a regional presence in Mozambique. Reverse circulation drilling was used to collect 1m samples (roughly 35kg) by an air cyclone which was reduced to a 3kg sample by riffing. The drillhole collar location was generated based on results from a recently flown airborne SkyTEM EM survey (refer to previous MUS ASX announcements). A total of 77 intervals from RC drillhole MORC-004 were selected for sampling. Drillhole intervals were selected for sampling based on geological logging and samples showing no clear evidence of graphite mineralisation have been excluded (except 1m into barren zones) from the analysis completed by SGS Randfontein, an accredited laboratory. The samples were riffle split on a 50:50 basis, with one split pulverised and analysed for Total Graphitic Carbon (TGC), Total Carbon (TC) and Total Sulphur (TS) using a Leco Furnace, and the remaining split held in storage.</p> <p><b>2016 Field Program</b>            Five cored boreholes were drilled as part of the 2016 field program for the Caula deposit. The diamond drilling (DD) was completed using a Boart Longyear LF 90 drill-rig and the core was recovered with HQ (III) equipment. The contractor used for the 2016 drill program is Major Drilling Group International, a Canadian-based operation with a local presence in Mozambique.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drillhole collar locations were generated based on results from a flown airborne SkyTEM EM survey which was completed during 2015 (refer to previous MUS ASX announcements).</li> <li>Sampling is of HQ (III) DD core. A total of 298m of mineralisation were sampled over five DD boreholes. One DD hole (MOD004) have been twinned with an existing RC hole (MORC004) for lithology and grade verification.</li> <li>The core is photographed in sequence as the core is packed into the core trays at the drill site.</li> <li>The recovered DD core is cut lengthwise with a core splitting saw to produce 1m samples. Where lithological boundaries did not fit the 1m geometry or at end of hole sampling, the sample length was to be a minimum of 0.42m or a maximum of 1.68m.</li> <li>Core is halved for normal analyses. In the case of duplicate analyses (1 in 20), the core is quartered. In total 933kg of sample (Including duplicates) was taken over 296 samples for chemical analyses.</li> <li>The remaining core is halved in the mineralised zones to provide a quartered sample for metallurgical analysis. In total 334kg of sample over 296 samples was taken for metallurgical testwork.</li> <li>The remaining quarters and halves are retained in stratigraphic sequence in the core trays. The remaining core has been photographed, and the trays wrapped in cling-film, before it was put in container storage on site at the Mustang camp outside Montepuez.</li> <li>Samples were submitted for LECO analyses. Mineralised zone core as well as 1m boundaries into non-mineralised zone core were submitted for analysis.</li> <li>Initial metallurgical analysis and flow-sheet testwork was performed on 2 composited samples. The sampling was split between the oxidised and fresh mineralised zones.</li> </ul> <p><b>2017 Field Program</b>            Eleven cored boreholes were drilled as part of the 2017 field program</p>

For personal use only

For personal use only

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	MUS Commentary
		<p>for the Caula deposit. The diamond drilling (DD) was completed using Boart Longyear LF 90 drill-rigs and the core was recovered with PQ (III) and HQ (III) equipment. The contractor used for the 2017 drill program is Major Drilling Group International, a Canadian based operation with a local presence in Mozambique.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drillhole collar locations were generated based on results from a flown airborne SkyTEM EM survey which was completed during 2015 (refer to previous MUS ASX announcements), and from the 2016 core drilling program.</li> <li>• Sampling is of PQ (III) and HQ (III) DD core. Sampling has been completed.</li> <li>• The core is photographed in sequence as the core is packed into the core trays at the drill site.</li> <li>• The recovered DD core is cut lengthwise with a core splitting saw to produce 1 m samples. Where lithological boundaries did not fit the 1m geometry or at end of hole sampling, the sample length was to be a minimum of 0.50m or a maximum of 2.00m.</li> <li>• Core is halved for normal analyses. In the case of duplicate analyses (1 in 20), the core is quartered.</li> <li>• The remaining core is halved in the mineralised zones to provide a quartered sample for metallurgical analysis.</li> <li>• The remaining quarters and halves are retained in stratigraphic sequence in the core trays. The remaining core has been photographed, and the trays wrapped in cling-film, before it is put in container storage on site at the Mustang camp outside Montepuez.</li> <li>• Samples were submitted for LECO analyses. Mineralised zone core as well as 1 m boundaries into non-mineralised zone core were submitted for analysis.</li> </ul>
<p><i>Drilling techniques</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</i></li> </ul>	<p><b><u>2015 Field Program</u></b> Reverse circulation drilling was used to drill a 5.5 inch diameter borehole (MORC004). RC drill chips were collected by an air cyclone at 1m intervals for logging and sampling. Approximately 35kg per metre was collected by an air cyclone which was reduced to a 4kg sample by riffing. Reflex Ezy shot tools were used to take down-hole survey measurements to record drillhole azimuth and dip.</p> <p><b><u>2016 Field Program</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The core drilling was completed with a Boart Longyear LF-90 drilling rig. The drilling equipment was HQ (III) sized.</li> <li>• Drilling was planned to be as close to perpendicular as possible to strike, and as close as possible to true width intersections.</li> <li>• The borehole dip and azimuth was surveyed at 3m intervals from the bottom of the borehole with a Reflex EZ-Trac tool. The maximum deviation from the planned azimuth was measured at 6° in MODD003. The maximum deviation from the planned dip was measured at 5° in MODD004.</li> <li>• Final borehole collar positions were surveyed with a handheld GPS survey instrument, and the collar elevations were projected from the DEM as generated during the SkyTEM survey in 2015.</li> <li>• The core was oriented with a Reflex Tool.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>2017 Field Program</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The core drilling was completed with Boart Longyear LF-90 drilling rigs. The drilling equipment was PQ (III) and HQ (III) sized.</li> <li>• Drilling was planned to be as close to perpendicular as possible to strike/foliation, and as close as possible to true width intersections.</li> <li>• The borehole dip and azimuth was surveyed at 3 m intervals from the bottom of the borehole with a Reflex EZ-Trac tool.</li> <li>• Final borehole collar positions were surveyed with a differential GPS survey instrument, and the collar elevations were projected from the DEM as generated during the SkyTEM survey in 2015.</li> <li>• The core was oriented with a Reflex Tool.</li> </ul>
<p><i>Drill sample recovery</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results</i></li> </ul>	<p><b><u>2015 Field Program</u></b> The condition and qualitative estimates of RC sample recovery for MORC004 were determined through visual inspection of the 1m sample</p>

