Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations

<u>Consultation Draft</u>

4 th Edition

February 2019

HOW TO READ THIS MARK UP OF THE CONSULTATION DRAFT:

- Insertions to the 4th Edition appear in <u>blue underline</u>.
- Deletions from the 4th Edition appear in red strikethrough.
- Moved text appears in green.

ASX Corporate Governance Council

> mance Principles and Recommendation Consultation Draft-1/86

#10695207v9 <u>#10645837v57</u>

The 8 Principles

- **<u>1.</u>** Lay solid foundations for management and oversight: A listed entity should clearly delineate the respective roles and responsibilities of its board and management and regularly review their performance.
- 2. 2.-Structure the board to be effective and add value: The board of a listed entity should be of an appropriate regularly review its size and collectively have theits directors' skills, commitment and knowledge of the entity and the industry in which it operates, to enable so that it to may discharge its duties effectively and to add value.
- 3. 3. Instil a culture of acting lawfully, ethically and responsibly: A listed entity should instil and continually reinforce a culture across the organisation of acting lawfully, ethically and responsibly, within the organisation and in its dealings with external stakeholders, to create long-term sustainable value.
- <u>4.</u> <u>4.Safeguard the integrity of corporate reports: AThe board of a listed entity should <u>haveoversee</u> appropriate processes to verify the integrity of its <u>periodic</u> corporate reports.</u>
- 5. 5.-Make timely-and, balanced and accurate disclosure: A listed entity should make timely-and, balanced and accurate disclosure of all matters concerning it that a reasonable person would expect to have a material effect on the price or value of its securities.
- <u>6.</u> Respect the rights of security holders: A listed entity should provide its security holders with appropriate information and facilities to allow them to exercise their rights as security holders effectively.
- <u>7.</u> 7. Recognise and manage risk: <u>AThe board of a</u> listed entity should <u>establishoversee</u> a sound risk management framework and <u>periodicallythe periodic</u> review <u>of</u> the effectiveness of that framework.
- 8. S.-Remunerate fairly and responsibly: A listed entity should payensure that its director remuneration sufficient to attract and rotain high quality directors and dosign its and executive remuneration to attract, rotain and motivate high quality senier policies and practices are fair and responsible. <u>Remuneration of</u> executives and to should align their interests with the <u>entity's values, strategic</u> objectives and risk appetite, and the creation of <u>long-term sustainable</u> value for security holders-and with the entity's values and risk appetite.

Table of Contents

	The 8 Principles 2
	Table of Contents
	Foreword <u>to Consultation Draft</u> 5
	About <u>Membership of</u> the Council5
What is "corporate governance"?	5
	The purpose of the Principles and Recommendations
	What is "corporate governance"?
	The basis of the Principles and Recommendations – the "if not, why not" approach
	The application of the Principles and Recommendations $\frac{57}{2}$
	The structure of the Principles and Recommendations
	The linkage with ASX's <u>Listing Rules-listing rules</u>
	Where to make corporate governance disclosures
	How to approach corporate governance disclosures
Disclosing the fact that a recommen	dation is followed 8
	Disclosing the reasons for not following a <u>Recommendation</u> recommendation
Acknowledgments	recommendation
Acknowlodgmonts	recommendation 9 11 Effective date 912 9 9 Principle 1: Lay solid foundations for management and oversight 1013 Principle 2: Structure the board to be effective and add value 1924 Principle 3: Instil a culture of acting lawfully, ethically and responsibly
Acknowledgments	recommendation 9 11 Effective date 912 9 9 Principle 1: Lay solid foundations for management and oversight 1013 Principle 2: Structure the board to be effective and add value 1924 Principle 3: Instil a culture of acting lawfully, ethically and responsibly 2634
Acknowledgments	recommendation 9 11 Effective date 912 9 9 Principle 1: Lay solid foundations for management and oversight 1013 Principle 2: Structure the board to be effective and add value 9 Principle 3: Instil a culture of acting lawfully, ethically and responsibly 2634 Principle 4: Safeguard the integrity of corporate reports
Acknowlodgmonts	recommendation 9 11 Effective date 912 9 9 Principle 1: Lay solid foundations for management and oversight 1013 Principle 2: Structure the board to be effective and add value 1924 Principle 3: Instil a culture of acting lawfully, ethically and responsibly 2634 Principle 4: Safeguard the integrity of corporate reports 2014 Principle 5: Make timely-and, balanced and accurate disclosure 2451

<u>3/86</u>

Principle 8: Remunerate fairly and responsibly
Additional recommendations<u>Recommendations</u> that apply only in certain cases
The application of the recommendations<u>Recommendations</u> to externally managed listed entities
Glossary
Resource materials

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations Consultation Draft #10645837v57

<u>4/86</u>

Foreword to Consultation Draft

Corporate governance has been defined as "the entirety of structures and processes by which an entity is run".¹

The ASX Corporate Governance Council was convened in August 2002. It brings together various business, investor and industry groups, each offering valuable insights and expertise on governance issues from the perspective of their particular stakeholders. Its primary work has been the development of the Council's *Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations* (*Principles and Recommendations*).

The *Principles and Recommendations* was first introduced in 2003; a second edition was published in 2007, a third in 2014, and a fourth in 2019. It was from its outset, and continues to be, a principlesbased guide, with recommendations and commentary for listed entities. Throughout the past twenty years our Council members (see list below) have assisted with the preparation of this document, bringing a wide range of perspectives and representing a large number of stakeholders.

The Council developed this fifth edition *Consultation Draft* considering evolving investor and community expectations around issues including corporate conduct, culture, management of risk, stakeholder relationships, reporting and remuneration. It recognises developments in public policy and regulation.

Council members have been actively engaged bringing their perspectives on how our document might meet those challenges. This *Consultation Draft* is now released to encourage feedback. Following consideration of submissions, the Council will finalise the *Principles and Recommendations* and release it in its final form as soon as practicable.

I would like to express my appreciation to the Council members for their significant contributions in maintaining the *Principles and Recommendations* as a leading standard on corporate governance for listed entities.

Elizabeth Johnstone Chair, ASX Corporate Governance Council

About Membership of the Council

The Council was convened in August 2002. It brings together various business, shareholder and industry groups, each offering valuable insights and expertise on governance issues from the perspective of their particular stakeholders. Its primary work has been the development of the Principles and Recommendations.

TheAt the time of issue of this fifth edition, the members of the Council are:

Association of Superannuation Funds of Australia Limited ASX Limited Australasian Investor Relations Association

5/8

¹ Commonwealth of Australia, *Royal Commission into Misconduct in the Banking, Superannuation and Financial Services Industry* (Final Report, February 2019), 333.

<u>Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations</u> <u>Consultation Draft</u> #10645837v57.

Australian Council of Superannuation Investors Australian Institute of Company Directors Australian Institute of Superannuation Trustees Australian Shareholders' Association Business Council of Australia Chartered Accountants Australia and New Zealand CPA Australia Ltd Financial Services Council Financial Services Institute of Australasia Governance Institute of Australia Group of 100 Institute of Internal Auditors - Australia Institute of Public Accountants Insurance Council of Australia Law Council of Australia Property Council of Australia Stockbrokers and Financial Investment Advisers Association Limited

Further information about the Council, including a copy of its charter, is available at: <u>https://www.asx.com.au/regulation/corporate-governance-council.htm</u> < https://www.asx.com.au/about/regulation/asx-corporate-governance-council/ >

What is "corporate governance"?

