

ASX Announcement

Kalamazoo **Resources Ltd** ACN: 150 026 850 ASX: KZR

Head Office Unit 3 328 Reserve Road Cheltenham 3192 Victoria

Suite 7 8 Clive Street West Perth 6005 Western Australia

Phone 1300 782 988

Fax +61 8 9481 8488

admin@kzr.com.au

kzr.com.au

KALAMAZOO ACQUIRES 1.65MOZ ASHBURTON GOLD PROJECT FROM NORTHERN STAR

Highlights

- Kalamazoo has acquired the 217km² Ashburton Gold Project, located on the southern edge of the Pilbara Craton, WA from Northern Star Resources
- The Ashburton Gold Project has produced **350,000oz Au¹** and currently contains a Mineral Resource estimate (JORC Code (2012)) of 20.8Mt @2.5g/t Au for 1.65Moz²
- The project has significant regional greenfields and brownfields exploration potential, a large drilling, geological, geochemical, and geophysical database, and numerous walk-up drilling targets
- This major project acquisition is an important addition to Kalamazoo's quality Australian gold assets in the Victorian Goldfields and the Pilbara region
- The exploration team will be led by Kalamazoo's WA based Director Paul Adams, previously Managing Director of Spectrum Metals
- Kalamazoo's project strategy is to make new discoveries, substantially increase the 1.65Moz oxide and sulphide gold resource, and advance project development plans
- Exploration will commence immediately on site at the Ashburton Gold Project which includes a self-contained camp, core farm and supporting infrastructure

¹ ASX: SRI 14 February 2011

² ASX: NST 28 February 2013

Kalamazoo Resources Limited (ASX: KZR) ("Kalamazoo" or "the Company") is pleased to announce that it has purchased from Northern Star Resources Limited (ASX: NST) ("Northern Star") the highly prospective Ashburton Gold Project. Located on the southern edge of the Pilbara Craton in Western Australia, the project's historical gold production consisted of **350,000oz Au** in the period 1998-2004 and currently contains a Mineral Resource estimate (JORC Code (2012)) of **20.8Mt @2.5g/t Au** for **1.65Moz.**

This major acquisition is an important addition to Kalamazoo's Pilbara gold assets. In a similar investment strategy to the acquisition of its flagship Castlemaine Gold Project in Victoria in 2018, the deal structure enables Kalamazoo to invest funds directly "into the ground".

Under the terms of the agreement, Kalamazoo will pay Northern Star:

- 1. \$5.0M on mining of the first 250,000 tonnes of Ore; and
- 2. a 2% Net Smelter Royalty ("NSR") on the first 250,000oz of gold produced, with a 0.75% NSR on any subsequent gold produced from the tenements; and
- 3. the same NSR's will also apply on any other metals produced from the tenements.

A pre-existing 1.75% royalty on gold production (excluding the first 250,000oz) is applicable across the Project, with all Heritage and Mining Agreements in place with Native Title parties.

The Pilbara region has seen a renewed focus on gold exploration due to the recent world-class Hemi oxide/sulphide gold discovery by De Grey Mining Limited (ASX: DEG) ("De Grey"). This has been complemented by major gold development progress by Calidus Resources Limited (ASX: CAI) ("Calidus") at the 1.25Moz Warrawoona Project³ and Capricorn Metals Limited (ASX: CMM) ("Capricorn") at the 2.1Moz Karlawinda Project⁴ (Figure 1).

As part of its due diligence, Kalamazoo has been reviewing project data to assess and determine future drilling programs with the aim to substantially increase the existing **1.65Moz** gold resource.

Kalamazoo Chairman and CEO Luke Reinehr said today, "We are delighted to have acquired this major exploration project with its 1.65Moz gold resource from Northern Star. This is a tremendous outcome for our shareholders and an outstanding addition to our prospective portfolio of gold projects in the Pilbara. Kalamazoo is in the unique position of having major assets and tenure in two of the most highly rated gold exploration provinces in the world today – the Victorian Goldfields and the Pilbara.

We will progress our Victorian and Pilbara projects in tandem as we focus on adding value to our gold project portfolio. With talented exploration teams in place in both WA and Victoria, we can continue both our exploration programs unhindered by the current COVID-19 travel restrictions.

Prior to listing on the ASX, we held a large exploration tenure alongside Northern Star and its Paulsens Gold Mine. This has assisted us in identifying the inherent value of the nearby Ashburton Gold Project and we thank Northern Star for the acquisition opportunity and the deal structure that enables us to put our funds directly into exploration. We would like to acknowledge the professionalism shown by Northern Star's key people and the simplicity of the transaction".

³ ASX: CAI 19 February 2020

⁴ ASX: CMM 12 May 2020

Northern Star Executive Chair Bill Beament said: *"The Ashburton project no longer fits in Northern Star's portfolio but still has strong potential on both the exploration and production fronts. The royalty structure also enables Northern Star to retain an exposure to the project."*



Figure 1: Pilbara Craton Location Map showing Kalamazoo's Pilbara gold projects

Exploration Team

Kalamazoo's Technical Advisory Committee, comprised of Paul Adams, Exploration Manager Dr. Luke Mortimer and Dr. Quinton Hennigh, Chairman of Novo Resources Corp ("Novo") (TSX-V: NVO; OTCQX: NSRPF) will provide technical oversight of the Ashburton Gold Project exploration program. Recognising the prospectivity of Kalamazoo's Victorian and Pilbara gold portfolios, Novo and Canadian gold investor Eric Sprott each made a strategic investment in the Company in January 2020⁵.

Paul Adams has recently played a key role as Managing Director of Spectrum Metals Limited **(ASX: SPX) ("Spectrum")** in the major WA exploration discovery at Penny West, that saw its gold resources increase from 36,000oz to 355,500oz in just 12 months. In February 2020, Ramelius Resources Limited **(ASX: RMS)** launched a successful takeover of Spectrum⁶.

⁶ ASX: RMS 10 February 2020

Kalamazoo's Director Paul Adams said today, "I am delighted to now have the opportunity of leading our first-class exploration team at Kalamazoo as we look to develop this project further. The upcoming discovery phase will be very exciting as we work to substantially increase the current 1.65Moz resource and investigate development options".



Figure 2: Northern Star's Senior Development Geologist Brook Ekers and Kalamazoo's Director Paul Adams on site at the Ashburton Gold Project, June 2020

Assisting the Technical Advisory Committee is Kalamazoo's Exploration Manager – West, Lance Govey.

Kalamazoo has also engaged Damien Keys, a geologist with 20 years' experience in mining and exploration and a PhD from James Cook University, focusing on structural and economic geology. Damien has held senior geological and management roles with numerous companies including Gold Fields Ltd and Silver Lake Resources Ltd (ASX: SLR). Most recently, Damien has been involved in the gold resource identification and expansion for Spectrum.

Background / Historical Performance

Located in the Ashburton region on the southern edge of the Pilbara Craton, the project is well situated near the towns of Paraburdoo and Tom Price. These mining towns are serviced with direct daily flights from Perth, as well as excellent road connections and light industry (Figure 1).

During 1996 and 1997, Sipa Resources Limited **(ASX: SRI) ("Sipa")** discovered five deposits at Ashburton - Mt Olympus, West Olympus, Zeus, Peake, and Waugh.

Mt Olympus, Zeus, Peake, and Waugh together produced approximately 350,000oz of gold from 3.2Mt of oxide (and minor transition) ore at an average grade of 3.3g/t Au between December 1998 and April 2004 (Figure 3). The majority of the gold came from Mt Olympus which produced 242,000oz of gold from 2.5Mt at an average grade of 3g/t Au, with a recovery of 92% and a strip ratio of 3:1.

The onsite plant was sold in 2006 and site rehabilitation was completed in 2007.

Sipa and Newcrest entered into Farm-in and Joint Venture Agreements in June 1998 covering all Sipa tenements, except the Mount Olympus, Zeus, Peake, and Waugh deposits. Newcrest withdrew from the project in May 2009 after spending more than \$20 million, with estimates that 60% of this expenditure was spent on field activities.

Northern Star acquired the Ashburton Gold Project from Sipa in February 2011 by agreeing to the payment of a future royalty stream and over the next two years, undertook substantial exploration, technical, metallurgical and feasibility study works.



Figure 3: Ashburton Gold Project tenement map detailing past gold production by Sipa Resources 1998-2004 (modified from ASX: NST 14 February 2011)

Gold Resources

Covering **217km²** the project area consists of Mining Leases 52/639, 52/640, 52/734 and 52/735 and Exploration Licences 52/1941, 52/3024 and 52/3025.

During 2012, Northern Star drilled 92 RC and diamond drill holes for 23,512m at the Ashburton Gold Project as part of its upgrading of the Inferred Mineral Resource and extending the known mineralisation. At Mt Olympus, Northern Star increased the Mineral Resource estimate (JORC Code 2012) to 15.18Mt @2.2g/t Au for 1.08Moz² (Figure 4).

In 2012, Northern Star also completed a major drilling campaign focused on the Peake Prospect which is located 3km from the Mt Olympus deposit. The Peake Prospect is an open pit that was mined in 2001 and produced approximately 89,000t at 6.5g/t Au for 18,700oz from the oxide zone. The mined ore body has a strike length of 600m, true width of 2-4m and dips 70-85 degrees south².



Figure 4: Long Section of Mt Olympus Resource (modified from ASX: NST 28 February 2013)

A significant resource increase was achieved by Northern Star at the Peake Prospect (Figure 5) as part of the Resource upgrade. The Peake Prospect resource now stands at **3.7Mt** @ **3.3g/t Au** for **399,000oz²**.