For personal use only

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	MUS Commentary
	<p>assessed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</li> <li>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</li> </ul>	<p>bags and recorded at the time of sampling. A hard copy and digital copy of the sampling log are maintained for data verification. Recovery has been good with 35kg + being returned per metre drilled. Due to the early stage of exploration work for the Caula project, no relationship between sample recovery and grade is known to exist at this point.</p> <p><b>2016 Field Program</b></p> <p>The condition and qualitative estimates of DD sample recovery were determined through visual inspection and measurement of the drilling core runs and recorded at the time of recovery at the drill rig. A hard copy and digital copy of the sampling log are maintained for data verification.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Core recovery measurements are recorded for every borehole.</li> <li>Where recoveries were found to be less than 95%, the drill runs were shortened to 1m, and drilling speed lowered to improve recovery.</li> <li>In some instances in the oxidised zone (faulting, jointing and severe oxidation), core losses were unavoidable. These losses are recorded, and have been zero rated in terms of grade for the modeling of the Caula graphite resource. The average core recovery for the oxidised zone is 83.1%.</li> <li>Recoveries in the fresh zone were very good at an average of 98.8%.</li> </ul> <p><b>2017 Field Program</b></p> <p>The condition and qualitative estimates of DD sample recovery were determined through visual inspection and measurement of the drilling core runs and recorded at the time of recovery at the drill-rig. A hard copy and digital copy of the sampling log are maintained for data verification.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Core recovery measurements are recorded for every borehole.</li> <li>Where recoveries were found to be less than 95%, the drill runs were shortened to m, and drilling speed lowered to improve recovery.</li> <li>In some instances in the oxidised zone (faulting, jointing and severe oxidation), core losses were unavoidable. These losses are recorded, and have been zero rated in terms of grade for the modeling of the Caula graphite resource. The average core recovery for the oxidized zone is 91%.</li> <li>Recoveries in the fresh zone were very good at an average of 98%.</li> </ul>
Logging	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</li> <li>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</li> <li>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</li> </ul>	<p><b>2015 Field Program</b></p> <p>RC drill-chip samples were geologically logged by trained geologists. The drillhole (MORC004) is considered by MUS to be part of a maiden drill program aimed at identifying shallow graphite mineralisation. Mustang used the results from this maiden program to prioritise target areas, which then become the focus of the 2016 drillhole definition programs. Whilst the aim of this maiden drill program was not to produce a Mineral Resource estimate MORC004 was used for resource estimation purposes in this resource estimate. Logging of RC drill holes includes recording of lithology, mineralogy, mineralisation, weathering, colour and other features of the samples. RC Chip trays are photographed. Geological descriptions and estimates of visual graphite percentages on preliminary logs are semi-quantitative. All drillholes were logged in full.</p> <p><b>2016 Field Program</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All holes drilled were logged in full and sampled by the site geologists.</li> <li>All the logged information which includes depth, lithology, mineral assemblage, structural information, Cg mineralisation (laboratory data), collar survey and logging geologists are recorded in the field logging sheets and in digital format.</li> <li>The recovered core is recorded in sequence as digital photographs.</li> <li>The analytical samples were shipped by road to the SGS Randfontein laboratory in South Africa for analysis. The analyses were completed by SGS Randfontein, and have been used to estimate the grade of the Caula deposit in this CPR.</li> <li>Umpire samples have been identified and were dispatched to</li> </ul>

For personal use only

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	MUS Commentary
		<p>Bureau Veritas in Centurion. These analyses have been completed and are included in the CPR.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The samples for metallurgy testwork were dispatched via South Africa to SGS Malaga in Perth, Australia. The testwork has been completed and these results have been included in this CPR.</li> <li>The remaining core is in storage at the Mustang Exploration Camp near Montepuez in Mozambique. The remaining core is also recorded in sequence in digital photograph format.</li> </ul> <p><b>2017 Field Program</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All holes drilled were logged in full and sampled by the site geologists.</li> <li>All the logged information which includes depth, lithology, mineral assemblage, structural information, Cg mineralisation (laboratory data), collar survey and logging geologists are recorded in the field logging sheets and in digital format.</li> <li>The recovered core is recorded in sequence as digital photographs.</li> <li>The analytical samples are to be shipped by road to the SGS Randfontein laboratory in South Africa for analysis. The analyses are to be completed by SGS Randfontein, and will be used to enhance the initial estimate of the grade of the Caula deposit in the next CPR update.</li> <li>Umpire samples have been identified and have been dispatched to Bureau Veritas in Centurion.</li> <li>The samples for metallurgy testwork were submitted for test work once the analytical results are available.</li> </ul> <p>The remaining core is in storage at the Mustang Exploration Camp near Montepuez in Mozambique. The remaining core was also recorded in sequence in digital photograph format.</p>
<p>Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</li> <li>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</li> <li>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</li> <li>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</li> <li>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</li> <li>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</li> </ul>	<p><b>2015 Field Program</b></p> <p>RC samples were collected on the rig using riffle splitters to reduce the sample mass from 35kg to 4kg. Sample preparation of the RC chip samples follows industry best practice in sample preparation involving oven drying (105°C), split (300g) and pulverising to a grind size of 85% passing 75 micron. The sample preparation for RC samples follows industry best practice.</p> <p>Field QC procedures were adopted as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Insertion rate for blanks - 5% (1 in 20)</li> <li>Insertion rate for standards - 5% (1 in 20)</li> <li>Insertion rate for duplicates - 5% (1 in 20)</li> <li>Umpire duplicates - 5% (1 in 20)</li> </ul> <p>Two CRM (GGC004 and GGC009) were obtained from Geostats Pty Ltd to monitor analysis of laboratory for graphitic carbon, carbon and sulphur.</p> <p>1m RC composite sampling has been undertaken for this phase of the exploration program.</p> <p><b>2016 Field Program</b></p> <p>The majority of samples were moist (from the DD process) at recovery, with ambient temperatures sufficiently high to dry the oxidised core before the commencement of sampling.</p> <p>Field QC procedures were adopted as follows over and above the laboratory internal controls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Insertion rate for blanks – at least 5% (1 in 20)</li> <li>Insertion rate for standards – at least 5% (1 in 20)</li> <li>Insertion rate for duplicates – at least 5% (1 in 20)</li> <li>Umpire duplicates – at least 5% (1 in 20)</li> </ul> <p>Four Graphite standards (GGC008, GGC005, GGC003 and GGC002) were obtained from Geostats Pty Ltd to monitor analysis by the laboratory for graphitic carbon, carbon and sulphur.</p> <p>As far as possible 1m DD composite sampling has been undertaken for this phase of the exploration program.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The core is split by saw and half core is submitted for analyses generally as 1m samples. When a duplicate sample is submitted, the core is quartered.</li> <li>Mineralised samples are submitted for LECO analyses as well as for ICP Multi-element analyses.</li> <li>Within the total samples dispatched a random sequence of at least</li> </ul>



Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	MUS Commentary
		<p>5% each of standards, blanks and duplicates are included.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sample preparation is done by SGS in Johannesburg, before the prepared samples are analysed for content determination.</li> <li>• Sampling procedure include drying, crushing, splitting and pulverizing ensures that 85% of the sample is 75 micron or less in size. A split of the sample is analysed using a LECO analyser to determine carbon in graphite content.</li> <li>• The sample procedure standards followed are internal to SGS and are listed below: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• WGH 79 (Receive Sample Weight), SCR 32 (Sample Screening), CSA01V (Total Carbon by LECO), CSA05V (Graphitic Carbon by LECO), CSA06V (Sulphur by LECO).</li> </ul> </li> <li>• QC measures include the submission of duplicate samples (5% of samples), blanks (5% of samples) and standards (5% of samples) over and above the internal controls at SGS.</li> <li>• The smallest core sample dimension after cutting is 29mm. The largest category flake size is &gt; 8 mesh or 2.38mm. The sample size exceeds the target material size comfortably.</li> <li>• The metallurgical samples consist of quartered core, sampled and bagged generally per metre.</li> <li>• Sampling for metallurgical testing is complete, and included; Receipt of graphite samples, Formation of composites, Bond rod mill grindability, Head assay, Particle size distribution (PSD) and fraction assay on head samples, Rougher flotation, Rougher and multiple re-grind and cleaner flotation, Final concentrate PSD and fraction assays.</li> <li>• The metallurgical composites were batched by the laboratory metallurgists once the results from the initial laboratory work at SGS Randfontein had been received.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>2017 Field Program</u></b></p> <p>The majority of samples were moist (from the DD process) at recovery, with ambient temperatures sufficiently high to dry the oxidised core before the commencement of sampling.</p> <p>Field QC procedures were adopted as follows over and above the laboratory internal controls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Insertion rate for blanks – at least 5% (1 in 20)</li> <li>• Insertion rate for standards – at least 5% (1 in 20)</li> <li>• Insertion rate for duplicates – at least 5% (1 in 20)</li> <li>• Umpire duplicates – at least 5% (1 in 20)</li> <li>• Four Graphite standards (GGC008, GGC005, GGC003 and GGC002) were obtained from Geostats Pty Ltd to monitor analysis by the laboratory for graphitic carbon, carbon and sulphur.</li> </ul> <p>As far as possible 1m DD composite sampling has been undertaken for this phase of the exploration program.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The core is split by saw and half core is submitted for analyses generally as 1m samples. When a duplicate sample is submitted, the core is quartered.</li> <li>• Mineralised samples are submitted for LECO analyses as well as for ICP Multi-element analyses.</li> <li>• Within the total samples dispatched a random sequence of at least 5% each of standards, blanks and duplicates are included.</li> <li>• Sample preparation is done by SGS in Johannesburg, before the prepared samples are analysed for content determination.</li> <li>• Sampling procedure include drying, crushing, splitting and pulverizing ensures that 85% of the sample is 75 micron or less in size. A split of the sample is analysed using a LECO analyser to determine carbon in graphite content.</li> <li>• The sample procedure standards followed are internal to SGS and are listed below: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• WGH 79 (Receive Sample Weight), SCR 32 (Sample Screening), CSA01V (Total Carbon by LECO), CSA05V (Graphitic Carbon by LECO), CSA06V (Sulphur by LECO).</li> </ul> </li> <li>• QC measures include the submission of duplicate samples (5% of samples), blanks (5% of samples) and standards (5% of samples) over and above the internal controls at SGS.</li> <li>• The smallest core sample dimension after cutting is 29mm. The</li> </ul>

For personal use only

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	MUS Commentary
		<p>largest category flake size is &gt; 8 mesh or 2.38mm. The sample size exceeds the target material size comfortably.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The metallurgical samples consist of quartered core, sampled and bagged generally per metre.</li> <li>Sampling for metallurgical testing is complete, and included; Receipt of graphite samples, Formation of composites, Bond rod mill grindability, Head assay, Particle size distribution (PSD) and fraction assay on head samples, Rougher flotation, Rougher and multiple re-grind and cleaner flotation, Final concentrate PSD and fraction assays. The metallurgical composites will be batched by the laboratory metallurgists once the results from the initial laboratory work at SGS Randfontein had been received.</li> </ul>
<p>Quality of assay data and laboratory tests</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i></li> <li><i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i></li> <li><i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i></li> </ul>	<p><b>2015 Field Program</b>  A total 77 samples were analysed by SGS Laboratories in South Africa for Total Graphitic Carbon (TGC), Total Carbon (TC) and Total Sulphur (TS) using a Leco Furnace.  Detection limits for these analyses are considered appropriate for the reported assay grades and adequate for this phase of the exploration program.  No geophysical tools were used to determine any element concentrations.  The assaying and laboratory procedures used are appropriate for the material tested.  SGS carried out sample preparation checks for fineness as part of their internal procedures to ensure the grind size of 85% passing 75 micron was being attained. Laboratory QAQC involves the use of internal lab standards using certified reference material, blanks, and repeats as part of their in-house procedures.</p> <p><b>2016 Field Program</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All samples are labelled with a unique sequential number with a sample ledger recording all samples.</li> <li>QA/QC samples are included in a random sequence at a frequency of at least 5% each for standards, blanks and duplicates.</li> <li>The laboratory uses internal standards in addition to the standards, blanks and duplicates inserted by Mustang.</li> <li>The standards are supplied by an external and independent third party. Four standards were used for the laboratory testwork; GGC-08 and GGC-05, GGC-03 and GGC-02.</li> <li>The blanks are made up from non- graphitic rock. The duplicates are a quartered sample of the original halved cores. The umpire samples were selected from the prepared pulps of initial samples.</li> <li>The detection limits are deemed sufficient for the purpose of the Caula Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>The samples were analysed by SGS, with sample preparation done at the Randfontein laboratory in Johannesburg. Sampling procedures are listed above and includes drying, crushing, splitting and pulverizing such that 85% of the sample is 75 micron or less in size. A split of the sample will be analysed using a LECO analyser to determine carbon in graphite carbon content.</li> <li>Laboratory testwork was completed during the first quarter of 2017, and the Metallurgy testwork followed on in the second quarter of 2017.</li> </ul> <p><b>2017 Field Program</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All samples are labelled with a unique sequential number with a sample ledger recording all samples.</li> <li>QA/QC samples are included in a random sequence at a frequency of at least 5% each for standards, blanks and duplicates.</li> <li>The laboratory uses internal standards in addition to the standards, blanks and duplicates inserted by Mustang.</li> <li>The standards are supplied by an external and independent third party. Four standards were used for the laboratory testwork; GGC-08 and GGC-05, GGC-03 and GGC-02.</li> <li>The blanks are made up from non- graphitic rock. The duplicates are a quartered sample of the original halved cores. The</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	MUS Commentary
		<p>umpire samples were selected from the prepared pulps of initial samples.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The detection limits are deemed sufficient for the purpose of the Caula Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>The samples were analysed by SGS, with sample preparation done at the Randfontein laboratory in Johannesburg. Sampling procedures are listed above and includes drying, crushing, splitting and pulverising such that 85% of the sample is 75 micron or less in size. A split of the sample were analysed using a LECO analyser to determine carbon in graphite carbon content.</li> </ul> <p>Laboratory testwork were completed during the second quarter of 2018, and the Metallurgy testwork followed on in the second quarter and third quarter of 2018.</p>
<p>Verification of sampling and assaying</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</li> <li>The use of twinned holes.</li> <li>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</li> <li>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</li> </ul>	<p><b>2015 Field Program</b> Mr. Johan Erasmus, an independent geologist, visually verified the geological observations reported in the RC drillhole (MORC004). No twin holes have been drilled up to the end of the 2015 program. Sample information is recorded at the time of sampling in electronic and hard copy form. Data is documented by Mr. Johan Erasmus and primary data is kept in a Microsoft Access database. A copy of the data is stored in Mr. Erasmus' office as well as in Mustang's office in Pretoria, RSA. Verification was based on the use of duplicates, standards and blanks. Assay data was reported as received from the laboratory. No adjustments or calibrations have been made to any assay data. The laboratory data from borehole MORC004 was included in the resource estimation for the Caula graphite project.</p> <p><b>2016 Field Program</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Exploration Manager and field geologists are in the employment of Mustang, and external oversight is established with the contracting of Sumsare Consulting, a South-African consulting company. Sumsare is supplying an external Competent Person.</li> <li>The twinning of RC boreholes was done by DD in 1 instance as a correlation exercise. MODD004 was drilled as a duplicate for MORC004. A comparison of the analytical data obtained from these twinned holes was completed and statistically these samples were found to be sets from the same population (95% confidence).</li> <li>The primary data is kept in the company office in Pretoria under the custodianship of the Exploration Manager. The CP has a duplicate dataset at his office in South Africa, and the company has a data set in the Australian office.</li> <li>Assay data is not adjusted, and is released to the market as it is received from the laboratory.</li> </ul> <p><b>2017 Field Program</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Exploration Manager and field geologists are in the employment of Mustang, and external oversight is established with the contracting of Sumsare Consulting, a South-African consulting company. Sumsare is supplying an external Competent Person.</li> <li>The primary data is kept in the company office in Pretoria under the custodianship of the Exploration Manager. The CP has a duplicate dataset at his office in South-Africa, and the company has a dataset in the Australian office.</li> <li>Assay data is not adjusted, and is released to the market as it is received from the laboratory.</li> </ul>
<p>Location of data points</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>Specification of the grid system used.</li> <li>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</li> </ul>	<p><b>2015 Field Program</b> Collar locations were surveyed with a Garmin 62/64s GPS Device. The Garmin devices typically have an error of +/- 7m. All spatial data was collected in WGS 84 and the datum used is UTM Zone 37 South. A DEM surface was produced by SkyTEM as part of the recent (2015) airborne geophysics program completed by Mustang.</p> <p><b>2016 Field Program</b> A hand-held Garmin 62/64s GPS was used to site the drill holes (x, y horizontal error of 7 metres) and reported using WGS 84 grid and UTM datum zone 37 south.</p>