The phrase "corporate governance" describes "the framework of rules, relationships, systems and processes within and by which authority is exercised and controlled within corporations. It encompasses the mechanisms by which companies, and those in control, are hold to account."[±]

Good corporate governance promotes investor confidence, which is crucial to the ability of entities listed on the ASX to compete for capital.

The purpose of the Principles and Recommendations

These<u>The</u> Principles and Recommendations <u>setsets</u> out recommended corporate governance practices for entities listed on the ASX that, in the Council's view, are likely to achieve good governance outcomes and meet the reasonable expectations of most investors in most situations.

The Council recognises, however, that different entities may <u>legitimately</u> adopt different governance practices, based on a range of factors, including their size, complexity, history<u>and</u> corporate culture<u>and</u> <u>stakeholders</u>. For that reason, the Principles and Recommendations are not mandatory and do not seek to <u>prescribe the corporate governance practices that a listed if an</u> entity <u>mustdoes not</u> adopt<u>a</u> <u>Recommendation</u>, it must explain why – the "if not why not" approach.²

² Listing Rule 4.10.3.

^{*} Justice Owen in the HIH Royal Commission, *The Failure of HIH Insurance Volume 1: A Corporate Collapse and Its Lessons*, Commonwealth of Australia, April 2003 at page xxiv.

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations Consultation Draft #1064<u>5837v57</u>

What is "corporate governance"?

<u>The phrase "corporate governance" describes "the framework of rules, relationships, systems and processes</u> <u>within and by which authority is exercised and controlled within corporations."</u> It encompasses the mechanisms by which companies, and those in control, are held to account.³

<u>Good corporate governance can contribute to the long-term sustainable value of listed entities. It promotes</u> <u>investor confidence, which is crucial to the ability of entities listed on the ASX to compete for capital.</u>

<u>Corporate governance continues to evolve.</u> For example, entities are recognising the significance of their <u>key stakeholders to their governance</u>. This document includes references to Aboriginal and Torres Strait <u>Islander stakeholders, to reflect the Australian context and to acknowledge their potential roles and</u> <u>perspectives as stakeholders.</u>

The basis of the Principles and Recommendations – the "if not, why not" approach

Which governance practices a listed entity chooses to adopt is fundamentally a matter for its board of directors, the body charged with the legal responsibility for managing its business with due care and diligence²⁴ and therefore for ensuring that it has appropriate governance arrangements in place.

Under the *Principles and Recommendations*, if the board of a listed entity considers that a Council recommendation<u>Recommendation</u> is not appropriate to its particular circumstances, it is entitled not to adopt it. If it does so, however, it must explain why it has not adopted the recommendation<u>Recommendation</u> – the "if not, why not" approach.

This approach ensures that the market receives an appropriate level of information about the entity's governance arrangements so that investors and other stakeholders can have a meaningful dialogue with the board and management on governance matters and can factor the information provided into their decision on whether or not to invest in the entity and how to vote on particular resolutions.

The "if not, why not" approach is fundamental to the operation of the Principles and Recommendations.

The application of the Principles and Recommendations

The *Principles and Recommendations* applyapplies to all entities admitted to the ASX official list as an ASX listing, ³⁵ regardless of the legal form they take, ⁴⁶ whether they are established in Australia or elsewhere, and whether they are internally or externally managed.

The *Principles and Recommendations* are is specifically directed at, and only intended to apply to, ASX listed entities. However, as they reflect it reflects a contemporary view of appropriate corporate governance

³ Commonwealth of Australia, Royal Commission into the Failure of HIH Insurance (Final Report, April 2003), vol 1, xxxiii and Justice Owen, Corporate Governance – Level upon Layer, Speech to the 13th Commonwealth Law Conference 2003 (Melbourne, 13-17 April 2003), 2.

² Sections 180 (in the case of a listed company) and 601FD(1)(b) (in the case of a listed trust) of the Corporations Act.

²⁵ The Principles and Recommendations do does not apply to entities admitted to the ASX official list as ASX debt listings or ASX foreign exempt listings.

⁴ That is, whether they are a listed company, listed trust or listed stapled entity.

<u>Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations</u> <u>Consultation Draft</u> #10645837v57.

standards, other bodies may find them helpful in formulating their governance rules or practices.

The structure of the Principles and Recommendations

The *Principles and Recommendations* are is structured around, and seekseeks to promote, 8 central principles:

- 1. Lay solid foundations for management and oversight: A listed entity should clearly delineate the respective roles and responsibilities of its board and management and regularly review their performance.
- Structure the board to be effective and add value: The board of a listed entity should be of an appropriate size and collectively have the skills, commitment and knowledge of the entity and the industry in which it operates, to enable it to discharge its duties effectively and to add value.
- Instil a culture of acting lawfully, ethically and responsibly: A listed entity should instil and continually reinforce a culture across the organisation of acting lawfully, ethically and responsibly.
- Safeguard the integrity of corporate reports: A listed entity should have appropriate processes to verify the integrity of its corporate reports.
- 5. Make timely and balanced disclosure: A listed entity should make timely and balanced disclosure of all matters concerning it that a reasonable person would expect to have a material effect on the price or value of its securities.
- Respect the rights of security holders: A listed entity should provide its security holders with appropriate information and facilities to allow them to exercise their rights as security holders offectively.
- 7. Recognise and manage risk: A listed entity should establish a sound risk management framework and periodically review the effectiveness of that framework.

8. Remunerate fairly and responsibly: A listed entity should pay director remuneration sufficient to attract and retain high quality directors and design its executive remuneration to attract, retain and motivate high quality senior executives and to align their interests with the creation of value for security holders and with the entity's values and risk appetitePrinciples.

There are <u>3533</u> specific <u>recommendationsRecommendations</u> of general application intended to give effect to these <u>principlesPrinciples</u>, as well as <u>37</u> additional <u>recommendationsRecommendations</u> that only apply in certain limited cases. These additional <u>recommendations are included in the third last section of this</u> <u>document,Recommendations appear</u> immediately after the section dealing with <u>principlePrinciple</u> 8.

There is also explanatory commentary with further guidance on the recommendations each <u>Recommendation</u>.

Some <u>recommendations</u><u>Recommendations</u> require modification when applied to externally managed listed entities. The second last section of this document explains how externally managed listed entities should apply and make disclosures against the recommendations (this is set out in the third last section of this document).

The last section is a glossary which<u>Glossary</u> explains the meaning of a number of key terms, including <u>"disclose"</u>, "executive director", "non-executive director", "senior executive", "substantial<u>10%</u> holder", "environmental risk"<u>and</u>, "social risk"<u>and "governance risk"</u>.

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations Consultation Draft #10645837v57

Resource materials appear at the end of this document.

The linkage with ASX's listing rules Listing Rules

Each ASX listed entity is required under <u>listing rule_Listing Rule</u> 4.10.3 to include in its annual report either a corporate governance statement⁵² that meets the requirements of that rule, or the URL of the page on its website where such a statement is located.⁶⁸

The corporate governance statement must disclose the extent to which the entity has followed the recommendations<u>Recommendations</u> set by the Council during the reporting period. If the entity has not followed a <u>recommendationRecommendation</u> for any part of the reporting period, its corporate governance statement must separately identify that <u>recommendationRecommendation</u> and the period during which it was not followed and state its reasons for not following the <u>recommendationRecommendation</u> and what (if any) alternative governance practices it adopted in lieu of the <u>recommendationRecommendation</u> during that period.