Figure 5: Long Section of Peake Resource (modified from ASX: NST 28 February 2013)

In 2013, Northern Star released an updated Mineral Resource for the Ashburton Gold Project. The estimates were reported in compliance with the JORC Code 2012 with an increase to **20.79Mt @2.45g/t Au** containing **1.65Moz** as per Table 1. Approximately 650,000oz of this resource was reported to be contained within the oxide material.

| ASHBURTON GOLD PROJECT MINERAL RESOURCES ² | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------|---------|---------|----------|-------|---------|---------|-------|---------|------------|
| | 11 | NDICATE | D | INFERRED | | TOTAL | | | | |
| | Tonnes | Grade | Ounces | Tonnes | Grade | Ounces | Tonnes | Grade | Ounces | Cut off |
| | (000's) | (g/t) | (000's) | (000's) | (g/t) | (000's) | (000's) | (g/t) | (000's) | Grade |
| Mt Olympus | 6,038 | 2.3 | 448 | 9,138 | 2.2 | 632 | 15,176 | 2.2 | 1,080 | 0.7 g/t Au |
| Peake | 113 | 5.2 | 19 | 3,544 | 3.3 | 380 | 3,657 | 3.4 | 399 | 0.9 g/t Au |
| Waugh | 347 | 3.6 | 40 | 240 | 3.6 | 28 | 587 | 3.6 | 68 | 0.9 g/t Au |
| Zeus | 508 | 2.1 | 34 | 532 | 2.2 | 38 | 1,040 | 2.2 | 72 | 0.9 g/t Au |
| Romulus | - | - | - | 329 | 2.6 | 27 | 329 | 2.6 | 27 | 0.9 g/t Au |
| TOTAL RESOURCES | 7,006 | 2.4 | 541 | 13,783 | 2.5 | 1,105 | 20,789 | 2.5 | 1,646 | |

Table 1: Ashburton Gold Project (JORC Code 2012) Mineral Resources

Exploration Strategy, Model and Upside

Northern Star's rapid expansion of the resource inventory from 668,000oz to 1.65Moz in the period 2011 – 2013 and proposed resource extension drilling plans, supports Kalamazoo's assessment that there is both excellent brownfields and greenfields exploration potential. As such, the primary objective of Kalamazoo's exploration strategy is now to increase the resource base through a systematic, effective, and innovative exploration process.

Previously, both Sipa and Northern Star have reported that their preferred gold exploration model for the Ashburton Gold Project is for sediment hosted Carlin-style mineralisation. The Ashburton Basin shares several similarities with the Carlin trend (>110Moz of past production and Reserves) of the Great Basin in Nevada including:

- Carbonates and carbonaceous siltstones
- Evidence of de-calcification of dolomite and limestone units
- Au-As-Sb-Hg geochemical signature
- Silicification and jasperoid development
- Mineralised structures (possible feeders)
- Evidence of intrusive activity includes the Boolaloo Granodiorite intruding the Ashburton Formation in the northwest and a large magnetic anomaly beneath the central Ashburton Basin

Kalamazoo's systematic, effective, and innovative exploration process will include:

- Immediate compilation and review of the extensive exploration data sets
- Potential acquisition/use of more detailed airborne geophysical and remote sensing datasets
- Target generation
- Field reconnaissance of identified prospect areas
- Surface exploration programs such as soil sampling and/or ground geophysical surveys of all high priority prospect areas
- 3D-modelling of identified targets
- Conduct significant drill testing campaigns

As part of the due diligence process, Kalamazoo has already identified several prospect areas that require immediate follow up evaluation and drill testing. Viewed at the regional scale, the historical drilling is biased towards existing prospects with significant residual brownfields plus greenfields exploration potential across the entire **217km²** project area (Figure 6).

Exploration can commence immediately on site with the Ashburton Gold Project utilising an existing small workable self-contained camp, core farm and supporting infrastructure.



Figure 6: Preliminary Exploration targets, historical drilling and identified Mineral Resources

Development Potential

Northern Star completed economic and technical studies on the development potential of the Ashburton Gold Project in the period 2012-2013. This included formulating a two-staged strategy, with Stage One processing ore via an oxide plant and Stage Two based on a sulphide plant². This strategy had production commencing at Ashburton as a free-milling oxide operation to generate early cashflow and to de-risk the subsequent establishment of a long life, high-grade sulphide operation. This strategy was supported by Sipa's production history.

On the 29th July 2013, Northern Star announced that "due to the sudden drop and the extreme volatility in the gold price experienced in the quarter (falling to ~A\$1,300), the Board has taken the prudent decision to delay extensive evaluation of the Ashburton stand-alone project."⁷ From that time, development plans at the Ashburton Gold Project were put on hold.

In addition to implementing its exploration strategy, Kalamazoo plans to review and update previous project feasibility and development studies by utilising a current gold price in the range of A\$2,200-\$2,500/oz⁸. Kalamazoo will also investigate in detail, metallurgical and technology options for the processing of the sulphide resource.

The Ashburton Gold Project is located approximately 200km southeast of Northern Star's Paulsens Gold Mine, which has been on care and maintenance since 2018. At its peak, the Paulsens' CIL gold plant produced approximately 100,000oz Au per annum. Should this plant be re-commissioned, Kalamazoo could investigate the feasibility of mining and hauling high-grade oxide to Paulsens for processing.

In a similar style of operation, Kalamazoo completed a successful and profitable 3-month trial mining operation at its Snake Well Gold Project **("Snake Well")** in the Murchison, WA in 2016 by hauling high-grade ore 221km to a third party CIL plant for processing.

⁷ ASX: NST 29 July 2013

⁸ Gold Price sourced from kitco.com as at 1 June 2020

Funding of Planned Exploration Program

As part of its project acquisition strategy, Kalamazoo has recently negotiated an early payment of \$3.25M owed to it from the recent sale of the Snake Well project. These funds will be directly allocated to exploration at the Ashburton Gold Project.

Kalamazoo sold Snake Well to Adaman Resources Pty Ltd **("Adaman")** in November 2018⁹. The sale price for Snake Well was \$7.0M payable over approximately 24 months. The sum of \$3.75M has been paid with the balance of \$3.25M due via payments on 30 June 2020, 30 September 2020, and 31 December 2020.

Kalamazoo has agreed for Adaman to make an early payment of the \$3.25M balance on favourable terms (2.5% discount on outstanding payments). These funds will now be allocated and used exclusively for next stage exploration and drilling activities at the Ashburton Gold Project.

The early payment of the Snake Well sale funds is positive for Kalamazoo's shareholders as no capital raising is required to fund the immediate planned exploration program at Ashburton. Furthermore, with a stand-alone WA exploration team in place to manage the project, Kalamazoo's focus on upcoming drill programs at its flagship projects in Victoria will continue as planned.

Mineral Resource Estimate – Summary of Material Information

Geology of Gold Deposits

The Mt Olympus, Zeus and Peake gold deposits are situated within the Neerambah Complex of the Lower Proterozoic Wyloo Group, on the southern, and faulted, margin of the Diligence Dome (Figure 7). The Dome is cored by the Cheela Springs Basalt and is overlain by clastic sedimentary rocks of the Mt McGrath Formation. The Waugh Deposit is situated on the northern limb of the Dome.

- The Mt Olympus Deposit, which was mined from two pits, Mt Olympus itself, and the much smaller West Olympus pit, is mainly hosted by sandstone and pebbly sandstone of the Mt McGrath Formation, with lesser mineralisation in an underlying mudstone unit. The West Olympus mineralisation is partly within the underlying Cheela Springs Basalt.
- The Zeus Deposit is hosted by medium grained sandstones of the Mt McGrath Formation within the Zoe Fault system. Mineralisation is again disseminated gold-bearing arsenical pyrite, with a little more gold bearing quartz than at Mount Olympus.
- The Peake Deposit is a steep southerly dipping lode of banded, layer-parallel (that is, parallel to the Fault) sericitised siltstone-mudstone and centimetre thick arsenical pyrite and minor gold-bearing quartz layers, cutting moderately southerly dipping siltstones of the Mt McGrath Formation.
 - The Waugh Deposit is situated 3km northeast of Mt Olympus. It is hosted by moderately north dipping siltstones of the Mt McGrath Formation, but most of the mineralisation is within a slightly discordant ironstone breccia, which in very few primary zone drill intersections is dominated by arsenical pyrite.

Each of the deposits is typified by sericite alteration and bleaching, and sometimes silicification, with highly elevated As and generally elevated Sb and Hg, and in some cases Cu (e.g. Mount Olympus).

⁹ ASX: KZR 14 November 2018



Figure 7: The Mt Olympus, Waugh and Zeus mined pits at the Ashburton Gold Project (as at June 2020)

Geological Interpretation

The interpretation of the Mt Olympus, Zeus and Waugh deposits was carried out using a systematic approach to ensure continuity of the geology and estimated Mineral Resource using Vulcan software. The confidence in the geological interpretation is high with all the information and 5 years of open pit operation.

All available geological data was used in the interpretation including mapping, drilling, oxidation surfaces, and underground style high grade ore zone interpretations. No alternative interpretations have been completed or put forward. Drill core logging and pit development data used to create 3D constrained wireframes. Continuity of the grade closely follows sedimentary bedding planes, particularly the coarser grained units.

For the Peake Deposit, mineralisation is hosted within shallower south dipping siltstones of the Mount McGrath formation. Its true width is approximately 2 to 4 metres and is very continuous along strike. Mineralisation is easily identifiable in the pit as a strongly foliated pale cream siltstone that is carbonate, silica and sericite altered. The siltstone may contain ex-pyrite as well as primary sulphides at depth. Gold is generally found within stringers and veinlets of quartz within this zone. There is a sharp grade cut-off on the hangingwall side of the structure and it is marked by a change into a more hematite-rich siltstone. The grade boundary is more diffuse on the footwall side of mineralisation.

Sampling and sub-sampling techniques

Deposits were sampled by diamond drilling (DD) and reverse circulation (RC) drilling completed by Northern Star Resources Limited ("NSR") and previous operators Sipa Resources Ltd ("Sipa"). Sampling of diamond drilling was generally done on NQ2 (core diameter). Core sample intervals were defined by the geologist to honour geological boundaries ranging from 0.3 to 1.5m in length.

Core was half cut with an Almonté diamond core saw. Sample intervals were defined by a qualified geologist to honour geological boundaries. The left half has been archived. All major mineralised zones were sampled, plus associated visibly barren material more than 5m from mineralised zones. Sample intervals are 1m in length, though range from 0.3m to 4.0m in length. Total weight of each sample generally does not exceed 5kg.

Duplicate samples were taken from the cone splitter at an incidence of 1 in 25 samples. Repeat analysis of pulp samples (for all sample types – diamond and RC) occured at an incidence of 2 in 50 samples.

For reverse circulation drilling, a rig-mounted static cone splitter was used with the aperture set to yield a primary sample of approximately 4kg for every metre (representing approximately one eighth of the total sample). Off-split retained. Duplicate samples were taken from the cone splitter at an incidence of 1 in 25 samples. Repeat analysis of pulp samples (for all sample types – diamond and RC) occured at an incidence of 2 in 50 samples.