For personal use only

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	MUS Commentary
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Topographic control is good due to the SkyTEM survey that was completed during 2015. A DEM surface was produced by SkyTEM as part of the EM geophysics program.</li> <li>• The borehole dip and azimuth was surveyed at 3 m intervals from the bottom of the borehole with a Reflex EZ-Trac tool.</li> <li>• Final borehole collar positions are to be surveyed with a differential GPS survey instrument, by an independent external surveyor.</li> <li>• The core was oriented with a Reflex Tool.</li> </ul> <p><b>2017 Field Program</b> A hand-held Garmin 62/64s GPS was used to site the drill holes (x, y horizontal error of 7 metres) and reported using WGS 84 grid and UTM datum zone 37 south.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Topographic control is good due to the SkyTEM survey that was completed during 2015. A DEM surface was produced by SkyTEM as part of the EM geophysics program.</li> <li>• The borehole dip and azimuth was surveyed at 3 m intervals from the bottom of the borehole with a Reflex EZ-Trac tool.</li> <li>• Final borehole collar positions were surveyed with a differential GPS survey instrument, by an independent external surveyor.</li> </ul> <p>The core was oriented with a Reflex Tool.</p>
<p>Data spacing and distribution</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i></li> <li>• <i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i></li> <li>• <i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i></li> </ul>	<p><b>2015 Field Program</b> MORC004 was drilled at an inclination of on average at -77 degrees. Due to the early stage of the exploration program, there is no nominal sample spacing. This borehole has been included in the 2017 resource estimation for the Caula project, since additional drilling was completed during 2016. Drillhole collars have been planned to test EM anomalies. Samples have been composited to a maximum of one metre for the RC samples.</p> <p><b>2016 Field Program</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The spacing of the five DD drillholes was at a grid of approximately 133m.</li> <li>• All five of the DD drillholes were inclined on average at between -55° to 60°. The collar details are tabulated in Appendix 1.</li> <li>• Sample compositing for the DD program has not been applied.</li> </ul> <p><b>2017 Field Program</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The spacing of the eleven DD drillholes was at a grid of approximately 80m.</li> <li>• All eleven of the DD drillholes were inclined on average at between -55° to 60°. The collar details are tabulated in Appendix 1.</li> </ul> <p>Sample compositing for the DD program has not been applied.</p>
<p>Orientation of data in relation to geological structure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i></li> <li>• <i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i></li> </ul>	<p><b>2015 Field Program</b> The orientation of the RC holes were designed based on regional geology interpretations and designed to test the broad stratigraphy. The collar details are tabulated in Appendix 1. No sampling bias is considered to have been introduced at this early stage of the project.</p> <p><b>2016 Field Program</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The orientation of the DD holes were planned based on the regional geology interpretation and planned to test the broad stratigraphy. The collar details are tabulated in Appendix 1.</li> <li>• No sampling bias is considered to have been introduced at this early stage of the project.</li> <li>• From the previous surface mapping of the area, the regional foliation dips at steep angles of between 50 and 70 degrees to the west.</li> <li>• The drilling was hence planned at an inclined orientation of 55° from the horizontal in an easterly direction across strike. From prior experience, drilling at angles shallower than 55° is usually problematic. The SkyTEM EM data was used to fix a strike direction.</li> <li>• The borehole dip and azimuth was surveyed at 3m intervals from the bottom of the borehole with a Reflex EZ-Trac tool.</li> <li>• Final borehole collar positions are to be surveyed with a differential GPS survey instrument, by an independent external</li> </ul>