By requiring listed entities to compare their corporate governance practices with the Council's recommendations<u>Recommendations</u> and, where they do not conform, to disclose that fact and the reasons why, <u>listing rule_Listing Rule</u> 4.10.3 acts to encourage listed entities to adopt the governance practices suggested in the <u>Council's recommendationsRecommendations</u> but does not force them to do so. It leaves a listed entity with the flexibility to adopt alternative governance practices, if its board considers those to be more suitable to its particular circumstances, subject to the requirement for the board to explain its reasons for adopting those alternative practices instead of the <u>Council's recommendationsRecommendations</u>.

It is this rule which encapsulates the "if not, why not" requirement underpinning the operation of the *Principles and Recommendations* and which serves to ensure that the market receives an appropriate level of information about the governance practices an entity has adopted.

An entity's corporate governance statement must specify the date at which it is current, which must be the entity's balance date or a later date specified by the entity and state that it has been approved by the board of the entity.⁷

See Listing Rule 4.10 and ASX guidance⁹ for further information on these reporting requirements.

Each ASX listed entity must provide to ASX with its annual report a completed Appendix 4G, which has a key to where the various disclosures suggested in the recommendations or required under listing rule 4.10.3 can be found.⁸

If an entity's corporate governance statement is not included in its annual report, the entity must also give ASX a copy of its corporate governance statement at the same time as it gives its annual report to ASX. The

⁸ Listing rule 4.7.3.

⁵² "Corporate governance statement" is defined in <u>listing rule_Listing Rule</u> 19.12 to mean the statement referred to in <u>listing</u> rule_Listing Rule 4.10.3 which discloses the extent to which an entity has followed the recommendations set by the ASX Corporate Governance Council during a particular reporting period.

⁶⁸<u>Listing rule 4.7.4 provides that if an entity's corporate governance statement is not included in its annual report, the entity must also give ASX a copy of its corporate governance statement at the same time as it gives its annual report to ASX. The corporate governance statement must be current as at the effective date specified in that statement for the purposes of <u>listing rule_Listing Rule</u> 4.10.3.</u>

⁴ Or, in the case of a trust, the board of the responsible ontity of the trust.⁹ ASX Compliance, Guidance Note 9: Disclosure of corporate governance practices.

<u>Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations</u> <u>Consultation Draft</u> #10645837v57

corporate governance statement must be current as at the effective date specified in that statement for the purposes of listing rule 4.10.3.⁹

Again, these requirements apply to all ASX listed entities regardless of the legal form they take, whether they are established in Australia or elsewhere, and whether they are internally or externally managed.

The disclosures required under listing rule 4.10.3 and referenced in Appendix 4G relate specifically to the recommendations in the Principles and Recommendations. The principles themselves, and the commentary on the recommendations, do not form part of the recommendations and therefore do not trigger any specific disclosure obligations under listing rule 4.10..

Where to make corporate governance disclosures

Where these Principles and Recommendations refer<u>a Recommendation refers</u> to a listed entity disclosing information, it should be disclosed either in the entity's annual report or on its website.

The Council expects that many<u>If a</u> listed entities will streamline their annual report by choosing<u>entity</u> <u>chooses</u> to publish <u>theirits</u> governance disclosures, <u>(including theirits</u> corporate governance statement under <u>listing rule_Listing Rule</u> 4.10.3, <u>on theirits</u> website rather than in their annual report. If they do so, those disclosures should be clearly presented and centrally located on, or accessible from, a "corporate governance<u>Corporate Governance</u>" landing page on its website. There should be an intuitive and easily located link to this landing page in the navigation menu for the entity's website (for example, under an "About Us", "Investor Centre" or "Information for Shareholders/Unitholders" menu item).

Where a listed entity chooses to include its corporate governance statement in its annual report rather than on its website, the Council recommends that the corporate governance statement and any related corporate governance disclosures appear in a clearly delineated "corporate governance" section of the annual report.

It is acceptable for an entity's corporate governance statement to incorporate material by reference (for example, on another part of the entity's website or in another part of its annual report) provided that material is freely available and the statement clearly indicates where interested parties can read or obtain a copy of that material (for example, the URL of the relevant web page or the relevant page or section of the annual report).

How to approach corporate governance disclosures

The Council encourages listed entities to give an informative explanation of their corporate governance arrangements and not to take a pedantic or legalistic approach to their disclosures under <u>listing rule_Listing Rule</u> 4.10.3, such as simply listing the <u>recommendations</u> followed and those not followed and why.

In this regard, listed entities should view their corporate governance statement not as a compliance document but rather as an opportunity to demonstrate that their board and management are alive to the importance of having proper and effective corporate governance arrangements and to communicate to security holders and the broader investment community the robustness of their particular approach to corporate governance.

⁹ Listing rule 4.7.4.

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations Consultation Draft #10645837v57

This includes not only outlining the governance arrangements it has in place but also explaining how they are being implemented in practice. For example, where a <u>recommendationRecommendation</u> calls for a particular policy to be in place,¹⁰ it will aid transparency and promote investor confidence for the entity to disclose, where appropriate,¹¹ action taken to promote compliance and whether there have been material breaches of the policy during the reporting period and how they have been dealt with. Similarly, where a <u>recommendationRecommendation</u> calls for a matter to be reviewed or evaluated,¹² investors will find it helpful for the entity to disclose, where appropriate, any material insights it has gained from the review or evaluation and any changes it has made to its governance arrangements as a result.

Disclosing the fact that a recommendation is followed

Where a listed entity follows a recommendation, rather than simply state that fact, it should explain what policies and practices it has in place in that regard and, where applicable, point readers to where they can find further information about these policies and practices. For example, readers are likely to find a statement that:

The board has established an audit committee. It has 3 members, all of whom are non-executive directors. A majority of the committee members are independent directors. The committee is also chaired by an independent chair, who is not chair of the board. A copy of the charter of the audit committee is available on the corporate governance page on the company's website at [insert URL]. Information about the members of the audit committee, their relevant qualifications and experience, the number of times the committee met throughout the most recent reporting period and the individual attendances of members at those meetings is also set out on the corporate governance page on the company's website.

to be more illuminating than:

The entity complies with recommendation 4.1 of the ASX Corporate Governance Council Principles and Recommendations.

Disclosing the reasons for not following a recommendation <u>Recommendation</u>

An "if not, why not" explanation an entity includes in its corporate governance statement setting out its reasons for not following a recommendation <u>Recommendation</u> should:

- be reasonably detailed and informative so that the market understands why it is that the entity has chosen not to follow that recommendation<u>Recommendation</u>; and
- disclose what, if any, alternative corporate governance practices the entity may have adopted in lieu
 of those in the recommendation<u>Recommendation</u>, and explain why those practices are considered
 more appropriate for the entity than the ones in the recommendation<u>Recommendation</u>.

11/8

¹⁰ As is the case, for example, in recommendations 1.5 (diversity), <u>Recommendation</u> 3.2 (code of conduct), 3.3 (whistleblower policy), <u>Recommendation</u> 3.4 (anti-bribery diversity and corruption inclusion policy), <u>Recommendation</u> 5.1 (disclosure policy) and 8.3 <u>Recommendation</u> 9.7 (policy on hedging equity incentive schemes).