Both RC and DD sampling was conducted by previous operators to industry standard at that time often using 1m samples after initial 4m composites. It is unknown what grade threshold triggers the 1m resamples in previous drilling (Sipa).

Drilling methods

For DD core, core was aligned and measured by tape, comparing back to down hole core blocks consistent with industry practice. Diamond drilling was completed to industry standard using varying sample lengths (0.3 to 1.5m) based on geological intervals. NSR surface diamond drilling was carried out by using both HQ3 (triple tube) and NQ2 (standard tube) techniques. Sampled sections were generally NQ2. Core was orientated using the ORI-shot device.

RC and surface core drilling was completed by previous operators to industry standard at that time (1988 initial discovery, to 2004). RC sampling was to industry standard at the time of drilling.

Both DD and RC recoveries were good as the ground at each deposit is relatively competent. Approximately 692 drill holes have been used to derive the Mineral Resource estimates.

Sample analysis method

Following drying at 105°C to constant mass, all samples below approximately 4kg were totally pulverised in LM5's to nominally 90% passing a 75 μ m screen. The very few samples generated above 4kg were crushed to <6mm and riffle split first prior to pulverisation. NSR diamond core samples were fire assayed (50g charge). Visible gold was occasionally encountered in core.

All samples were oven-dried overnight (max 120°), jaw crushed to <6mm, and split to <3kg in a static riffle splitter. The coarse reject was then discarded. The remainder was pulverised in an LM5 to >85% passing 75 μ m (Tyler 200 mesh) and bagged. The analytical sample was further reduced to a 30g charge weight using a spatula, and the pulp packet stored. For older pre-NSR samples, best practice is assumed.

For all NSR drill core samples, gold concentration was determined by fire assay using the lead collection technique with a 30g (or 50g depending on which lab was used) sample charge weight. An AAS finish was used, considered to be total gold. Various multi-element suites were analysed using a four-acid digest with an ICP-OES finish was used. RC drilling by previous operators was to industry standard at the time and not reviewed for this Mineral Resource estimation.

Estimation Methodology

Due to complex mineralisation geometry and varying intercept angles the true thickness was manually derived.

Compositing of drill-hole samples was completed in each mineralised domain at 1m (downhole) intervals. The ordinary kriging interpolation (OK) method was used in the first 2 passes of the estimation. A final nearest neighbor method was used to fill empty blocks. 73% of blocks were estimated in the first 2 passes. Maximum distance of extrapolation from data points was statistically determined and varies by domain. Vulcan software was used for data compilation, domain wire framing, calculating, and coding composite values and reporting.

Block model volumes were compared to wireframe volumes to validate sub-blocking. Reconciled historical production from open pit operations is comparable with the new estimate. No assumptions are made and only gold was defined for estimation. No deleterious elements were estimated in the model.

The parent block size is $10m (Y) \times 10m (X) \times 10m (Z)$, with sub-block to $1.25m \times 1.25m \times 1.25m$. Average sample spacing is 20m by 20m or better for the main part of the Resource, up to 20m by 40m on the peripheries. A 3m minimum mining width for both the surface and underground environment is assumed.

For the Peake deposit, compositing of drill-hole samples was completed against one mineralised domain at 1m (downhole) intervals. The ordinary kriging interpolation (OK) method was used in the first 2 passes of the estimation. A final nearest neighbour method was used to fill empty blocks. 99.3% of the blocks were filled in the first 2 passes. Maximum distance of extrapolation from data points was statistically determined and varies by domain Vulcan software was used for data compilation, domain wire framing, calculating, and coding composite values and reporting.

Block model volumes were compared to wireframe volumes to validate sub-blocking. Reconciled historical production from open pit operations is comparable with the new estimate. No assumptions are made and only gold is defined for estimation. No deleterious elements were estimated in the model. The parent block size is 16m (Y) x 8m (Z), with sub-block to $1m \times 0.5m \times 0.5m$. Drill hole spacing varies from 5m to 200m.

Average sample spacing is 40m by 40m or better for the main part of the Resource, up to 40m by 120m on the peripheries. A 3m minimum mining width for both the surface and underground environment is assumed.

Mineralisation wireframes are created within the geological shapes based on drill core logs, mapping and grade. Low grades can form part of an ore wireframe. Estimations are constrained by the interpretations.

Classification

The Resource classification is based primarily on the geological and grade continuity as shown by drilling (open pit grade control data not considered). If a wireframe has been constructed with geological or grade continuity, all blocks within the wireframe are assigned as inferred.

Assignment of the Indicated Resource category was done on each ore zone individually using a number of different criteria including:

- continuity of both grade and geology;
- drill holes' density;
- number of passes to fill the blocks; and
- quality of the estimate (kriging efficiency).

The halo (non-wireframed material) was assigned a Resource category of Inferred if it is within the Inferred wireframe and the block is filled in the first pass. Input and geological data is assumed accurate backed up by previous successful mining operations. The Mineral Resource has been subjected to a review by NSR and an independent validation of the Mineral Resource estimates was undertaken by an independent consultant from Optiro.

The Mineral Resource estimate is considered as robust and representative of the Mount Olympus mineralisation (also for Zeus and Waugh). The application of geostatistical methods has helped to increase the confidence of the model and quantify the relative accuracy of the Resource on a global scale. See above for average drill densities.

Cut-off grades and other parameters

The cut-off grades reported for the Mt Olympus, Waugh and Zeus deposits was 0.7g/t Au. Modelling lower grade cut off was set at 0.5 g/t Au nominally. Tonnages were estimated on a dry basis as moisture content within the ore was expected to be low. Peake utilised cut off grades of 0.9 g/ Au and a modelling lower grade cut off 0.5 g/t Au nominally.

A total of 4,440 bulk density measurements from 30 diamond drill holes were taken from mineralised and unmineralised intervals from Mt Olympus, Waugh and Zeus. Individual bulk densities were applied in accordance with specific geological units and weathering states. In fresh material, a correlation between the bulk density value and gold assay grade exists and was used to assign bulk density values.

At Peake, a total of 898 specific gravity measurements were taken from 12 NST drill core holes. The method used was the submersion technique. Most the specific gravity measurements were conducted on fresh material. Fresh unmineralised material was given SG of 2.95 given as a result of NSR SG measurement at Peake and Mt Olympus (similar geology). The average SG given to fresh mineralised material (inside ore wireframes) was 3.10. This is due to the increase in heavy sulphide minerals (pyrite). For transitional material, a conservative Specific Gravity measurement of 2.75 was used considering SG's from current data, previous Resource models and Mount Olympus which has similar geology.

For oxide material, a conservative SG of 2.65 was given. This considers current data and previous Resource models and reconciled data from mining the open pit.

For all deposits within the Ashburton Gold Project the metallurgical conditions and characteristics of the mineralisation are generally known with free milling material mined by Sipa from within oxide zones. Fresh mineralisation is refractory in nature with its high pyrite content and fine gold at times locked within this matrix. Initial test work has shown favourable results, more detailed studies are required. No metallurgical assumptions have been built into the Resource model.

Enhancing Kalamazoo's Existing Portfolio of Pilbara Gold Projects

The Ashburton Gold Project is a prime addition to Kalamazoo's portfolio of quality gold projects in the Pilbara region.

Located north of the Ashburton Gold Project is Kalamazoo's **The Sisters Project** (E47/2983 and ELA47/4342) which covers **136km²** and is considered prospective for both epigenetic gold mineralisation associated with the Wohler Shear Zone, as well as potential mineralised intrusions as newly identified at De Grey's world class Mallina Gold Project discovery (Figure 8). This shear zone is a prospective splay from the Tabba, Mallina, Withnell and Berghaus Shear Zone complex that hosts much of De Grey's gold resource including the Hemi gold deposit. At the Mallina Gold Project, De Grey recently announced the overall oxide/sulphide Mineral Resource (JORC Code 2012) has increased to 37.3Mt @1.8g/t Au for 2.2Moz, although there is expected to be a substantial resource upgrade from the recent Hemi discovery¹⁰.

Kalamazoo has recently completed a project wide geochemical survey at The Sisters targeting the Wohler Shear Zone over a structural corridor approximately **14km** in length, with results anticipated shortly. The soil sampling program covers an area of approximately **45km**² with 2,200 samples collected along the Wohler Shear Zone corridor for UltraFine+TM analysis in a CSIRO research project led by Dr Ryan Noble. The UltraFine+TM data will be used to potentially detect gold mineralisation as well as any broad alteration halos to focus exploration toward ground geophysical surveys and drill testing. In parallel, airborne magnetics and radiometrics surveys of the project area were carried out in late May 2020, with results soon to be announced¹¹.



Figure 8: The Sisters Project location

Kalamazoo's **Marble Bar Project** (E45/4724) covers **48km²** and is located 6.5km east of Marble Bar and 11km north-west of Calidus' 1.25Moz Warrawoona Gold Project (Figure 1). The southern boundary of Kalamazoo's project area is adjacent to Calidus' E45/4555 which contains the high grade Klondyke Gold deposit. A recent soil sampling program by Kalamazoo identified a high priority soil gold anomaly in the southern area of the tenement with gold values peaking at 261ppb (0.26ppm) in an anomalous zone¹². A follow up airborne magnetics/radiometrics survey of the project area was carried out in early May 2020, with results yet to be announced.

The Company's **DOM's Hill Project** consists of three granted tenements (E45/4722, E45/4887, EL45/5146) and one exploration license application (ELA45/4919) located 110km southeast of Port Hedland within the Archaean East Pilbara Region (Figure 1). An initial soil sampling program was carried out over the northern area in April 2020 following a reconnaissance field investigation that also located numerous gold nuggets via metal detecting¹³. Results are expected shortly.