For personal use only

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	MUS Commentary
		<p>surveyor.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The core was oriented with a Reflex Tool.</li> <li>The structural analysis shows a regional foliation dip at an average of 59°. So far an association between structure and Cg grade has not been established, but hinge zones are suspected to improve Cg grades, and potentially flake sizes.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>2017 Field Program</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The orientation of the DD holes were planned based on the regional geology interpretation and planned to test the broad stratigraphy. The collar details are tabulated in Appendix 1.</li> <li>No sampling bias is considered to have been introduced at this stage of the project.</li> <li>From the previous surface mapping of the area, the regional foliation dips at steep angles of between 50 and 70 degrees to the west.</li> <li>The drilling is hence planned at an inclined orientation of 55° from the horizontal in an easterly direction across strike. From prior experience, drilling at angles shallower than 55° is usually problematic. The SkyTEM EM data was used to fix a strike direction.</li> <li>The borehole dip and azimuth was surveyed at 3m intervals from the bottom of the borehole with a Reflex EZ-Trac tool.</li> <li>Final borehole collar positions were surveyed with a differential GPS survey instrument, by an independent external surveyor.</li> <li>The core is oriented with a Reflex Tool.</li> </ul>
<p>Sample security</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</li> </ul>	<p><b><u>2015 Field Program</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Samples were stored at the company's field base in a locked and sealed shipping container until it was dispatched to the laboratory in Johannesburg.</li> <li>Samples were transported in sealed containers by road to South Africa for analysis. The sample export procedure as required by the Mozambican government was followed, and the samples were delivered to SGS in Johannesburg for analysis.</li> <li>No signs of tampering were reported by the laboratory upon sample receipt.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>2016 Field Program</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Samples were stored at the company's field base until dispatched to the laboratory. Samples were transported in sealed containers by road, to South Africa for analysis.</li> <li>The sample export procedure as required by the Mozambican government was followed, and the samples were delivered to SGS in Johannesburg for analysis.</li> <li>The sample logistics between Mozambique and South Africa are handled in-house by Mustang.</li> <li>No signs of tampering were reported by the laboratory upon sample receipt.</li> <li>The samples for metallurgical testwork were shipped via South Africa to SGS Malaga in Perth.</li> <li>The sample export procedure as required by the Australian government was followed, and the samples were delivered to SGS Malaga in Perth for analysis.</li> <li>No signs of tampering were reported by the laboratory upon sample receipt.</li> <li>The remaining core is kept in a safe facility under guard at the site office in Montepuez in Mozambique.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>2017 Field Program</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Samples are stored at the company's field base until dispatched to the laboratory. Samples are transported in sealed containers by road to South Africa for analysis.</li> <li>The sample export procedure as required by the Mozambican government is followed, and the samples are delivered to SGS in Johannesburg for analysis.</li> <li>The sample logistics between Mozambique and South-Africa are handled in-house by Mustang.</li> </ul> <p>The remaining core is kept in a safe facility under guard at the site office in Montepuez in Mozambique.</p>
<p>Audits or</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The results of any</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No external audits have been undertaken up to this stage of</li> </ul>



For personal use only

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	MUS Commentary
<i>reviews</i>	<i>audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i>	work.



## Section 2: Reporting of exploration results

Criteria	Explanation	MUS Commentary
<i>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</li> <li>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</li> </ul>	<p>Mustang's Caula Graphite Project area consists of one prospecting &amp; exploration licence 6678L covering a total area of 3 185.76ha. The Licence is held in the name of Tchaumba Minerais S.A. Mustang Resources holds an 80% interest in Tchaumba Minerais S.A. via its wholly owned subsidiaries Balama Resources Pty Ltd (Australia) and Mustang Graphite Lda. The supporting documents are attached in Appendix 6.</p> <p>Refer to ASX announcement dated 20 October 2014 for full details regarding ownership and earn-in rights.</p> <p>All statutory requirements were acquired prior to exploration work. All licences have been awarded and issued.</p> <p>The Company is not aware of any impediments relating to the licence or the area.</p>
<i>Exploration done by other parties</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</li> </ul>	<p>No prior exploration work done by other parties on the licence areas except for the 1:250,000 geological maps generated by the Government of Mozambique and country wide airborne magnetic and radiometric geophysical surveys flown over the region by the Government of Mozambique.</p>
<i>Geology</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</li> </ul>	<p>The area is predominantly underlain by Proterozoic rocks that form a number of gneiss complexes that range from Palaeo to Neoproterozoic in age (Boyd et al., 2010). The Mustang project area is underlain by metamorphic rocks of the Neoproterozoic Lurio Group within the Xixano Complex (Brice, 2012) in north-eastern Mozambique. The Xixano complex is composed dominantly of mafic to intermediate orthogneiss with intercalations of paragneiss, meta-arkose, quartzite, tremolite-rich marble and graphitic schist. Graphite rich units are comprised of sequences of metamorphosed carbonaceous pelitic and psammitic (sandstone) sediments within the Proterozoic Mozambique Belt (Brice, 2012). The metamorphic grade is typically of amphibolite facies.</p>
<i>Drill hole Information</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</li> <li>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</li> <li>dip and azimuth of the hole</li> <li>down hole length and interception depth</li> <li>hole length.</li> <li>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>Ten RC holes were drilled in late 2015 as part of an EM survey verification drilling program. Refer to ASX announcement dated 10 June 2015 for further information and results. Only one of these holes (MORC004) is used in this estimate. All the other holes were drilled on adjacent areas.</p> <p>Seven DD boreholes were drilled between October and November of 2016. These holes were drilled to draw a comparison with some of the RC holes drilled during 2015, and to collect data for an initial JORC (2012) compliant resource statement. Five of these boreholes were used in this resource estimate. The remaining two DD boreholes were drilled on adjacent areas.</p> <p>Eleven DD boreholes were drilled during November and December 2017. These holes were drilled to collect data for an updated JORC (2012) compliant resource statement.</p> <p>Information pertaining to drilling completed and used in this CPR is provided in Appendix 1 and Appendix 2.</p>

Criteria	Explanation	MUS Commentary
<i>Data aggregation methods</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</i></li> <li><i>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</i></li> <li><i>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</i></li> </ul>	Weighted average was applied for sample length. No grade truncations were applied. Grade-tonnage curves were produced and could be used to determine the effect of cut-off grades on remaining mineralised tonnages. The calculated grade is weighted for representative mass, as calculated in Voxler.
<i>Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</i></li> <li><i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</i></li> <li><i>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').</i></li> </ul>	<p>No relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths is known at this stage.</p> <p>Assay grades have been reported and tabulated by sample interval for the 2014 drill program and are reported in ASX announcement dated 10 June 2015. These results are not used in this estimate.</p> <p>Assay grades have been reported and tabulated by sample interval for the 2015 drill program and are reported in ASX announcement dated 10 June 2015. Only the results from Borehole MORC004 are used in this estimate.</p> <p>The cored DD program for 2016 has been completed with structural data collected from orientated core intersections. The structural analysis shows foliation that follows the regional orientation of the mineralised zones. The mineralised zone dips at an average of 59° to the west. Analytical results have been received from both the laboratory and metallurgical testwork. The laboratory and metallurgy work was completed during 2017.</p> <p>The cored DD program for 2017 has been completed with structural data collected from orientated core intersections. The structural analysis is in progress. Samples have been submitted for laboratory and metallurgy testwork.</p>
<i>Diagrams</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i></li> </ul>	Appropriate sections plans and diagrams are included in the body of the initial CPR.
<i>Balanced reporting</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration</i></li> </ul>	<p>The report is considered to be balanced.</p> <p>The 2015 drilling and sampling results have been reported in the ASX announcement dated 10 June 2015. Borehole MORC004 was used in this CPR, since it occurs within the Caula project area.</p> <p>The 2016 drilling and sampling results for five boreholes were used in the most recent CPR. These five boreholes occur within the Caula project area. Core from these five boreholes were used to determine Total Graphitic Carbon and Vanadium Pentoxide content.</p> <p>The 2017 drilling and sampling results for eleven boreholes were used with the previous drilling results in the most recent Resource Statement. These</p>