¹¹ Having regard to privacy, confidentiality, defamation and other pertinent legal issues.

¹² As is the case for example in recommendations <u>1.6</u><u>Recommendation 1.5</u> (board performance reviews) and <u>Recommendation</u> 7.2 (annual risk review).

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations Consultation Draft #10645837v57

Security holders are unlikely to find brief statements – such as "the <u>recommendationRecommendation</u> is not considered appropriate, given the entity's size and circumstances" or, in the case of those <u>recommendationsRecommendations</u> suggesting that an entity has an audit, risk, nomination or remuneration committee, that "the board as a whole performs the role that such a committee would ordinarily undertake" – to be particularly helpful in understanding why an entity has chosen not to follow a particular <u>recommendationRecommendation</u> or what alternative corporate governance arrangements the entity may have instituted to address the underlying <u>principlePrinciple</u> to which that <u>recommendationRecommendation</u> is directed.

Effective date

This edition of the *Principles and Recommendations* takes effect for an entity's first full financial year commencing on or after <u>1 January 2020[insert date]</u>. Accordingly, entities with a 31 December balance date will be expected to measure their governance practices against the <u>recommendationsRecommendations</u> in the <u>fourthfifth</u> edition commencing with the financial year ended 31 December <u>2020[insert date]</u>. Entities with a 30 June balance date will be expected to measure their governance practices against the <u>recommendationsRecommendations</u> in the <u>fourthfifth</u> edition commencing with the financial year ended 30 June their governance practices against the <u>recommendationsRecommendations</u> in the <u>fourthfifth</u> edition commencing with the financial year ended 30 June <u>2021[insert date]</u>.

The Council would encourage listed entities to adopt the fourth fifth edition earlier, if they wish.

Acknowledgments

The Principles and Recommendations have benefited from the invaluable contributionsmade by a number of industry associations, corporate governance experts, listed entities and other stakeholders. The Council is most grateful for their input.

Principle 1: Lay solid foundations for management and oversight

A listed entity should clearly delineate the respective roles and responsibilities of its board and management and regularly review their performance.

Recon	nmendation 1.1				
A liste	d entity should have and disclose a board charter setting out:				
(a)	the respective roles and responsibilities of its board and management; and				
(b)	those matters expressly reserved to the board and those delegated to management.				
Recon	nmendation 1.2				
A liste	d entity should:				
(a)	undertake appropriate checks before appointing a director or senior executive or putting someone forward for election as a director; and				
(b)	provide security holders with all material information in its possession relevant to a decision on whether or not to elect or re-elect a director.				
Recon	nmendation 1.3				
	d entity should have a written agreement with each director and senior executive <u>.</u> setting out the of their appointment.				
Recon	nmendation 1.4				
	ompany secretary of a listed entity should be accountable directly to the board, through the chair, matters to do with the proper functioning of the board.				
Recon	nmendation 1.5				
A liste	d entity should:				
(a)	have and disclose a diversity policy;				
(b)	through its board or a committee of the board set measurable objectives for achieving gender diversity in the composition of its board, senior executives and workforce generally; and				
(c)					
	(1) the measurable objectives set for that period to achieve gender diversity;				
	(2) the entity's progress towards achieving those objectives; and				
	(3) either:				
	(A) the respective propertions of men and women on the board, in senior executive positions and across the whole workforce (including how the entity has defined "senior executive" for these purposes); or				
	(B) if the entity is a "relevant employer" under the Workplace Gender Equality Act, the entity's most recent "Gender Equality Indicators", as defined in and published under that Act.				
rporate G	Sovernance Principles and Recommendations 13/86				

If the entity was in the S&P/ASX 300 Index at the commencement of the reporting period, the measurable objective for achieving gender diversity in the composition of its board should be to have not less than 30% of its directors of each gender within a specified period.

Recommendation 1.6

A listed entity should:

- (a) have and disclose a process for periodically evaluating the performance of the board, its committees and individual directors; and
- (b) disclose for each reporting period whether a performance evaluation has been undertaken in accordance with that process during or in respect of that period.

Recommendation 1.71.6

A listed entity should:

- (a) have and disclose a process for evaluating the performance of its senior executives at least once every reporting period; and
- (b) disclose for each reporting period whether a performance evaluation has been undertaken in accordance with that process during or in respect of that period.

Recommendation 1.1

A listed entity should have and disclose a board charter setting out:

- (a) the respective roles and responsibilities of its board and management; and
- (b) those matters expressly reserved to the board and those delegated to management.

Commentary

Generally-speaking, the board of a listed entity should be responsible under its charter for:

- demonstrating leadership;
- defining deciding whether to define the entity's purpose and , if so, approving that purpose;
- setting its strategic objectives;
- approving the entity's statement of values and code of conduct, to underpin the desired culture within the entity that supports the creation of long-term sustainable value;¹³
- appointing the chair and, if the entity has one, the deputy chair and/or the "senior independent director";
- appointing and replacing the CEO;
- approving the appointment and replacement of other senior executives and the company secretary;¹⁴
- overseeing management in its implementation of the entity's strategic objectives, instilling of the

¹³ See recommendation 3.1 below Principle 3.

¹⁴ In relation to the appointment and removal of the company secretary, see note 28 below.

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations Consultation Draft #10645837v57

entity's values and desired culture, and performance generally;

- <u>monitoring the culture within the entity, including its alignment with the entity's purpose (if articulated), values, strategic objectives and risk appetite;</u>
- approving operating budgets and major capital expenditure;
- overseeing the integrity of the entity's accounting and corporate reporting systems, including the external audit;
- overseeing the entity's process for making timely and balanced disclosure of all material information concerning the entity that a reasonable person would expect to have a material effect on the price or value of the entity's securities;
- satisfying itself that the entity has in place an appropriate risk management framework (for both financial and non-financial risks) and setting the risk appetite within which the board expects management to operate;
- satisfying itself that an appropriate framework exists for relevant information to be reported by management to the board;
- whenever required, challenging management and holding it to account;⁴⁵
- satisfying itself that the entity's remuneration policies are aligned with the entity's purpose<u>(if</u> <u>articulated)</u>, values, strategic objectives and risk appetite; and
- monitoring the effectiveness of the entity's governance practices <u>(including having regard to the entity's key stakeholders</u>).⁴⁶

Where some responsibilities are delegated to a board committee, the board retains the ultimate oversight in respect of those delegated matters.

<u>Strategic objectives should be set by the board. The board should oversee implementation of these strategic</u> <u>objectives to build sustainable value for security holders of the entity. This includes having regard to the</u> <u>interests of the entity's key stakeholders, as appropriate.</u>

The senior executive team <u>will usuallyshould</u> be responsible for implementing the entity's strategic objectives and instilling and reinforcing its values <u>and desired culture</u>, all while operating within the values, code of conduct, budget and risk appetite set by the board.

The senior executive team will also usually be responsible for providing the board with accurate, timely and clear information on the entity's operations to enable the board to perform its responsibilities. This is not just limited to information about the financial performance of the entity, but also <u>its(for example) current</u> <u>and emerging risks, the entity's</u> compliance with material legal and regulatory requirements, and any conduct that is materially inconsistent with the values or code of conduct of <u>matters reflecting</u> the entity's <u>culture</u>.¹⁴

^{**} As noted by Commissioner Hayne in the Final Report, Royal Commission into Misconduct in the Banking, Superannuation and Financial Services Industry 1 February 2019, Volume 1, at page 396: "Boards cannot operate properly without having the right information. And boards do not operate effectively if they do not challenge management."