For further information, please contact:

Luke Reinehr Chairman / CEO luke.reinehr@kzr.com.au

Tom Whiting Taylor Collison <u>twhiting@taylorcollison.com.au</u> Victoria Humphries Investor Relations – NWR Communications <u>victoria@nwrcommunications.com.au</u>

Previously Released ASX Material References

For further details relating to the Ashburton Gold Project and information in this announcement please refer to the following ASX announcements:

ASX: SRI: 14 February 2011 ASX: NST 14 February 2011 ASX: NST 28 July 2011 ASX: NST 2 April 2012 ASX: NST 2 July 2012 ASX: NST 26 July 2012 ASX: NST 27 August 2012 ASX: NST 10 September 2012 ASX: NST 7 February 2013 ASX: NST 28 February 2013 ASX: NST 29 July 2013 ASX: KZR 20 November 2017 ASX: KZR 14 November 2018* ASX: NST 1 August 2019 ASX: KZR 9 October 2019* ASX: KZR 2 December 2019* ASX: KZR 15 January 2020* ASX: CAI 19 February 2020 ASX: DEG 27 April 2020 ASX: CMM 12 May 2020 ASX: KZR 3 June 2020* ASX: NST 22 June 2020

¹³ ASX: KZR 2 December 2019

Competent Persons Statement

The information in this report that relates to Exploration Results for The Sisters, Marble Bar and DOM's Hill Projects are extracted from the Company's ASX announcements as referred to above (see releases marked *) and are available to view on <u>www.kzr.com.au</u>. The Company confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the original market announcements.

The information in this announcement that relates to the sampling techniques and data (Section 1 of the JORC Table 1's) and the reporting of exploration results (Section 2 of the JORC Table 1's) at the Ashburton Project is based on information compiled by Mr Lance Govey, a competent person who is a Member of The Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Mr Govey is an employee of BinEx Consulting who is engaged as the Exploration Manager WA for the Company. Mr Govey has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. Mr Govey consents to the inclusion in this document of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

The information in this announcement that relates to the estimation and reporting of mineral resources (Section 3 of the JORC Table 1's) at the Ashburton Project is based on information compiled by Dr Damien Keys, a competent person who is a Member of Australian Institute of Geoscientists. Dr Keys is an employee of Complete Target Pty Ltd who is engaged as a consultant to Kalamazoo Resources Limited. Dr Keys has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. Dr Keys consents to the inclusion in this document of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

Forward Looking Statements

Statements regarding Kalamazoo's plans with respect to its mineral properties and programs are forward-looking statements. There can be no assurance that Kalamazoo's plans for development of its mineral properties will proceed as currently expected. There can also be no assurance that Kalamazoo will be able to confirm the presence of additional mineral resources/reserves, that any mineralisation will prove to be economic or that a mine will successfully be developed on any of Kalamazoo's mineral properties. The performance of Kalamazoo may be influenced by a number of factors which are outside the control of the Company and its Directors, staff, and contractors.

JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1 Report Ashburton Mt Olympus Deposit (including Waugh, Zeus & Romulus) Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

| | Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|----------|--|--|---|
| Sa te | ampling echniques | Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc.). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling | This deposit was sampled by diamond drilling and RC drilling completed by NSR (Northern Star Resources Limited) and previous operators. NSR – DD. Sampled sections are generally NQ2. Core sample intervals are defined by the geologist to honour geological boundaries ranging from 0.3 to 1.5m in length. |
| | | support for per rate in an initial for an oper meaning of semploing. | NSR - RC - Rig-mounted static cone splitter used with the aperture set to yield a primary sample of approximately 4kg for every metre (representing approximately one eighth of the total sample). Off-split retained. |
| | | | RC and DD sampling by previous operators to industry standard at that time often using 1m samples after initial 4m composites. It is unknown what grade threshold triggers the 1m re-samples. |
| | | Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the | Core was aligned and measured by tape, comparing back to down hole core blocks consistent with industry practice. |
| | | appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used. | RC and surface core drilling completed by previous operators to industry standard at that time (1988 initial discovery, to 2004). |
| | | Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. | Diamond drilling completed to industry standard using varying sample lengths (0.3 to 1.5m) based on geological intervals, which are then crushed and pulverised to produce a ~200g pulp sub sample to use in the assay process. |
| | | reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30g charge for fire assay'). In other cases, more explanation may | NSR diamond core samples are fire assayed (50g charge). |
| | | be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. | Visible gold is occasionally encountered in core. |
| | | disclosure of detailed information. | RC sampling to industry standard at the time of drilling. |
| D | rilling | Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, | RC – Reverse circulation drilling is carried out using a face sampling hammer and a 5¼ inch diameter bit. |
| te | echniques | Bangka, sonic, etc.) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc.). | NSR surface diamond drilling carried out by using both HQ3 (triple tube) and NQ2 (standard tube) techniques. Sampled sections are generally NQ2. |
| | | | Core is orientated using the ORI-shot device. |
| D | Drill sample recovery | Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed. | RC – Approximate recoveries are sometimes recorded as percentage ranges based on a visual and weight estimate of the sample. |
| re | | | DD – Recoveries are recorded as a percentage calculated from measured core verses drilled intervals. |
| | Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative natu samples. | Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the | NSR diamond drilling practice results in high recovery due to the competent nature of the ground. |
| | | samples. | For RC drilling, efforts are made to ensure good recoveries are achieved by the use of auxiliary compressors and high-pressure booster units supplying compressed air at a high enough pressure to keep water from the hole and the samples dry in most circumstances. Where water is encountered in the pre-collar and wet samples result, more frequent cleaning of the cyclone and splitter is carried out and the hole is thoroughly flushed at the end of each sample. |
| | | | RC and diamond drilling by previous operators to industry standard at that time. |
| | | Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material. | There is no known relationship between sample recovery and grade, diamond drill sample recovery is very high. |
| Lo | ogging | Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. | Core and chip samples have been logged by qualified Geologist to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. |
| | | | Percussion holes logging were carried out on a metre by metre basis and at time of drilling. |
| | | | Surface core and RC logging completed by previous operators assumed to be to industry standard. |
| | | Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc.) photography. | Logging is Qualitative and Quantitative and all core is photographed both wet and dry (some older core is pre-digital, photos not all reviewed). Visual estimates of sulphide, quartz alteration as percentages. |
| | | | Selected RC chip trays are archived. |
| | | The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged. | 100% of the drill core is logged. 100% of RC drilling is logged. |
| Si te | ub-sampling echniques and | If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken. | DD – Core is half cut with an Almonté diamond core saw. Sample intervals are defined by a qualified geologist to honour geological boundaries. The left half is archived. |
| | | | All major mineralised zones are sampled, plus associated visibly barren material, >5m of mineralised zones. |

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|------------------------------|---|--|
| sample preparation | | Ideally, sample intervals are to be 1m in length, though range from 0.3m to 4.0m in length. Total weight of each sample generally does not exceed 5kg. |
| | | Following drying at 105°C to constant mass, all samples below approximately 4kg are totally pulverised in LM5's to nominally 90% passing a 75µm screen. The very few samples generated above 4kg are crushed to <6mm and riffle split first prior to pulverisation. |
| | | For RC drilling, duplicate samples are taken from the cone splitter at an incidence of 1 in 25 samples. Repeat analysis of pulp samples (for all sample types – diamond, RC, rock and soil) occurs at an incidence of 2 in 50 samples. |
| | | No formal heterogeneity study has been carried out or nomograph plotted. An informal analysis suggests that the sampling protocol currently in use are appropriate to the mineralisation encountered and should provide representative results. |
| | | All samples are oven-dried overnight (max 120 [°]), jaw crushed to <6mm, and split to <3kg in a static riffle splitter. The coarse reject is then discarded. The remainder is pulverised in an LM5 to >85% passing 75μm (Tyler 200 mesh) and bagged. The analytical sample is further reduced to a 30gm charge weight using a spatula, and the pulp packet is stored awaiting collection by NSR. |
| | | For older pre- NSR samples, best practice is assumed. |
| | If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc. and whether sampled wet or | RC - Rig-mounted static cone splitter used for dry samples. |
| | dry. | Pre NSR RC sub sampling assumed to be at industry standard at that time. |
| | For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique. | Following drying at 105°C to constant mass, all samples below approximately 4kg are totally pulverised in LM5's to nominally 90% passing a 75 μ m screen. The very few samples generated above 4kg are crushed to <6mm and riffle split first prior to pulverisation. |
| | | No formal heterogeneity study has been carried out or nomograph plotted. An informal analysis suggests that the sampling protocol currently in use are appropriate to the mineralisation encountered and should provide representative results. |
| | | For older pre- NSR samples, best practice is assumed. |
| | Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples. | For RC drilling, duplicate samples are taken from the cone splitter at an incidence of 1 in 25 samples. Repeat analysis of pulp samples (for all sample types – diamond, RC, rock and soil) occurs at an incidence of 2 in 50 samples. |
| | | For drill core the external labs coarse duplicates are used. |
| | | RC drilling by previous operators to industry standard at the time. With new database protocol, older QAQC data is being retrieved but was not reviewed at the time of this report. |
| | Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material | Field duplicates, i.e. other half of cut core, have not been routinely assayed. |
| | collected, including for instance results for field duplicate / second-half sampling. | RC drilling by previous operators assumed to be to industry standard at that time. |
| | Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled. | Sample sizes are considered appropriate. |
| Quality of assay data and | The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. | For all NSR drill core samples, gold concentration is determined by fire assay using the lead collection technique with a 30-gram (or 50g depending on which lab was used) sample charge weight. An AAS finish is used, considered to be total gold. |
| laboratory tests | | Various multi-element suites are analysed using a four-acid digest with an ICP-OES finish. |
| | | RC drilling by previous operators to industry standard at the time and not reviewed for this Resource. |
| | For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc., the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc. | Not applicable to this report. |
| | Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external | The field QAQC protocols used include the following for all drill samples: |
| | laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and | • Duplicate samples are taken from the cone splitter at an incidence of 1 in 25 samples, |
| | | • Coarse blanks are inserted at an incidence of 1 in 30 samples, |
| | | Commercially prepared certified reference materials (CRM) are inserted at an incidence of 1 in 25 samples. The CRM used is not identifiable to the laboratory, |
| | | NSR's QAQC data is assessed on import to the database and reported monthly and yearly. |
| | | The laboratory QAQC protocols used include the following for all drill samples: |
| | | Repeat analysis of pulp samples occurs at an incidence of 2 in 50 samples, Screen tests (percentage of pulpericed sample passing a 75 µm mosh) are undertaken on 1 in 100 samples. |
| | | Screen tests (percentage of pulvensed sample passing a 7 spin mesh) are undertaken on 1 in 100 samples, The laboratories own standards are loaded to the NST database |
| | | |

| | Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|---|---|--|---|
| | | | The laboratory reports its own QAQC data on a quarterly basis. |
| | | | • In addition to the above, about 5% of samples are sent to an umpire laboratory. |
| | | | Failed standards are followed up by re-assaying a second 50g pulp sample of all samples in the fire above 0.1ppm by the same method at the primary laboratory. |
|) | | | Both the accuracy component (CRM's and umpire checks) and the precision component (duplicates and repeats) of the QAQC protocols are thought to demonstrate acceptable levels of accuracy and precision. |
| | | | QAQC protocols for Surface RC and diamond drilling by previous operators unknown, assumed to be industry standard. |
| | Verification of sampling and | The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. | Significant intersections not verified. |
| | assaying | The use of twinned holes. | There are no purpose twinned holes. |
| | | Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. | NSR data was hard keyed or copied into excel spreadsheets for transfer and storage in an access database, now replaced by SQL database and more automated data entry. |
| | | | Hard copies of NSR core assays and surveys are kept at head office. |
| | | | Visual checks are part of daily use of the data in Vulcan. |
| | | | Data from previous operators thoroughly vetted and imported to Access initially, now SQL database. |
| | | Discuss any adjustment to assay data. | No adjustments are made to any assay data. First gold assay is utilised for any Resource estimation. Some minor adjustments have been made to overlapping data. |
| | Location of data points | Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation. | NSR collar positions were surveyed using DGPS and were set-out and picked-up in MGA 1994 Zone 50 grid. This information is digitally transferred to the geology database. |
| | | | Multi shot cameras and gyro units were used for down-hole survey. |
| | | | Previous drilling has been set-out and picked up in both national and local grids using a combination of GPS and survey instruments and are assumed to be to NST standards. |
| | | Specification of the grid system used. | MGA94 grid, zone 50 |
| | | Quality and adequacy of topographic control. | Topographic control is from the Fugro 2002 Aerial photo data and site surveyed pit pickups. Accuracy would be to 10cm within the pits. |
| | Data spacing and distribution | Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results. | Drill hole spacing on the order of 20m by 10m in the shallow portions of the deposit. Up to 100m on the down plunge extents. |
| and distributionWhether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.The Resource developm inferred Resource.The data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.The data spacing and d to be applied. | | Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve | The Resource development drilling over the deposit was generally 20m x 20m or better for the indicated Resource and up to 50m x 50m for the inferred Resource. |
| | The data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish geological and/or grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and classifications to be applied. | | |
| | | Whether sample compositing has been applied. | Core is sampled to geology; sample compositing is not applied until the estimation stage. |
| | | | RC samples initially taken as 4m composites to be replaced by 1 m samples in mineralised zones though it is unknown at what grade threshold the 1m sub-samples were analysed for. |
| | | | Compositing of the data to 1m was used in the estimate. |
| | Orientation of data in relation | Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type. | The orientation of sampling is generally perpendicular to Zoe shear zone mineralisation and slightly oblique to the main sedimentary beds and mineralisation. |
| | to geological | | Steep topography has also affected the orientation of drilling. |
| | structure | | The orientation achieves unbiased sampling of all possible mineralisation and the extent to which this is known. |
| | | If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material. | The drill orientation to mineralised structures biases the number of samples per drill hole. It is not thought to make a material difference in the Resource estimation. As the opportunity arises better angled holes are infill drilled. |
| | Sample security | The measures taken to ensure sample security. | All samples are selected, cut, and bagged in tied numbered calico bags, grouped in larger tied plastic bags, and placed in large sample cages with a sample submission sheet. The cages are transported via freight truck to Perth, with consignment note and receipted by external and independent laboratory. |

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|----------------------|---|---|
| | | All sample submissions were documented, and all assays were returned via email. |
| | | Sample pulp splits were returned to NSR via return freight and stored in shelved containers at the Paulsens mine site. |
| | | Pre NSR operator sample security assumed to be similar and adequate. |
| Audits or reviews | The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data. | There has been no audit of the sampling techniques, however all recent NST sample data has been extensively QAQC reviewed both internally and externally. |
| | | Pre NSR data audits found to be light on in regard to QAQC though in line with industry standards of the time. |

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results (Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|--|--|--|
| Mineral tenement and land tenure status | Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings. | M52/639, M52/640 and M52/735 are wholly owned by NSR (Northern Star Resources Limited) and in good standing. There are no heritage issues with the current tenements. Relationship with the traditional owners is good, with limited contact Several heritage surveys have been completed and there are no heritage issues with the current planned pit extents. |
| Status | The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area. | M52/639 was granted in 1996, renewed in 2018, now expiring on 27/05/2039. M52/640 was granted in 1997, renewed in 2018, now expiring on 27/05/2039. M52/735 was granted in 2001, expiring 08/05/2022 E52/1941-I was granted 14/09/2007, expiring 13/09/2021 |
| Exploration done by other parties | Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties. | Data relevant to this Resource was predominantly collected by SIPA who operated the Mt Olympus mine from start up to closure, previous to the NSR purchase and current Kalamazoo Resources purchase. Gold mineralisation was discovered in 1988 by BP minerals. All previous work is accepted and assumed to industry standard at that time. |
| Geology | Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation. | Mount Olympus is a medium grade, structurally controlled, sediment hosted epigenetic gold deposit. Mineralisation is hosted mainly by thick tensional quartz veins cross cutting bedding parallel shears. |
| Drill hole Information | A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: easting and northing of the drill hole collar elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar dip and azimuth of the hole down hole length and interception depth hole length. | Too many (692) holes to practically summarise all drill information used. (See diagram). The detail is available in the NSR Dec 2012 Resource Report. |
| | If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case. | Exclusion of the drill information will not detract from the understanding of the report. Holes were close spaced and tightly constrained to an active mine area. |
| Data aggregation methods | In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. | Exploration results previously released. |
| | Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high-grade results and longer lengths of low-grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail. | Exploration results previously released. |
| | The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated. | No metal equivalents are reported. |
| | These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results: | Exploration results previously released by NSR, do include an estimate of true thickness. |

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|--|---|--|
| Relationship between | If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. | Due to complex mineralisation geometry and varying intercept angles the true thickness is manually estimated on a hole by hole basis. |
| mineralisation widths and intercept lengths | If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not known'). | Exploration results previously released with downhole depth and estimated true thickness. |
| Diagrams | Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be | See long section in main release and previous NSR ASX releases (18/2/2011, 27/9/11, 2/12/11, 6/3/12, 12/3/12, 1/7/12, 26/7/12, 27/8/12, 10/9/12, 7/2/13). |
| | limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views. | Plan view and long section view of Mt Olympus showing drill collars is attached. |
| Balanced reporting | Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results. | When previously reported by NSR, exploration results do include all intersections for the period / area. |
| Other substantive exploration data | Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances. | Exploration results not being released at this time. |
| Further work | The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling). | A program of both RC and Diamond drilling will be formulated to aid a pit optimization, test for free milling (oxide) extensions, test deeper plunge extensions and test high grade underground targets. |
| | Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive. | Part of main announcement. |

Section 3 Estimation and Reporting of Mineral Resources

(Criteria listed in section 1, and where relevant in section 2, also apply to this section.)

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|---|---|---|
| Database integrity | Measures taken to ensure that data has not been corrupted by, for example, transcription or keying errors, between its initial collection and its use for Mineral Resource estimation purposes. | NSR (Northern Star Resources Limited) sampling and logging data is digitally entered into OCRISS then transferred to an SQL based database. There are checks in place to avoid duplicate holes and sample numbers. Where possible, raw data is loaded directly to the database from lab, logging and survey derived files. |
| | | Pre NSR data considered correct, has been maintained by SIPA company database administrators. |
| | Data validation procedures used. | Pre NSR data has been partially validated by internal database administrators. |
| Site visits | Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits. | The Competent Person for this Resource report has not visited site. Members of Kalamazoo's Perth based technical team undertook a site visit in June 2020 with Northern Star's Competent Person. |
| | If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case. | The Kalamazoo Competent Person is based in Queensland and due to COVID-19 travel / border restrictions has been unable to visit site. |
| Geological interpretation | Confidence in (or conversely, the uncertainty of) the geological interpretation of the mineral deposit. | The interpretation of the deposit was carried out using a systematic approach to ensure continuity of the geology and estimated mineral Resource using Vulcan software. The confidence in the geological interpretation is high with all the information and 5 years of open pit operation. |
| | Nature of the data used and of any assumptions made. | All available geological data was used in the interpretation including mapping, drilling, oxidation surfaces, and underground style high grade ore zone interpretations. |
| | The effect, if any, of alternative interpretations on Mineral Resource estimation. | No alternative interpretations have been completed or put forward. |
| | The use of geology in guiding and controlling Mineral Resource estimation. | Drill core logging and pit development data used to create 3D constrained wireframes. |
| | The factors affecting continuity both of grade and geology. | Continuity of the grade closely follows sedimentary bedding planes, particularly the coarser grained units. |
| Dimensions | The extent and variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource. | Strike length = 800m (east – west); Width = 200m (North-south); Depth = surface to -90mRl (~500m below surface). |
| Estimation and modelling techniques | The nature and appropriateness of the estimation technique(s) applied and key assumptions, including treatment of extreme grade values, domaining, interpolation parameters and maximum distance of extrapolation from data points. If a computer assisted estimation method was chosen include a description of computer software and parameters used. | Compositing of drill-hole samples was completed against one mineralised domain at 1m (downhole) intervals. The ordinary kriging interpolation (OK) method was used in the first 2 passes of the estimation. A final nearest neighbor method was used to fill empty blocks. 73% of blocks were estimated in the first 2 passes Maximum distance of extrapolation from data points was statistically determined and varies by domain Vulcan software was used for data compilation, domain wire framing, calculating and coding composite values and reporting. Block model volumes were compared to wireframe volumes to validate sub-blocking. |
| | The availability of check estimates, previous estimates and/or mine production records and whether the Mineral Resource estimate takes appropriate account of such data. | Reconciled historical production from open pit operations is comparable with new estimate. |
| | The assumptions made regarding recovery of by-products. | No assumptions are made and only gold is defined for estimation. |
| | Estimation of deleterious elements or other non-grade variables of economic significance (e.g. sulphur for acid mine drainage characterisation). | No deleterious elements estimated in the model. |
| | In the case of block model interpolation, the block size in relation to the average sample spacing and the search employed. | The parent block size is 10m (Y) x 10m (X) x 10m (Z), with sub-block to 1.25m x 1.25m x 1.25m. Average sample spacing is 20 by 20 or better for the main part of the Resource, up to 20m by 40m on the peripheries. |
| | Any assumptions behind modelling of selective mining units. | A 3m minimum mining width for both the surface and underground environment is assumed. |
| | Any assumptions about correlation between variables. | In the fresh material, there is a correlation between the Au grade and the bulk density measurement (see bulk density section). |
| | Description of how the geological interpretation was used to control the Resource estimates. | Mineralisation wireframes are created within the geological shapes based on drill core logs, mapping and grade. Low grades can form part of an ore wireframe. Estimations are constrained by the interpretations. |
| | Discussion of basis for using or not using grade cutting or capping. | Top cuts were determined by statistical techniques and vary by domain. |
| | The process of validation, the checking process used, the comparison of model data to drill hole data, and use of reconciliation data if available. | Block grades are assessed against drill hole data visually, by using swath plots and de-clustered means. |

| | Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|---|--|--|--|
| | Moisture | Whether the tonnages are estimated on a dry basis or with natural moisture, and the method of determination of the moisture content. | Tonnages are estimated on a dry basis. Moisture content within the ore is expected to be low. |
| | Cut-off | The basis of the adopted cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied. | Reporting cut off = 0.7gpt. |
| | parameters | | Modelling lower grade cut off = 0.5gpt nominally. |
| | Mining factors or assumptions | Assumptions made regarding possible mining methods, minimum mining dimensions and internal (or, if applicable, external) mining dilution. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential mining methods, but the assumptions made regarding mining methods and parameters when estimating Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the mining assumptions made. | The Resource has been created based on open pit and underground mining methods. |
| - | Metallurgical factors or assumptions | The basis for assumptions or predictions regarding metallurgical amenability. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential metallurgical methods, but the assumptions regarding metallurgical treatment processes and parameters made when reporting Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the metallurgical assumptions made. | The metallurgical conditions and characteristics of the Mount Olympus mineralisation are generally known with free milling material mined by Sipa from within oxide zones. Fresh mineralisation is refractory in nature with its high pyrite content and fine gold at times locked within this matrix. Local areas of graphite rich mineralisation have in certain cases preg-robbing properties. Initial test work has shown favorable results, more detailed studies are required. No Metallurgical assumptions have been built into the Resource model. |
| | Environmental factors or assumptions | Assumptions made regarding possible waste and process residue disposal options. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider the potential environmental impacts of the mining and processing operation. While at this stage the determination of potential environmental impacts, particularly for a green fields project, may not always be well advanced, the status of early consideration of these potential environmental impacts should be reported. Where these aspects have not been considered this should be reported with an explanation of the environmental assumptions made. | Mt Olympus was a going concern and as such the previous practice have shown to be effective and practical. |
| | Bulk density | Whether assumed or determined. If assumed, the basis for the assumptions. If determined, the method used, whether wet or dry, the frequency of the measurements, the nature, size and representativeness of the samples. | The bulk density for oxide and transition material was assumed due to the low number of measurements within these zones. |
| | | The bulk density for bulk material must have been measured by methods that adequately account for void spaces (vugs, porosity, etc.), moisture and differences between rock and alteration zones within the deposit. | A total of 4440 bulk density measurements from 30 diamond drill holes have been taken from mineralised and unmineralised intervals within the project area. |
| | | Discuss assumptions for bulk density estimates used in the evaluation process of the | Individual bulk densities are applied in accordance with specific geological units and weathering states. |
| | | different materials. | In fresh material, a correlation between the bulk density valve and gold assay grade exists and was used to assign bulk density values. |
| 1 | Classification | The basis for the classification of the Mineral Resources into varying confidence categories. | The Resource classification is based primarily on the geological and grade continuity as shown by drilling (open pit Grade control data not considered). |
| | | 5 | ' If a wireframe has been constructed with geological or grade continuity, all block within the wireframe are assigned as inferred. |
| | | | Assignment of the indicated Resource category was done on each ore zone individually using a number of different criteria including: |
| | | | continuity of both grade and geology; |
| | | | drill holes' density; |
| | | | number of passes to fill the blocks; and |
| | | | Quality of the estimate (kriging efficiency). The hole (are uninformed entering) in entering of a Bernman of informed if it is within the informed uninformed at the black in filled in the first |
| | | | pass. |
| | | Whether appropriate account has been taken of all relevant factors (i.e. relative confidence in tonnage/grade estimations, reliability of input data, confidence in continuity of geology and metal values, quality, quantity and distribution of the data). | Input and geological data is assumed accurate backed up by previous successful mining operations. |
| | | Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit. | This mineral Resource estimate is considered representative with comments noted in the discussion below. |
| | | | |

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|--|--|--|
| Audits or reviews | The results of any audits or reviews of Mineral Resource estimates. | The Mineral Resource has been subjected to a review by Northern Star Resources' senior technical personal. The process and validation of Mineral Resource estimates was undertaken by an independent consultant from Optiro. |
| Discussion of relative accuracy/ confidence | Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and confidence level in the Mineral Resource estimate using an approach or procedure deemed appropriate by the Competent Person. For example, the application of statistical or geostatistical procedures to quantify the relative accuracy of the Resource within stated confidence limits, or, if such an approach is not deemed appropriate, a qualitative discussion of the factors that could affect the relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate. | This mineral Resource estimate is considered as robust and representative of the Mount Olympus mineralisation. The application of geostatistical methods has helped to increase the confidence of the model and quantify the relative accuracy of the Resource on a global scale. |
| | The statement should specify whether it relates to global or local estimates, and, if local, state the relevant tonnages, which should be relevant to technical and economic evaluation. Documentation should include assumptions made and the procedures used. | This Resource report relates to the Mt Olympus and West Olympus ore zones and are likely to have local variability. The global assessment is more of a reflection of the average tonnes and grade estimate. |
| | These statements of relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate should be compared with production data, where available. | Reconciliation comparison between the previously mined Mount Olympus (including West Olympus) and the MTO_Resource_jan2013 block model is favourable with reported reconciled production of 2.5mt @3gpt for 242koz (Mining cut-off grade is variable but assumed to be 0.7gpt when mined for stockpiling). |
| | | At 0.7gpt lower cut-off and 92% recovery the block model reports 2.8mt @ 3.0gpt for 243,000koz. |

ASHBURTON MT OLYMPUS DEPOSIT - REPRESENTATIVE PLAN & LONG SECTION





JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1 Report Ashburton - Peake Deposit Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data (Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria JORC Code explanation Commentary Sampling Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised This deposit was sampled by diamond drilling (DD) and Reverse Circulation (RC) drilling completed by NSR (Northern Star Resources Limited) and techniques industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, previous operators. such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc.). These examples NSR - DD - Sampled sections are generally NQ2. Core sample intervals are defined by the geologist to honour geological boundaries ranging from 0.3 should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling. to 1.5m in length. NSR - RC - Rig-mounted static cone splitter used with the aperture set to yield a primary sample of approximately 4kg for every metre (representing approximately one eighth of the total sample). Off-split retained. RC and DD sampling by previous operators to industry standard at that time often using 1m samples after initial 4m composites. It is unknown what grade threshold triggers the 1m re-samples. Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the Core is aligned and measured by tape, comparing back to down hole core blocks consistent with industry practice. appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used. RC and surface core drilling completed by previous operators to industry standard at that time (1988 initial discovery, to 2004). Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In Diamond drilling completed to industry standard using varying sample lengths (0.3 to 1.5m) based on geological intervals, which are then crushed and cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. pulverised to produce a ~200g pulp sub sample to use in the assay process. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was NSR diamond core samples are fire assayed (50g charge). pulverised to produce a 30g charge for fire assay'). In other cases, more explanation may Visible gold is occasionally encountered in core. be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant RC sampling to industry standard at the time of drilling. disclosure of detailed information. Drilling Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, RC – Reverse circulation drilling is carried out using a face sampling hammer and a 5¼ inch diameter bit techniques Bangka, sonic, etc.) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of NSR surface diamond drilling carried out by using both HQ3 (triple tube) and NQ2 (standard tube) techniques. Sampled sections are generally NQ2. diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by Core is orientated using the ORI-shot device. what method, etc.). Drill sample Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed. RC – Approximate recoveries are sometimes recorded as percentage ranges based on a visual and weight estimate of the sample. recovery DD - Recoveries are recorded as a percentage calculated from measured core verses drilled intervals. Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the NSR diamond drilling practice results in high recovery due to the competent nature of the ground. samples. For RC drilling, efforts are made to ensure good recoveries are achieved by the use of auxiliary compressors and high-pressure booster units supplying compressed air at a high enough pressure to keep water from the hole and the samples dry in most circumstances. Where water is encountered in the pre-collar and wet samples result, more frequent cleaning of the cyclone and splitter is carried out and the hole is thoroughly flushed at the end of each sample. RC and diamond drilling by previous operators to industry standard at that time. Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample There is no known relationship between sample recovery and grade, diamond drill sample recovery is very high. bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material. Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a Core and chip samples have been logged by qualified Geologist to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies Logging level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and and metallurgical studies metallurgical studies. Percussion holes logging were carried out on a metre by metre basis and at time of drilling. Surface core and RC logging completed by previous operators assumed to be to industry standard. Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc.) Logging is Qualitative and Quantitative and all core is photographed both wet and dry (some older core is pre-digital, photos not all reviewed). Visual photography. estimates of sulphide, quartz alteration as percentages Selected RC chip trays are archived 100% of the drill core is logged. 100% of RC drilling is logged. The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged. Sub-sampling If core, whether cut or sawn and whether guarter, half or all core taken. DD - Core is half cut with an Almonté diamond core saw. Sample intervals are defined by a qualified geologist to honour geological boundaries. The techniques and left half is archived.