Criteria	Explanation	MUS Commentary
	<p><i>Results.</i></p>	<p>seventeen boreholes occur within the Caula project area.</p>
<p><i>Other substantive exploration data</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i></li> </ul>	<p>Regional geological mapping and regional airborne geophysics (magnetics and radiometrics) have been obtained from the Mozambican Government. In addition, Mustang commissioned an airborne EM geophysics survey (SkyTEM) across 6678L and the adjacent tenements. The geophysics datasets were used to aid in interpretations and plan the 2015 and 2016 drill-hole programs' collar locations.</p> <p>Laboratory analyses were performed by SGS Randfontein in Johannesburg, and % Total Graphitic Carbon, % Total Carbon and % Total Sulphur was analysed for.</p> <p>No bulk samples have been taken.</p> <p>Metallurgical testwork was completed on composite samples made up from quartered core samples of the five cored boreholes. Clays in the oxidised zone (that increase settling times) have been observed as potential deleterious materials as part of this testwork.</p> <p>Eleven boreholes were completed during 2017. These boreholes are in the process of being sampled for metallurgy.</p> <p>Groundwater work and Geotechnical work have not yet been undertaken.</p> <p>The first metallurgy testwork was completed by SGS Malaga in Perth. This was standard testwork requested to establish the metallurgical properties of this deposit before advanced flow-sheet development can be undertaken.</p> <p>The composited samples were tested for grindability and the Bond rod mill index suggests that the Caula host rock is softer than comparable graphite deposits.</p> <p>The settling time for the oxidised composite sample was noted to be longer due to the presence of clays in this zone.</p> <p>Testwork on Met Sample 2 indicates that the sample is very amenable to beneficiation by froth flotation realising a final concentrate stream grading 94.9% TGC at 96.3% recovery. After screening of the concentrate, &gt;50% of the concentrate falls in the large and extra-large flake classes and was upgraded to &gt;97% TGC.</p> <p>Testwork on Met Sample 1 indicates that the sample is amenable to beneficiation by froth flotation using a single stream flotation scheme, realising a final concentrate stream grading 97.5% TGC at 80.3% recovery. After screening of the concentrate, &gt;43% of the concentrate falls in the large and extra-large flake classes and was upgraded to &gt;97% TGC.</p> <p>Subsequent to the completion of the initial metallurgical testwork, an optimisation program was completed by Wave International and IMO which indicates that the + 180 micron flake from the oxide material can be upgraded to 98% TGC.</p> <p>Quarter core from all of the 2017 drilling was sent to Nagrom Laboratories, Perth for metallurgical testwork during 2018. This core has been catalogued and composites of core, representing various styles of mineralisation, have been selected for a range of metallurgical testwork. Three levels of compositing are being implemented, the first level combines samples from a continuous intersection in a single borehole. The next level combines similar samples (in terms of grade and oxidation) from zones of boreholes. The third level combines similar samples (in terms of grade and oxidation) into master composites.</p> <p>A first level composite of core was selected to test the amenability of the deposit to sensor based ore sorting. The sample selected was a continuous portion of quartered NQ diamond drill core from 58m to 88m downhole in borehole MODD015. The sample was chosen to represent fresh material with moderate grades of graphite and vanadium and no visible barren rock intersections.</p> <p>First level core composites from borehole MODD015 were also used for a preliminary investigation of the treatment characteristics of the deposit in the area covered by the 2017 drill program. Three composite samples were made up from continuous portions of diamond drill core. The oxide</p>

Criteria	Explanation	MUS Commentary
		<p>and transition samples were from 17 to 30, and 37 to 57 meters respectively. The fresh composite was a sub sample of the composite used to evaluate sensor based ore sorting. Grinding and froth flotation testwork for graphite concentrate recovery was carried out at the Independent Metallurgy laboratory, Perth. Results of this work demonstrate significantly improved performance in terms of graphite concentrate sizing compared with all previous metallurgical testwork.</p> <p>In July 2018, with all analysis data available, all three levels of sample compositing work were completed. The master (third level) composites that have been prepared are as follows: High Grade Oxide, Low Grade Oxide, High Grade Fresh, Low Grade Fresh. The next stage of metallurgical testwork will commence with the High Grade Oxide Master Composite.</p>
Further work	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i></li> <li><i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i></li> </ul>	<p>The drilling of priority targets identified from the SkyTEM survey is ongoing. Additional areas on Prospecting Licences 5873L and 6678L have been identified for future drilling. Potential extensions are discussed in the Interpretation and Conclusions in the most recent CPR.</p>

### Section 3: Estimation and reporting of mineral resources

Criteria	Explanation	MUS Commentary
Database integrity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Measures taken to ensure that data has not been corrupted by, for example, transcription or keying errors, between its initial collection and its use for Mineral Resource estimation purposes.</i></li> <li><i>Data validation procedures used.</i></li> </ul>	<p>The project data is kept in set directories and before any results are released to the market, the CP and the Mustang Exploration Manager would check the calculations independently. Manual checks between datasets as received from the laboratory and compared with the database.</p>
Site visits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits.</i></li> <li><i>If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case.</i></li> </ul>	<p>The Geology CP visited the site for extended periods during the phases of exploration. The date and duration of each visit is listed below;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 19 Sept 2014 to 06 Oct 2014, 18 Days, site visit, EM Line preparation, drilling verification,</li> <li>- 27 Oct 2015 to 26 Nov 2015, 31 Days, site visit, RC drilling verification, sampling verification.</li> <li>- 06 Oct 2016 to 09 Dec 2016, 53 Days, site visit, DD drilling verification, logging and sampling checks and verification.</li> <li>- 10 Nov 2017 to 8 Dec 2017, 28 Days, site visit, DD drilling verification, sampling verification.</li> <li>- 17 Jan 2018 to 29 Jan 2018, 12 Days, site visit, DD drilling verification, logging and sampling checks and verification.</li> </ul>