¹⁶Some of these matters may be delegated to a committee of the board, with the board retaining the ultimate oversight and desision making power in respect of the matters so delegated.

¹⁴ For example, regular reporting of relevant metrics, and any conduct that is materially inconsistent with the entity's code of <u>conduct. See Recommendation 3.2.</u>

<u>Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations</u> <u>Consultation Draft</u> #10645837v57.

The board charter should set out the role and responsibilities of the chair of the board. Usually, the chair will be responsible for leading the board, facilitating the effective contribution of all directors and promoting constructive and respectful relations between directors and between the board and management. The chair willshould also usually be responsible for approving board agendas, facilitating (with the company secretary) the proper information flow to the board, and ensuring that adequate time is available for discussion of all agenda items, including strategic issues.

If the listed entity has a deputy chair or senior independent director, the board charter should also set out their roles and responsibilities.

The board charter should state the entity's policy on when and how directors may seek independent professional advice at the expense of the entity. This generally should be whenever directors, especially non-executive directors, judge such advice necessary for them to discharge their responsibilities as directors.

The nature of matters reserved to the board and those delegated to management will depend on the size, complexity and ownership structure of the entity, and will be influenced by its history and culture, and by the respective skills of its directors and management. These may vary over time as the entity evolves. The board should <u>determine and</u> regularly review the division of functions between the board and management, and review governance structures generally, to ensure that it continues they continue to be appropriate to the needs of the entity.

<u>This includes reflecting on the relevance of its key stakeholders to the design and effectiveness of its</u> <u>governance structures and practices.</u> <u>Stakeholders may include, for example, security holders, employees,</u> <u>customers, suppliers, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, local community, law makers and</u> <u>regulators.</u>¹⁵

Recommendation 1.2

A listed entity should:

- (a) undertake appropriate checks before appointing a director or senior executive or putting someone forward for election as a director; and
- (b) provide security holders with all material information in its possession relevant to a decision on whether or not to elect or re-elect a director.

Commentary

For these purposes, appropriate checks would usually include checks as to the person's character, experience, education, <u>qualifications</u> criminal record and bankruptcy history.¹⁷¹⁶

The following information about a candidate standing for election or re-election as a director should be provided to security holders to enable them to make an informed decision on whether or not to elect or re-elect the candidate:

- biographical details, including their relevant qualifications and experience and the skills they bring to the board;
- details of any other material directorships currently held by the candidate;

¹⁵ See Recommendation 3.2, Recommendation 3.3 and Principle 6.

¹⁷-Listed entities may find the guidance in ¹⁶ For example, see Australian Standard AS 4811 2006 Employment screening helpful in understanding AS 4811-2022 Workforce screening regarding the types of checks that may be undertaken and how best to undertake them. Also see AusCheck, for security-sensitive critical infrastructure sectors.

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations Consultation Draft #10645837v57.

- in the case of a candidate standing for election as a director for the first time:
 - _____ confirmation that the entity has conducted appropriate checks into the candidate's background and experience;
 - if those checks have revealed any information of concern, that information;
 - details of any interest, position or relationship that might influence, or reasonably be perceived to influence, in a material respect their capacity to bring an-independent judgement independent to bear on issues before the board and to act in the best interests of the entity as a whole rather than in the interests of an individual security holder or other party; and
 - if the board considers that the candidate will, if elected, qualify as an independent director, a statement to that effect;
- in the case of a candidate standing for re-election as a director:
 - the term of office currently served by the director; and
 - if the board considers the director to be an independent director, a statement to that effect; and
- a statement by the board as to whether it supports the election or re-election of the candidate and a summary of the reasons why.

A candidate for appointment or election as a non-executive director¹⁸ should provide the board or nomination committee with the information above and a consent for the listed entity to conduct any background or other checks the entity would ordinarily conduct. <u>(This applies regardless of who nominates the candidate, including where the candidate nominates themselves or is put forward by a security holder.</u>)

<u>CandidatesAll candidates</u> for appointment, election or re-election as a director should also provide details of their other commitments and an indication of time involved, and should specifically acknowledge to the listed entity that they will have sufficient time to fulfil their responsibilities as a director.

The Council acknowledges that some checks take time and there may be cases where a listed entity will wish to make a provisional appointment of a director or senior executive, or put a resolution to members electing a director, subject to receipt of satisfactory outstanding checks. Where a listed entity does this, it should take particular care to ensure that the director or senior executive gives an unequivocal undertaking to resign should the entity receive an outstanding check that it considers is not satisfactory. This is particularly so for a director, since once they are appointed or elected, they can generally only be removed from office against their will by a resolution of security holders.

Entities should consider periodically refreshing relevant checks, such as at the time that a director stands for re-election.

Recommendation 1.3

A listed entity should have a written agreement with²⁰ each director and senior executive, setting out the

¹⁸ This applies regardless of who nominates the condidate for appointment or election as a director, including where the condidate nominates himself or herself or is put forward by a security holder or holders (for example, under section 249D, 249F, 252B or 252D of the Corporations Act).

¹⁹17 Section 203E of the Corporations Act.

²⁹ The reference in this recommendation to a listed entity having a written agreement with a director or senior executive means having an agreement with the director or senior executive gersonally rather than with an entity supplying his or her services (see the

<u>Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations</u> <u>Consultation Draft</u> #10645837v57

terms of their appointment.²⁴

Commentary

Usually the agreement will take the form of a letter of appointment in the case of a non-executive director and a service contract in the case of an executive director or other senior executive.

With one exception, the <u>The</u> agreement in question should be with the director or senior executive personally rather than an entity supplying their services.²² This is to ensure that the director or senior executive is personally accountable to the listed entity for any breach of the agreement.²³

The

<u>This includes where directors of listed entities supply and are paid for their services through a "personal services company". A personal agreement with the listed entity should still be put in place, setting out the director's duties and responsibilities.</u>

<u>For the purposes of this Recommendation, the</u> one exception <u>to a personal agreement</u> is where an entity is engaging a bona fide professional services firm²⁴ to provide the services of a CFO, company secretary or other senior executive on an outsourced basis. In that case, it is acceptable for the agreement to be between the entity and the professional services firm.

In the case of a non-executive director, the agreement should include:

- the requirement to disclose the director's interests and any matters which could affect the director's independence;
- the requirement to comply with key corporate policies, including the entity's code of conduct,²⁵¹⁸ its anti-bribery and corruption policy²⁶ and its trading policy;²⁷¹⁹
- the requirement to notify the entity of, or to seek the entity's approval before accepting, any new role that could impact upon the time commitment expected of the director or give rise to a conflict of interest;
- the entity's policy on when directors may seek independent professional advice at the expense of the entity (which generally should be whenever directors, especially non-executive directors, judge

commentary to this recommendation).

²¹ It should be noted that a listed entity is required under listing rule 3.16.4 to disclose the material terms of any employment, service or consultancy agreement it or a child entity enters into with its CEO, any of its directors, and any other person or entity who is a related party of its CEO or any of its directors. It is also required to disclose any material variation to such an agreement.