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|------------------------------|---|---|
| sample | | All major mineralised zones are sampled, plus associated visibly barren material, >5m of mineralised zones. |
| preparation | | Ideally, sample intervals are to be 1m in length, though range from 0.3m to 4.0m in length. Total weight of each sample generally does not exceed 5kg. |
| | | Following drying at 105°C to constant mass, all samples below approximately 4kg are totally pulverised in LM5's to nominally 90% passing a 75 μ m screen. The very few samples generated above 4kg are crushed to <6mm and riffle split first prior to pulverisation. |
| | | For RC drilling, duplicate samples are taken from the cone splitter at an incidence of 1 in 25 samples. Repeat analysis of pulp samples (for all sample types – diamond, RC, rock and soil) occurs at an incidence of 2 in 50 samples. |
| | | No formal heterogeneity study has been carried out or nomograph plotted. An informal analysis suggests that the sampling protocol currently in use are appropriate to the mineralisation encountered and should provide representative results. |
| | | All samples are oven-dried overnight (max 120°), jaw crushed to <6mm, and split to <3kg in a static riffle splitter. The coarse reject is then discarded. The remainder is pulverised in an LM5 to >85% passing 75μm (Tyler 200 mesh) and bagged. The analytical sample is further reduced to a 30gm charge weight using a spatula, and the pulp packet is stored awaiting collection by NSR. |
| | | For older pre- NSR samples, best practice is assumed. |
| | If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc. and whether sampled wet or | RC - Rig-mounted static cone splitter used for dry samples. |
| | dry. | Pre NSR RC sub sampling assumed to be at industry standard at that time. |
| | For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique. | Following drying at 105°C to constant mass, all samples below approximately 4kg are totally pulverised in LM5's to nominally 90% passing a 75µm screen. The very few samples generated above 4kg are crushed to <6mm and riffle split first prior to pulverisation. |
| | | No formal heterogeneity study has been carried out or nomograph plotted. An informal analysis suggests that the sampling protocol currently in use are appropriate to the mineralisation encountered and should provide representative results. |
| | | For older pre- NSR samples, best practice is assumed. |
| | Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples. | For RC drilling, duplicate samples are taken from the cone splitter at an incidence of 1 in 25 samples. Repeat analysis of pulp samples (for all sample types – diamond, RC, rock and soil) occurs at an incidence of 2 in 50 samples. |
| | | For drill core the external labs coarse duplicates are used. |
| | | RC drilling by previous operators to industry standard at the time. With new database protocol, older QAQC data is being retrieved but was not reviewed at the time of this report. |
| | Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material | Field duplicates, i.e. other half of cut core, have not been routinely assayed. |
| | collected, including for instance results for field duplicate / second-half sampling. | RC drilling by previous operators assumed to be to industry standard at that time. |
| | Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled. | Sample sizes are considered appropriate. |
| Quality of assay data and | The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. | For all NSR drill core samples, gold concentration is determined by fire assay using the lead collection technique with a 30-gram (or 50g depending on which lab was used) sample charge weight. An AAS finish is used, considered to be total gold. |
| laboratory tests | | Various multi-element suites are analysed using a four-acid digest with an ICP-OES finish. |
| | | RC drilling by previous operators to industry standard at the time and not reviewed for this Resource. |
| | For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc., the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc. | Not applicable to this report. |
| | Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external | The QAQC protocols used include the following for all NSR drill samples: |
| | laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and | The field QAQC protocols used include the following for all drill samples: |
| | | • Duplicate samples are taken from the cone splitter at an incidence of 1 in 25 samples, |
| | | Coarse blanks are inserted at an incidence of 1 in 30 samples, |
| | | • Commercially prepared certified reference materials (CRM) are inserted at an incidence of 1 in 25 samples. The CRM used is not identifiable to the laboratory, |
| | | NSR's QAQC data was assessed on import to the database and reported monthly and yearly. |
| | | The laboratory QAQC protocols used include the following for all drill samples: |
| | | Repeat analysis of pulp samples occurs at an incidence of 2 in 50 samples, |

| | Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|---|------------------------------|--|---|
| | | | • Screen tests (percentage of pulverised sample passing a 75μm mesh) are undertaken on 1 in 100 samples, |
| | | | The laboratories own standards are loaded to the NST database, |
| | | | The laboratory reports its own QAQC data on a quarterly basis. |
| | | | In addition to the above, about 5% of samples are sent to an umpire laboratory. |
|) | | | • Failed standards are followed up by re-assaying a second 50g pulp sample of all samples in the fire above 0.1ppm by the same method at the primary laboratory. |
| | | | Both the accuracy component (CRM's and umpire checks) and the precision component (duplicates and repeats) of the QAQC protocols are thought to demonstrate acceptable levels of accuracy and precision. |
| | | | QAQC protocols for Surface RC and diamond drilling by previous operators unknown, assumed to be industry standard. |
| | Verification of sampling and | The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. | Significant intersections not verified. |
| | assaying | The use of twinned holes. | There are no purpose twinned holes. |
| | | Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. | NSR data was hard keyed or copied into excel spreadsheets for transfer and storage in an access database, now replaced by SQL database and more automated data entry. |
| | | | Hard copies of NSR core assays and surveys are kept at head office. |
| | | | Visual checks are part of daily use of the data in Vulcan. |
| | | | Data from previous operators thoroughly vetted and imported to Access initially, now SQL database. |
| | | Discuss any adjustment to assay data. | No adjustments are made to any assay data. First gold assay is utilised for any Resource estimation. Some minor adjustments have been made to overlapping data. |
| | Location of data points | Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation. | NSR collar positions were surveyed using DGPS and were set-out and picked-up in MGA 1994 Zone 50 grid. This information is digitally transferred to the geology database. |
| | | | Multi shot cameras and gyro units were used for down-hole survey. Previous drilling has been set-out and picked up in both national and local grids using a combination of GPS and Survey instruments and are assumed to be to NST standards. |
| | | Specification of the grid system used. | MGA94 grid, zone 50. |
| | | Quality and adequacy of topographic control. | Topographic control is from the Fugro 2002 Aerial photo data and site surveyed pit pickups. Accuracy would be to 10cm within the pits. |
| | Data spacing | Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results. | Drill hole spacing on the order of 20m by 20m in the shallow portions of the deposit. Up to 200m by 200m on the down plunge extents. |
| | and distribution | Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied. | The data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish geological and/or grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and classifications to be applied. |
| | | Whether sample compositing has been applied. | Core is sampled to geology; sample compositing is not applied until the estimation stage. |
| | | | RC samples initially taken as 4m composites to be replaced by 1 m samples in mineralised zones though it is unknown at what grade threshold the 1m sub-samples were analysed for. |
| | | | Compositing of the data to 1m was used in the estimate. |
| | Orientation of | Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures | The orientation of sampling is generally perpendicular to mineralisation. Steep topography may also have affected the orientation of drilling. |
| | data in relation | and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type. | The orientation achieves unbiased sampling of all possible mineralisation and the extent to which this is known. |
| | structure | If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material. | The drill orientation to mineralised structures biases the number of samples per drill hole. It is not thought to make a material difference in the Resource estimation. As the opportunity arises better angled holes are infill drilled. |
| | Sample security | The measures taken to ensure sample security. | All samples are selected, cut and bagged in tied numbered calico bags, grouped in larger tied plastic bags, and placed in large sample cages with a sample submission sheet. The cages are transported via freight truck to Perth, with consignment note and receipted by external and independent laboratory |
| | | | All sample submissions are documented, and all assays are returned via email. |

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|-------------------|---|--|
| | | Sample pulp splits are returned to NSR via return freight and stored in shelved containers at the Paulsens mine site |
| | | Pre NSR operator sample security assumed to be similar and adequate. |
| Audits or reviews | The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data. | There has been no audit of the sampling techniques, however all NST sample data has been extensively QAQC reviewed both internally and externally. |
| | | Pre NSR data audits found to be light on in regard to QAQC though in line with industry standards of the time |

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|---|--|--|
| Mineral tenement and land tenure | Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings. | M52/734 is wholly owned by NSR (Northern Star Resources Limited) and in good standing. There are no heritage issues with the current tenement. Relationship with the traditional owners is good, though contact is limited. A new heritage survey will be required for further deep drilling and pit expansions. |
| Status | The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area. | M52/734 granted 9/5/2001 for 21 years, expiring 08/05/2022 |
| Exploration done by other parties | Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties. | Data relevant to this Resource was collected by Sipa who operated the Peake mine from start up to closure, previous to the NSR and Kalamazoo Resources purchases. All previous work is accepted and assumed to industry standard at that time. |
| Geology | Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation. | Peake is a medium grade, structurally controlled, sediment hosted epigenetic gold deposit. Mineralisation is hosted mainly within in a vertical, bedding parallel shear zone. |
| Drill hole Information | A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: | Too many (408) holes to practically summarise all drill information used. (See diagram). The detail is available in the NSR Dec 2012 Resource Report. |
| | easting and northing of the drill hole collar | |
| | elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar | |
| | o dip and azimuth of the hole | |
| | down hole length and interception depth | |
| | o hole length. | |
| | If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case. | Exclusion of the drill information will not detract from the understanding of the report. Holes are close spaced and tightly constrained to an active mine area. |
| Data aggregation methods | In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. | Exploration results previously released. |
| | Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high-grade results and longer lengths of low-grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail. | Exploration results previously released. |
| | The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated. | No metal equivalents are reported. |
| Relationship | These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results: | Exploration results previously released by NSR, do include an estimate of true thickness. |
| between mineralisation widths and | If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. | Drill hole angle to orientation of mineralisation is perpendicular to 45 degrees at most. |
| intercept lengths | If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not known'). | Exploration results previously released with downhole depth and estimated true thickness. |

| | Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|---|---|---|--|
| 2 | Diagrams | Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views. | See previous NSR ASX releases (18/2/2011, 27/9/11, 2/12/11, 6/3/12, 12/3/12,1/7/12, 26/7/12, 27/8/12, 10/9/12, 7/2/13). Plan view and long section view of Peake area collars and all drill traces used is attached. |
| | Balanced reporting | Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results. | When previously reported by NSR, exploration results do include all intersections for the period / area. |
| | Other substantive exploration data | Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances. | Exploration results not being released at this time. |
| | Further work | The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling). | A program of both RC and Diamond drilling will be formulated to aid a pit optimization, test for free milling (oxide) extensions and test deeper plunge extensions |
| | | Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive. | Part of main announcement. |