Criteria	Explanation	MUS Commentary
		<p>The Metallurgical CP visited site and the site area from 20 May 2018 to 25 May 2018 for general familiarization with the site area, roads and other in-country infrastructure. Exploration drilling and sample collection activities were observed.</p>
<p><i>Geological interpretation</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Confidence in (or conversely, the uncertainty of) the geological interpretation of the mineral deposit.</i></li> <li>• <i>Nature of the data used and of any assumptions made.</i></li> <li>• <i>The effect, if any, of alternative interpretations on Mineral Resource estimation.</i></li> <li>• <i>The use of geology in guiding and controlling Mineral Resource estimation.</i></li> <li>• <i>The factors affecting continuity both of grade and geology.</i></li> </ul>	<p>The geological mapping of this area is complicated by the relatively deep soil profile and the lack of outcrop. The single biggest element of confidence is provided by the extremely strong EM signature of the graphite mineralisation which occurs associated with the vanadium bearing roscoelite. The relationship between the EM data and the confirmed mineralisation by drilling is significant. The absence of EM response to non-mineralisation in the adjacent quartzitic schist is sufficient to accurately place exploration targets.</p> <p>The graphite and roscoelite mineralisation is easy to distinguish and hence easy to delimit. Attaching boundaries to mineralised areas is not subject to complicated interpretation, since the resource boundaries are clear. The amphibolite to granulite facies of metamorphism has displayed a concentration of the graphitic and roscoelite mineralisation in the amphibolitic portion of the host rock. The granulitic proportion is the lesser lithology in terms of volume. Continuity along strike appears to be consistent within the similar EM signature. Continuity in the Z-direction is truncated by granulitic facies at infrequent intervals.</p>
<p><i>Dimensions</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The extent and variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource.</i></li> </ul>	<p>This V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> deposit is divided into an upper Oxidised Zone and a lower Fresh Zone. The plan footprint covers an area of 12.2 ha, and the plan width at this stage is 330 m. The top of the Oxidised Zone is between 13 and 20 metres below surface across the various boreholes. This elevation in the model is at an average of 517m above mean sea level (mamsl). This horizon was modelled as the top of the oxidised zone of mineralisation, with the base of this horizon determined by the lower-most of the oxidised logged samples. The average elevation for the base of the oxidised zone comes in at 480 mamsl. The depth of oxidation along trajectory varies between 51 and 66m for the cored boreholes, while the lowest depth of oxidation for the reverse circulation borehole is 59m (drilled at a steeper angle). In terms of depth this surface is a flat plane which is an average of 48m below surface (vertical). On average the Oxidised zone is then 37m thick. The base of the Fresh zone is delineated by the extent of drilling, and is truncated by drilling depth. The deeper fresh mineralised zone is open at depth, and hence the fresh model will significantly expand with future drilling. At the moment this zone is modelled to a vertical depth of 180 m in MODD018. This translates to a vertical thickness of at least 132m for the fresh zone.</p>



Criteria	Explanation	MUS Commentary
<p><i>Estimation and modelling Techniques</i></p>	<p><i>The nature and appropriateness of the estimation technique(s) applied and key assumptions, including treatment of extreme grade values, domaining, interpolation parameters and maximum distance of extrapolation from data points. If a computer assisted estimation method was chosen include a description of computer software and parameters used.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>• The availability of check estimates, previous estimates and/or mine production records and whether the Mineral Resource estimate takes appropriate account of such data.</i></li> <li><i>• The assumptions made regarding recovery of by-products.</i></li> <li><i>• Estimation of deleterious elements or other non-grade variables of economic significance (eg sulphur for acid mine drainage characterisation).</i></li> <li><i>• In the case of block model interpolation, the block size in relation to the average sample spacing and the search employed.</i></li> <li><i>• Any assumptions behind modelling of selective mining units. Any assumptions about correlation between variables.</i></li> <li><i>• Description of how the geological interpretation was used to control the resource estimates.</i></li> <li><i>• Discussion of basis for using or not using grade cutting or capping.</i></li> <li><i>• The process of validation, the checking</i></li> </ul>	<p>The geological models used for the resource estimation was created in Voxler (Version 4.2.584), a modelling package developed and distributed by Golden Software in Colorado.</p> <p>The dataset was populated with the lithological, sample interval and quality data and then interrogated by the software for the required outcomes. Parameters controlling the modelling operation (such as interpolator selection and conformable relationships) are defined and maintained in the model framework.</p> <p>The Gridder module interpolates scattered point data onto a uniform lattice. This type of lattice is used to create several types of output graphics, including Isosurfaces. A uniform lattice is a one-, two-, or three-dimensional orthogonal array of data points arranged in the XYZ directions with points equally spaced in each direction. The distance between data points in the X, Y, and Z directions is the same throughout the lattice, but the X separation distance is not necessarily the same as the Y or Z separation distances. The range and resolution of the output lattice may be specified along with the interpolation method and associated parameters. Point data is the input type for the Gridder module. The Gridder module creates a uniform lattice as an output. This lattice spacing is set to 25 x 25 x 25m<sup>3</sup> for this project. The gridding method used is the inverse of distance squared. For this horizontal sample spacing Kriging is not appropriate.</p> <p>The remaining model geometry is defined by the settings of the anisotropy tool as defined for the X, Y and Z directions during gridding. The maximum search radius in the Y-direction (N-S orientation) was set at 100 m. The maximum search radius in the X-direction (E-W orientation) was set at 50 m. The search radius for the vertical component (Z- dimension) is set at 1 m to coincide with the average sampling width of 1 m along the drillhole trajectory. Structural boundaries are not applied at this stage, since the drilled boreholes were all terminated within the graphitic mineralised zone. The models are thus defined and delimited within an open mineralised zone.</p> <p>The Isosurface module creates an isosurface through an input lattice. An isosurface is a surface of constant value in a three-dimensional volume. In this instance the isosurfaces are various grades of V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>. The isosurface separates regions of less than the selected isovalue from regions with values greater than the selected isovalue. All points on the isosurface have the same value i.e. 0.25% V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>. This module provides a very quick method for constructing polygonal surface models from a lattice. The algorithm computes lattice cell interactions and combines them into triangle meshes for rendering. An Isosurface module can be exported to different file types, including IV, 3D DXF, and XYZC data files in the following data file formats: CSV, DAT, SLK, TXT, XLS, and XLSX. The component value is the same for every point in the isosurface.</p> <p>A uniform grid with nodes is generated for each volume. Given the drilling spacing, the grid cell size is set at 25 x 25 x 25 m<sup>3</sup>. It is pointless to grid to a smaller size given that the average borehole spacing across the whole area came to an average of 85 m in a roughly straight line. Volumes were calculated for various grades across the sample result range.</p> <p>The deposit was divided into an upper oxidised zone and a lower fresh zone. Once a specific grade volume has been calculated a weighted average density is applied to the volume and a tonnage is determined. Weighted averaging for sample length was applied. No grade truncations were applied. A cut-off grade of 0.2% has been applied. Grade-tonnage curves were produced and could be used to determine the effect of cut-off grades on remaining mineralised tonnages, but the drilled resource is calculated as intersected in-situ. The calculated grade is weighted for representative mass, as calculated in Voxler. A manual check estimate was completed and the tonnages and the grades compared very closely. No previous estimates have been reported for this project, and hence no reconciliation could be done.</p>