²² For example, under a consultancy agreement between the listed entity and an entity associated with the director or senior executive agreeing to provide his or her services as a director or senior executive.

²³ The Council is aware that some directors of listed entities supply their services through a "personal services company" and have their fees paid to that company rather than to the director personally. Provided the director has a personal letter of appointment with the listed entity setting out the director's duties and responsibilities, such an arrangement is not inconsistent with this recommendation. However, these arrangements do raise other issues that listed entities and directors should consider and take advice on.

²⁴ For the avoidance of doubt, "firm" includes a sole practitioner.

^{25&}lt;u>18</u> See recommendation<u>Recommendation</u> 3.2.

²⁶-See recommendation 3.4.

²⁷ Listing rule 12.9 requires a listed entity to have a trading policy covering its directors and other key management personnel and regulating trading in its securities during certain "prohibited periods".¹⁹ See Listing Rule 12.9.

such advice necessary for them to discharge their responsibilities as directors);

- indemnity and insurance arrangements;
- ongoing rights of access to corporate information; and
- ongoing confidentiality obligations.

<u>The Listing Rules require disclosure of the material terms (and any material variation) of employment,</u> service or consultancy agreements entered into with the listed entity's CEO or any of its directors.²⁰

Recommendation 1.4

The company secretary of a listed entity should be accountable directly to the board, through the chair, on all matters to do with the proper functioning of the board.

Commentary

The company secretary of a listed entity plays an important role in supporting the effectiveness of the board and its committees. The role of the company secretary should include:

- advising the board and its committees on governance matters;
- monitoring that board and committee policy and procedures are followed;
- coordinating the timely completion and despatch dispatch of board and committee papers;
- ensuring that the business at board and committee meetings is accurately captured in the minutes; and
- helping to organise and facilitate the induction and professional development of directors.

Each director should be able to communicate directly with the company secretary and vice versa.

The decision to appoint or remove a company secretary should be made or approved by the board.²⁸²¹

Recommendation 1.5

A listed entity should:

- (a) have and disclose²⁹-a diversity policy;
- (b) through its board or a committee of the board²⁰-set measurable objectives for achieving gender diversity in the composition of its board, senior executives and workforce generally; and
- (c) disclose in relation to each reporting period:

⁽¹⁾ the measurable objectives set for that period to achieve gender diversity;

²⁰ See Listing Rule 3.16.4.

²⁸-Listed companies²¹ For entities established in Australia-should note, this requires a formal resolution of the board: section 204D of the Corporations Act, which requires the appointment of a company secretary to be formally resolved, rather than simply approved, by the board.

²⁹ An entity may redact from the disclosed copy of its diversity policy personal or confidential information such as the names and contact details of individual staff involved in diversity issues.

³⁰ If the board decides to delegate this role to a committee of the board (such as the nomination or remuneration committee), this should be reflected in the charter of the committee in question.

(2) the entity's progress towards achieving those objectives; and

(3) either:

- (A) the respective proportions of men and women on the board, in senior executive positions and across the whole workforce (including how the entity has defined "senior executive" for these purposes); or
- (B) if the entity is a "relevant employer" under the Workplace Gender Equality Act, the entity's most recent "Gender Equality Indicators", as defined in and published under that Act.²¹

If the entity was in the S&P/ASX 300 Index at the commencement of the reporting period, the measurable objective for achieving gender diversity in the composition of its board should be to have not less than 30%-²² of its directors²² of each gender within a specified period.

Commentary

Diversity is increasingly seen as an asset to listed entities and a contributor to better overall performance, particularly in a competitive labour market.

The diversity objectives the board or a committee of the board sets should include appropriate and meaningful benchmarks that are able to be, and are, monitored and measured. These could involve, for example:

- achieving specific numerical targets for the proportion of women on its board, in senior executive roles and in its workforce generally within a specified timeframe;
- achieving specific numerical targets for female representation in key operational roles within a
 specified timeframe with the view to developing a diverse pipeline of talent that can be considered
 for future succession to senior executive roles; or
- achieving specific targets for the "Gender Equality Indicators" in the Workplace Gender Equality Act.

Non-numerical objectives such as "introducing a diversity policy" or "establishing a diversity council", and aspirational objectives such as "achieving a culture of inclusion", while individually worthwhile, are unlikely to be effective in improving gender diversity unless they are backed up with appropriate numerical targets.

The board or committee may wish to consider setting key performance indicators for senior executives on gender participation within their areas of responsibility and linking part of their remuneration (either directly

32 For the avoidance of doubt, a listed entity may set a higher percentage than 20% and meet this recommendation.

³¹ The Workplace Gender Equality Act applies to non-public sector employers with 100 or more employees in Australia. The Act requires such employers to make annual filings with the Workplace Gender Equality Agency ("WGEA") disclosing their "Gender Equality Indicators". These reports are filed annually in respect of the 12 month period ending 31 March.

For an entity which chooses to follow recommendation 1.5(c)(3)(B), publishing the URL of the webpage on the WGEA website where its latest "Gender Equality Indicators" are available will be taken to meet that particular recommendation.

The Council notes that "Gender Equality Indicators" apply to individual employing entities and are not published on a consolidated basis across groups of entities. They also do not apply to employing entities with less than 100 employees in Australia, nor to employees overseas. As a practical matter, therefore, it may well be that many entities are not able to report meaningfully under recommendation 1.5(c)(3)(B) and should therefore report under recommendation 1.5(c)(3)(A).

For further information about the Workplace Gender Equality Act, see the WGEA website: http://www.wgea.gov.au/.

³³ This includes both executive and non-executive directors.

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations Consultation Draft #10645837v57

or as part of a "balanced scorecard") to the achievement of those KPIs.

A listed entity should tailor its gender diversity reporting to reflect its own circumstances and to give an accurate and not misleading impression of the relative participation of women and men in the workplace and the roles in which they are employed. In particular, when reporting the proportion of women in senior executive positions under recommendation 1.5(c)(3)(A), listed entities should clearly define how they are using the term "senior executive". This could be done, for example, by reference to their relativity in terms of reporting hierarchy to the CEO (eg, CEO 1, CEO 2 etc.³⁴) or by describing the roles that term covers (eg, leadership, management or professional speciality).

The board of a listed entity should also include gender diversity as a relevant consideration in its succession planning.

The Council would encourage larger listed entities with significant numbers of employees to show leadership on gender diversity issues and to provide more granular disclosures of the relative participation of women and men in senior executive roles than the base levels set out in this recommendation. This includes:

- where they define "senior executive" for the purposes of recommendation 1.5(c)(3)(A) to include more than one level within the organisation (eg, CEO – 1 and CEO – 2), reporting the numbers of women at each level rather than, or as well as, cumulatively across all levels; and
- reporting the relative participation of women and men in management roles immediately below senior executive (eg, down to CEO - 3 and CEO - 4).

Each of these measures will allow readers to gain a better understanding of the progress of women in the organisation through the different levels of management and of the "pipeline" of candidates potentially available for higher management roles.

The Council would encourage listed entities to benchmark their position on gender diversity against their poors and to undertake gender pay equity audits to gain a stronger insight into the effectiveness of their gender diversity programs and initiatives and to consider disclosing any emerging themes or actions taken as a result.