Section 3 Estimation and Reporting of Mineral Resources

| (Criteria listed in section 1 | , and where relevant in section 2, | also apply to this section.) |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|------------------------------|---|--|
| Database integrity | Measures taken to ensure that data has not been corrupted by, for example, transcription or keying errors, between its initial collection and its use for Mineral Resource estimation purposes. | NSR (Northern Star Resources Limited) sampling and logging data is digitally entered into OCRISS then transferred to an SQL based database. There are checks in place to avoid duplicate holes and sample numbers. Where possible, raw data is loaded directly to the database from lab, logging and survey derived files. |
| | | Pre NSR data considered correct, has been maintained by Sipa company database administrators. |
| | Data validation procedures used. | Pre NSR data has been partially validated by internal database administrators. |
| Site visits | Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits. | The Competent Person for this Resource report has not visited site. Members of Kalamazoo's Perth based technical team undertook a site visit in June 2020 with Northern Star's Competent Person. |
| | If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case. | The Kalamazoo Competent Person is based in Queensland and due to COVID-19 travel / border restrictions has been unable to visit site. |
| Geological interpretation | Confidence in (or conversely, the uncertainty of) the geological interpretation of the mineral deposit. | The interpretation of the deposit was carried out using a systematic approach to ensure continuity of the geology and estimated mineral Resource using Vulcan software. The confidence in the geological interpretation is high with all the information and several years of open pit operation. |
| | Nature of the data used and of any assumptions made. | All available geological data was used in the interpretation including mapping, drilling, oxidation surfaces, and underground style high grade ore zone interpretations. |
| | The effect, if any, of alternative interpretations on Mineral Resource estimation. | No alternative interpretations have been completed or put forward. |
| | The use of geology in guiding and controlling Mineral Resource estimation. | Drill core logging and pit development data used to create 3D constrained wireframes. |
| | The factors affecting continuity both of grade and geology. | Mineralisation is hosted within shallower south dipping siltstones of the Mount McGrath formation. Its true width is approximately 2 to 4 metres and is very continuous along strike. Mineralisation is easily identifiable in the pit as a strongly foliated pale cream siltstone that is carbonate, silica and sericite altered. The siltstone may contain ex-pyrite as well as primary sulphides at depth. Gold is generally found within stringers and veinlets of quartz within this zone. There is a sharp grade cut-off on the hangingwall side of the structure and it is marked by a change into a more hematite-rich siltstone. The grade boundary is more diffuse on the footwall side of mineralisation. |
| Dimensions | The extent and variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource. | Strike length = 1850m (east – west); Width = 5-10m (North-south); Denth = surface to 50mRL (~450m below surface) |

| | Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|---|--|--|--|
| | Estimation and modelling techniques | The nature and appropriateness of the estimation technique(s) applied and key assumptions, including treatment of extreme grade values, domaining, interpolation parameters and maximum distance of extrapolation from data points. If a computer assisted estimation method was chosen include a description of computer software and parameters used. | Compositing of drill-hole samples was completed against one mineralised domain at 1m (downhole) intervals. The ordinary kriging interpolation (OK) method was used in the first 2 passes of the estimation. A final nearest neighbor method was used to fill empty blocks. 99.3% of the blocks were filled in the first 2 passes. Maximum distance of extrapolation from data points was statistically determined and varies by domain |
| | | | Vulcan software was used for data compilation, domain wire framing, calculating and coding composite values and reporting. |
| | | | Block model volumes were compared to wireframe volumes to validate sub-blocking. |
| | | The availability of check estimates, previous estimates and/or mine production records and whether the Mineral Resource estimate takes appropriate account of such data. | Reconciled historical production from open pit operations is comparable with new estimate |
| | | The assumptions made regarding recovery of by-products. | No assumptions are made and only gold is defined for estimation. |
| | | Estimation of deleterious elements or other non-grade variables of economic significance (e.g. sulphur for acid mine drainage characterisation). | No deleterious elements estimated in the model. |
| | | In the case of block model interpolation, the block size in relation to the average sample | The parent block size is 16m (Y) x 8m (X) x 8m (Z), with sub-block to 1m x 0.5m x 0.5m. |
| | | spacing and the search employed. | Drill hole spacing varies from 5m to 200m. |
| | | | Average sample spacing is 40 by 40 or better for the main part of the Resource, up to 40m by 120m on the peripheries. |
| | | Any assumptions behind modelling of selective mining units. | A 3m minimum mining width for both the surface and underground environment is assumed. |
| | | Any assumptions about correlation between variables. | N/A. |
| | | Description of how the geological interpretation was used to control the Resource estimates. | Mineralisation wireframes are created within the geological shapes based on drill core logs, mapping and grade. Low grades can form part of an ore wireframe. Estimations are constrained by the interpretations. |
| | | Discussion of basis for using or not using grade cutting or capping. | Top cuts were determined by statistical techniques and vary by domain. |
| | | The process of validation, the checking process used, the comparison of model data to drill hole data, and use of reconciliation data if available. | Three validation processes were used to compare the block model against drill-hole data, including visual, declustered means and Swath plots. |
| | Moisture | Whether the tonnages are estimated on a dry basis or with natural moisture, and the method of determination of the moisture content. | Tonnages are estimated on a dry basis. Moisture content within the ore is expected to be low. |
| | Cut-off parameters | The basis of the adopted cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied. | Reporting cut off = 0.9gpt. |
| | | | Modelling lower grade cut off = 0.5gpt nominally. |
| | Mining factors or assumptions | Assumptions made regarding possible mining methods, minimum mining dimensions and internal (or, if applicable, external) mining dilution. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential mining methods, but the assumptions made regarding mining methods and parameters when estimating Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the mining assumptions made. | It is assumed that the surface portion of the Resource will be mined via conventional surface mining techniques (diesel excavator and haul truck). |
| I | | | Mining of the underground portion of the Resource has been assumed to be via conventional underground mining techniques. |
| | Metallurgical factors or assumptions | The basis for assumptions or predictions regarding metallurgical amenability. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential metallurgical methods, but the assumptions regarding metallurgical treatment processes and parameters made when reporting Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the metallurgical assumptions made. | The metallurgical conditions and characteristics of the Peake mineralisation are generally known with free milling material mined by Sipa from within oxide zones. Fresh mineralisation is refractory in nature with its high pyrite content and fine gold at times locked within this matrix. Initial test work has shown favorable results, more detailed studies are required. No Metallurgical assumptions have been built into the Resource model |
| | Environmental factors or assumptions | Assumptions made regarding possible waste and process residue disposal options. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider the potential environmental impacts of the mining and processing operation. While at this stage the determination of potential environmental impacts, particularly for a green fields project, may not always be well advanced, the status of early consideration of these potential environmental impacts should be | Peake was a going concern and as such the previous practice have shown to be effective and practical. |

| | Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|---|--|--|--|
| | | reported. Where these aspects have not been considered this should be reported with an explanation of the environmental assumptions made. | |
| D | Bulk density | Whether assumed or determined. If assumed, the basis for the assumptions. If determined, the method used, whether wet or dry, the frequency of the measurements, the nature, size and representativeness of the samples. | Specific Gravity (SG) or Bulk Density measurement data were conducted on diamond core samples from the Peake deposit. A total of 898 Specific gravity measurements were taken from 12 NST drill core. |
| | | | The method used was the submersion technique as stated in procedure IMS-EXP_SWP_XXX Specific Gravity Procedure (see Appendix 4). Most the specific gravity measurements were conducted on fresh material. |
| | | | Fresh unmineralised material was given SG of 2.95 given as a result of NST SG measurement at Peake and MT Olympus (similar geology). |
| | | | The average SG given to fresh mineralised material (inside ore wireframes) was 3.10. This is due to the increase in heavy sulphide minerals (pyrite). |
| | | | For transitional material, a conservative Specific Gravity measurement of 2.75 was used considering SG's from current data, previous Resource models and Mount Olympus which has similar geology. |
| | | | For oxide material, a conservative SG of 2.65 was given. This considers current data and previous Resource models and reconciled data from mining the open pit. |
| | | The bulk density for bulk material must have been measured by methods that adequately account for void spaces (vugs, porosity, etc.), moisture and differences between rock and alteration zones within the deposit. | A total of 899 bulk density measurements from 12 recent diamond drill holes have been taken from mineralised and unmineralised intervals within the project area. |
| | | Discuss assumptions for bulk density estimates used in the evaluation process of the different materials. | Individual bulk densities are applied in accordance with specific geological units and weathering states. |
| - | Classification | The basis for the classification of the Mineral Resources into varying confidence categories. | The Resource classification is based primarily on the geological and grade continuity as shown by drilling (open pit Grade control data not considered). |
| | | | If a wireframe has been constructed with geological or grade continuity, all block within the wireframe are assigned as inferred. |
| | | | Assignment of the indicated Resource category was done on each ore zone individually using several different criteria including. |
| | | | continuity of both grade and geology. |
| | | | drill holes' density. |
| | | | number of passes to fill the blocks and |
| | | | Quality of the estimate (kriging efficiency). |
| | | | The Halo (non-wire framed material) is assigned a Resource category of inferred if it is within the inferred wireframe and the block is filled in the first pass. |
| | | Whether appropriate account has been taken of all relevant factors (i.e. relative confidence in tonnage/grade estimations, reliability of input data, confidence in continuity of geology and metal values, quality, quantity and distribution of the data). | Input and geological data is assumed accurate backed up by previous successful mining operations |
| | | Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit. | This Mineral Resource estimate is considered representative with comments noted in the discussion below. |
| | Audits or | The results of any audits or reviews of Mineral Resource estimates. | The Mineral Resource has been subjected to a review by Northern Star Resources' senior technical personal. |
| | reviews | | The process and validation of Mineral Resource estimates was undertaken by an independent consultant from Optiro. |
| | Discussion of relative accuracy/ confidence | Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and confidence level in the Mineral Resource estimate using an approach or procedure deemed appropriate by the Competent Person. For example, the application of statistical or geostatistical procedures to quantify the relative accuracy of the Resource within stated confidence limits, or, if such an approach is not deemed appropriate, a qualitative discussion of the factors that could affect the relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate. | This mineral Resource estimate is considered as robust and representative of the Peake mineralisation. The application of geostatistical methods has helped to increase the confidence of the model and quantify the relative accuracy of the Resource on a global scale. |
| | | The statement should specify whether it relates to global or local estimates, and, if local, state the relevant tonnages, which should be relevant to technical and economic evaluation. Documentation should include assumptions made and the procedures used. | This Resource report relates to the Peake ore zones and are likely to have local variability. The global assessment is more of a reflection of the average tonnes and grade estimate. |
| | | These statements of relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate should be compared with production data, where available. | Reconciliation comparison between the previously mined Peake and this, Peake_Resource_final Mar_2013 block model is favourable with reported reconciled production of 0.08mt @ 7gpt for 15koz (Mining cut-off grade is variable but assumed to be 0.9gpt). At 0.9gpt lower cut-off and 92% recovery the block model reports 0.08mt @ 6.4gpt for 15.8koz. |

PEAKE DEPOSIT - REPRESENTATIVE PLAN & LONG SECTION

Plan View – Peake deposit