Criteria	Explanation	MUS Commentary
	<i>process used, the comparison of model data to drill hole data, and use of reconciliation data if available.</i>	Provision or assumptions for the recovery of by-products have not been made. The only deleterious element that has been detected so far is the presence of clays in the oxidised zone. This is to be expected, and the influence on metallurgy would be to extend settling time in the process of separation.
<i>Moisture</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Whether the tonnages are estimated on a dry basis or with natural moisture, and the method of determination of the moisture content.</i></li> </ul>	The tonnages are estimated on a dry basis. The influence of moisture on the estimation of the Fresh Zone is considered to be negligible. The porosity of the host rock is very low. The Oxidised Zone may be influenced by moisture content in the shallower parts.
<i>Cut-off parameters</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The basis of the adopted cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied.</i></li> </ul>	A 0.2% grade cut-off was applied. The modelling is limited by drilling extent. The drilling have not intersected and hence delineated the outer edge of barren host rock. The physical limits of the mineralisation will be established with additional drilling programs. Grade-tonnage curves were produced and the influence of various cut-off grades can be investigated. The physical deposit boundaries have not been intersected in the drilling work and hence the model is suspended within graphite and roscoelite mineralised rock. The western and northern deposit boundary (at shallow depth), is expected to be fixed with the next phase of drilling. The eastern and southern boundaries are open to at least 200m and several kilometres respectively.
<i>Balanced reporting</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i></li> </ul>	The report is considered to be balanced. Based on the observed lithology and the influence of oxidation, the deposit is divided into an upper Oxidised Zone and a lower Fresh Zone. Grade differences between the two zones are observed, with the fresh zone showing an elevated grade.
<i>Mining factors or assumptions</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Assumptions made regarding possible mining methods, minimum mining dimensions and internal (or, if applicable, external) mining dilution. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to</i></li> </ul>	No assumptions have been made with respect to mining this deposit. This is a greenfields project and the specialist studies will be following in the various scoping and feasibility phases.

Criteria	Explanation	MUS Commentary
	<p><i>consider potential mining methods, but the assumptions made regarding mining methods and parameters when estimating Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the mining assumptions made.</i></p>	
<p><i>Metallurgical factors or assumptions</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The basis for assumptions or predictions regarding metallurgical amenability. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential metallurgical methods, but the assumptions regarding metallurgical treatment processes and parameters made when reporting Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the metallurgical assumptions made.</i></li> </ul>	<p>The metallurgy testwork was completed by SGS Malaga in Perth. This was standard testwork requested to establish the metallurgical properties of this deposit before advanced flow-sheet development can be undertaken.</p> <p>The composited samples were tested for grindability and the Bond rod mill index suggests that the Caula host rock is softer than comparable graphite deposits.</p> <p>The settling time for the oxidised composite sample was noted to be longer due to the presence of clays in this zone.</p> <p>Testwork on Met Sample 2 indicates that the sample is very amenable to beneficiation by froth flotation realising a final concentrate stream grading 94.9% TGC at 96.3% recovery. After screening of the concentrate, &gt;50% of the concentrate falls in the large and extra-large flake classes and was upgraded to &gt;97% TGC.</p> <p>Testwork on Met Sample 1 indicates that the sample is amenable to beneficiation by froth flotation using a single stream flotation scheme, realising a final concentrate stream grading 97.5% TGC at 80.3% recovery. After screening of the concentrate, &gt;43% of the concentrate falls in the large and extra-large flake classes and was upgraded to &gt;97% TGC.</p> <p>Subsequent to the completion of the initial metallurgical testwork, an optimisation program was completed by Wave International and IMO which indicates that the + 180 micron flake from the oxide material can be upgraded to 98% TGC. At the moment, Nagrom in Perth is busy refining the processing flowsheet with continuing metallurgical testwork.</p> <p>Testwork on the High-Grade Oxide Master Composite commenced during July 2018.</p>
<p><i>Environmental factors or assumptions</i></p>	<p><i>Assumptions made regarding possible waste and process residue disposal options. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider the potential environmental impacts of the mining and processing operation. While at this stage the determination of potential environmental impacts, particularly for a green-fields project, may not always be well advanced, the status of</i></p>	<p>No environmental assumptions have been made. This is a greenfields project and the specialist studies will be following in the various scoping and feasibility phases.</p>

Criteria	Explanation	MUS Commentary
	<p><i>early consideration of these potential environmental impacts should be reported. Where these aspects have not been considered this should be reported with an explanation of the environmental assumptions made.</i></p>	
<p><i>Bulk density</i></p>	<p><i>Whether assumed or determined. If assumed, the basis for the assumptions. If determined, the method used, whether wet or dry, the frequency of the measurements, the nature, size and representativeness of the samples.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>• The bulk density for bulk material must have been measured by methods that adequately account for void spaces (vugs, porosity, etc), moisture and differences between rock and alteration zones within the deposit.</i></li> <li><i>• Discuss assumptions for bulk density estimates used in the evaluation process of the different materials.</i></li> </ul>	<p>Density data for the first 5 DD boreholes was taken from the recovered core and determined on site during the field sampling process. The 11 DD holes that were drilled during 2017 was analysed for density by Pycnometer, and 243 samples were submitted for density determination. The weighted air dry density for the oxidised zone is calculated to be 2.550 tonne/ m<sup>3</sup>. The weighted air dry density for the fresh zone is calculated to be 2.650 tonne/ m<sup>3</sup>. These densities are comparable to similar geological settings, and will hence result in realistic resource tonnage estimates.</p>
<p><i>Classification</i></p>	<p><i>The basis for the classification of the Mineral Resources into varying confidence categories.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>• Whether appropriate account has been taken of all relevant factors (ie relative confidence in tonnage/grade estimations, reliability of input data, confidence in continuity of geology and metal values, quality, quantity and distribution of the data).</i></li> <li><i>• Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent</i></li> </ul>	<p>The resource is classified as Measured. The core losses in the DD boreholes were assigned 0% V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> values as a conservative measure. With additional drilling in the future, the confidence in the estimate may very well improve. The CP has no reason to doubt the input data from the core logging to the laboratory results. The estimate is conservative and probably understated in both tonnage and grade.</p>

Criteria	Explanation	MUS Commentary
	<p><i>Person's view of the deposit</i></p>	
<p><i>Audits or reviews.</i></p>	<p><i>The results of any audits or reviews of Mineral Resource estimates.</i></p>	<p>No reviews or audits have been completed for this deposit.</p>
<p><i>Discussion of relative accuracy/confidence</i></p>	<p><i>Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and confidence level in the Mineral Resource estimate using an approach or procedure deemed appropriate by the Competent Person. For example, the application of statistical or geostatistical procedures to quantify the relative accuracy of the resource within stated confidence limits, or, if such an approach is not deemed appropriate, a qualitative discussion of the factors that could affect the relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>• The statement should specify whether it relates to global or local estimates, and, if local, state the relevant tonnages, which should be relevant to technical and economic evaluation. Documentation should include assumptions made and the procedures used.</i></li> <li><i>• These statements of relative accuracy and</i></li> </ul>	<p>The geovariance for the Caula deposit is calculated over 14 ranges with 27 data-pairs. The range is estimated to be 170 m and the sill grade is 11 % TGC. The nugget value is 3.7% TGC, and the variance is 7.3 %. This calculation is based on information from 17 boreholes, and may well change as it gets updated with new drilling information. Based on this geovariance, the drill spacing at an average of 85 m is considered to be sufficient to determine a measured resource. There is no current operation in place and hence no site-specific production data for comparisons to be made.</p>

Criteria	Explanation	MUS Commentary
	<i>confidence of the estimate should be compared with production data, where available.</i>	