The Council would also recommend that boards of listed entities consider other facets of diversity in addition to gender when considering the composition of the board. In particular, having directors of different ages, ethnicities and backgrounds can help bring different perspectives and experiences to bear and avoid "groupthink" or other cognitive biases in decision making.

A listed entity may find the suggestions in Box 1.5 helpful when formulating its diversity policy.

²⁴ CEO <u>1</u> refers to the layer of senior executives reporting directly to the CEO, CEO <u>2</u> the next layer of management reporting to those senior executives, and so on-

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations Consultation Draft #10645837v57

Dev 1 E	- Suggestions for the content of a diversity policy
80% 113	t auggestions for the content of a diversity policy
•	-Link the policy to the organisation's statement of values.
•	-Articulate the corporate benefits of diversity in a competitive labour market and the importance of
	being able to attract, retain and motivate employees from the widest possible pool of available
	talent.
•	-Express the organisation's commitment to inclusion at all levels of the organisation, regardless of
	gender, marital or family status, sexual orientation, gender identity, age, disabilities, ethnicity,
	religious beliefs, cultural background, socio-economic background, perspective and experience.
•	-Emphasise that in order to have an inclusive workplace, discrimination, harassment, vilification and
	victimisation cannot and will not be tolerated.
•	-Commit to ensuring that recruitment and selection practices at all levels (from the board
	downwards) are appropriately structured so that a diverse range of candidates are considered and
	guarding against any conscious or unconscious biases that might discriminate against certain
	candidates.
•	-Commit to designing and implementing programs that will assist in the development of a broader
	and more diverse pool of skilled and experienced employees and that, over time, will prepare them
	for senior management and board positions.
•	-Recognise that employees (female and male) at all levels may have domestic responsibilities and
	adopt flexible work practices that will assist them to meet those responsibilities.
•	-Provide opportunities for employees on extended parental leave to maintain their connection with
	the entity, for example, by offering them the option (without any obligation) to receive all-staff
	communications and to attend work functions and training programs.
•	-State that the policy will be periodically reviewed to check that it is operating effectively and
	whether any changes are required to the policy.

Recommendation 1.6

A listed entity should:

- (a) have and disclose a process for periodically evaluating the performance of the board, its committees and individual directors; and
- (b) disclose for each reporting period whether a performance evaluation has been undertaken in accordance with that process during or in respect of that period.

Commentary

The board performs a pivotal role in the governance framework of a listed entity. It is essential that the board has in place a proper process for regularly reviewing, preferably annually, the performance of the board, its committees and individual directors. Particular attention should be paid to addressing issues that may emerge from that review, such as the currency of a director's knowledge and skills or if a director's performance has been impacted by other commitments.

The board should consider periodically using external facilitators to conduct its performance reviews.

A suitable non-executive director (such as the deputy chair or the senior independent director, if the entity has one) should be responsible for the performance evaluation of the chair, after having canvassed the views of the other directors.

Recommendation 1.71.6

A listed entity should:

- (a) have and disclose a process for evaluating the performance of its senior executives at least once every reporting period; and
- (b) disclose for each reporting period whether a performance evaluation has been undertaken in accordance with that process during or in respect of that period.

Commentary

The performance of a listed entity's senior executives will usually drive the performance of the entity. It is essential that a listed entity has in place a proper process for regularly reviewing the performance of its senior executives and addressing any issues that may emerge from that review.

<u>Performance extends to financial and non-financial performance, including whether an individual has acted</u> <u>in accordance with the entity's values and code of conduct and otherwise demonstrated and promoted the</u> <u>entity's desired culture. This should be reflected in remuneration outcomes.²²</u>

22 See Principle 8.

Principle 2: Structure the board to be effective and add value

The board of a listed entity should <u>be of an appropriatoregularly review its</u> size and <u>collectively have thoits directors'</u> skills, commitment and knowledge of the entity and the industry in which it operates, <u>to enableso that</u> it <u>tomay</u> discharge its duties effectively and <u>to</u>add value.

Recom	mendatio	on 2.1		
The bo	ard of a l	isted entity should:		
(a) have a nomination committee which:		nomination committee which:		
	(1)	has at least three members, a majority of whom are independent directors; and		
	(2)	is chaired by an independent director,		
	and disclose:			
	(3)	the charter of the committee;		
	(4)	the members of the committee; and		
	(5)	as at the end of each reporting period, the number of times the committee met throughout the period and the individual attendances of the members at those meetings; or		
(b)	if it does not have a nomination committee, disclose that fact and the processes it employs to address board succession issues and to ensure that the board has the appropriate balance of skills, knowledge, experience, independence and diversity to enable it to discharge its duties and responsibilities effectively.			
Recom	mendatio	on 2.2		
A listed	l entity s	hould <u>:</u>		
<u>(a)</u>		d disclose a board skills matrix setting out the mix of skills that the board currently has looking to achieve in its membership <u>; and</u>		
<u>(b)</u>	disclose director	<u>its process for how it assesses that the relevant skills and experience are held by its</u> <u>s</u> .		
Recom	mendatio	on 2.3		
<u>The bo</u>	ard of a l	isted entity should:		
<u>(a)</u>		<u>d disclose a measurable objective and timeframe for achieving gender diversity in the ition of its board:</u>		
<u>(b)</u>	disclose	the entity's progress in achieving the measurable objective in the reporting period; and		
<u>(c)</u>		onsidering any other relevant diversity characteristics for its board membership, disclose iversity characteristics.		
		s in the S&P/ASX 300 Index at the commencement of the reporting period, the		
measu	rable obi	ective for achieving gender diversity in the composition of its board should be to have a		

<u>24/86</u>

<mark>gender balanced board (at least 40% wome</mark> r	<mark>/at least 40% men/up to 20% any gender).</mark>
---	---

Recommendation 2.4

A listed entity should disclose:

- (a) the names of the directors considered by the board to be independent directors;
- (b) if a director has an interest, position or relationship of the type described in Box 2.32.4 but the board is of the opinion that it does not compromise the independence of the director, the nature of the interest, position or relationship in question and an explanation of why the board is of that opinion; and
- (c) the length of service of each director.

Recommendation 2.42.5

A majority of the board of a listed entity should be independent directors.

Recommendation 2.52.6

The chair of the board of a listed entity should be an independent director and, in particular, should not be the same person as the CEO of the entity.

Recommendation 2.62.7

A listed entity should have a program for inducting new directors and for periodically reviewing whether there is a need for existing directors to undertake professional development to maintain the skills and knowledge needed to perform their role as directors effectively.

Recommendation 2.1

The board of a listed entity should:

- (a) have a nomination committee which:
 - (1) has at least three members,²⁴ a majority of whom are independent directors; and
 - (2) is chaired by an independent director,

and disclose:

- (3) the charter of the committee;
- (4) the members of the committee; and
- (5) as at the end of each reporting period, the number of times the committee met throughout the period and the individual attendances of the members at those meetings; or
- (b) if it does not have a nomination committee, disclose that fact and the processes it employs to address board succession issues and to ensure that the board has the appropriate balance of skills,

The Council recognises that a number of listed entities have nomination committees comprising the entire board. Provided the nomination committee otherwise has an appropriate charter and meets as a committee outside of normal board meetings, this practice complies with recommendation 2.1(a).

<u>Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations</u> <u>Consultation Draft</u> #10645837v57.

knowledge, experience, independence and diversity to enable it to discharge its duties and responsibilities effectively.

Commentary

A high performing, effective board is essential for the proper governance of a listed entity. The board needs to have an appropriate number of independent non-executive directors who can challenge management and hold them to account, and also represent the best interests of the listed entity and its security holders as a whole rather than those of individual security holders or interest groups.

The board needs to be of sufficient size so that the requirements of the business can be met and changes to the composition of the board and its committees can be managed without undue disruption. However, it should not be so large as to be unwieldy.

Board renewal is also critical to performance.

To facilitate the effective functioning of the board and to promote investor confidence, there should be a formal, rigorous and transparent process for the appointment and reappointment of directors to the board.

Having a separate nomination committee can be an efficient and effective mechanism to bring the transparency, focus and independent <u>judgementjudgment</u> needed on decisions regarding the composition of the board.

The role of the nomination committee is usually to review and make recommendations to the board in relation to:

- board succession planning generally;
- induction and continuing professional development programs for directors;
- the development and implementation of a process for evaluating the performance of the board, its committees and directors;
- the process for recruiting a new director, including evaluating the balance of skills, knowledge, experience, independence and diversity on the board and, in the light of this evaluation, preparing a description of the role and capabilities required for a particular appointment;
- the appointment and re-election of directors; and
- ensuring there are plans in place to manage the succession of the CEO and other senior executives.

The nomination committee should have a charter that clearly sets out its role and confers on it all necessary powers to perform that role. This will usually include the right to seek advice from external consultants or specialists where the committee considers that necessary or appropriate.

The nomination committee should be of sufficient size and independence to discharge its mandate effectively. Consideration should also be given to ensuring that it has an appropriate diversity of membership to avoid entrenching "groupthink" or other cognitive biases.

The chair of the board may chair the nomination committee, however, a separate chair should be appointed if and when the nomination committee is dealing with the appointment of a successor to the chair.

The boards of some listed entities may decide that they are able to deal efficiently and effectively with board composition and succession issues without establishing a separate nomination committee. If they do, the entity should disclose in its annual report or on its website the fact that it does not have a nomination committee and explain the processes it employs to address board succession issues and to ensure that the

board has the appropriate balance of skills, knowledge, experience, independence and diversity to enable it to discharge its duties and responsibilities effectivelymatters set out in paragraph (b) of Recommendation 2.1.

The board or the nomination committee should regularly review the time required from a non-executive director and whether directors are meeting that requirement.

A non-executive director should inform the chair of the board and the chair of the nomination committee before accepting any new appointment as a director of another listed entity, any other material directorship or any other position with a significant time commitment attached.

Recommendation 2.2

A listed entity should :

- (a) have and disclose a board skills matrix setting out the mix of skills that the board currently has erand is looking to achieve in its membership<u>: and</u>
- (b) disclose its process for how it assesses that the relevant skills and experience are held by its <u>directors</u>.

Commentary

<u>Directors require a broad range of attributes, such as judgment, commitment and an ability to work</u> <u>constructively with others.</u> A board "skills matrix" is a<u>an effective</u> tool that can help the board <u>determine</u> <u>the technical skills and experience it requires, in addition to those broader desirable attributes required to</u> <u>adequately oversee the affairs of the entity.</u>

<u>The board skills matrix can</u> identify any gaps in <u>itethe board's</u> collective skills that should be addressed by providing professional development to existing directors²⁶, or taking on new directors. It can also assist the board in as part of its <u>board</u> succession planning.

Disclosing the board skills matrix gives useful information to investors and helps to increase<u>Its disclosure</u> <u>contributes to</u> the accountability of the board in ensuring it has the skills to discharge its obligations effectively and to add value.

The board should regularly review its skills matrix to make sure it covers the skills needed to address existing and emerging business and governance issues relevant to the entity, at board and committee levels, $\frac{23}{2}$

There is no prescribed format for a board skills matrix.³⁷²⁴ It can set out either the mix of skills <u>Skills may be</u> reported collectively across the board as a whole. The entity should also disclose the process used to assess that <u>a director holds</u> the board currently has or the mix ofrelevant skills and experience.²⁵

The matrix should clearly distinguish skills that the board is looking to achieve in its membership or both.

If an entity chooses to do the former, this need only be done collectively across the board as a whole,

²⁵ Also see Recommendation 1.2(a).

³⁶-See recommendation 2.6 below

 ²³ Sometimes an external adviser is used to supplement the skills of the entity's directors. (These arrangements are different to assurance engagements, that act as independent checks in respect of relevant matters: for example, see Recommendation 4.2.)
 ³⁷ Guidance on what should be included in a board skills matrix can be found in the ²⁴ For example, see Governance instituteInstitute of Australia's Good Governance Guide Creating and disclosing a board skills matrix, available online at:

www. Good Governance Guide Creating and disclosing a board skills matrix.governanceinstitute.com.au/boardskillsmatrix. [NB: This publication is being updated by GIA.]

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations Consultation Draft #10645837v57.

without identifying the presence or absence of particular skills by a particular director(if any) from the skills of existing directors.

Commercially sensitive information<u>can be excluded</u>, such as the fact that the board may be looking to acquire a particular skill as part of an as-yet unannounced and incomplete plan to move into a different field of activity, can be excluded.

Whichever format it follows, it would be helpful to investors for the entityBetter practice is to include information on the skills of individual directors, and to explain the entity's assessment methodology: what it means when it refers to a particular skill in its board skills matrix-and, as well as the criteria a director must meet to be considered to have that skill.

Recommendation 2.3

The board of a listed entity should:

- (a) have and disclose a measurable objective and timeframe for achieving gender diversity in the composition of its board;
- (b) disclose the entity's progress in achieving the measurable objective in the reporting period; and
- (c) if it is considering any other relevant diversity characteristics for its board membership, disclose those diversity characteristics.

<u>If the entity was in the S&P/ASX 300 Index at the commencement of the reporting period, the measurable</u> <u>objective for achieving gender diversity in the composition of its board should be to have a gender</u> <u>balanced board (at least 40% women/at least 40% men/up to 20% any gender).</u>

Commentary

An entity's board benefits from a diversity of thinking and perspectives, in addition to skills such as knowledge of the sector in which the listed entity operates. In particular, having directors of different ages, race, backgrounds and personal circumstances can help bring different perspectives and experiences to bear and avoid "groupthink" or other cognitive biases in decision-making.

<u>Gender diversity should be included as a relevant consideration in a board's succession planning. The</u> <u>measurable objective the board or a board committee sets for board gender diversity should include a</u> <u>minimum percentage of female directors.²⁶</u>

Different entities will have different diversity priorities for their boards. Disclosures for the purposes of paragraph (c) of this Recommendation should refer to relevant diversity characteristics which may be considered,²⁷ rather than referencing general diversity characteristics sought across the entity's workforce under its diversity and inclusion policy. Disclosure of these priorities can assist security holders' understanding of how a board is seeking to develop its range of perspectives.

Individual appointment decisions will necessarily involve consideration of skills and experience sought, as well as the availability of suitable candidates.

<u>Diversity characteristics present within a board may also model diversity for the organisation. Diversity and inclusion is discussed further at Recommendation 3.4. An entity may combine its disclosures under this Recommendation with disclosures under Recommendation 3.4.</u>

 ²⁶ Entities should consider laws relating to anti-discrimination, including special measures provisions and exemptions.
 ²⁷ See Recommendation 3.4 for more information on diversity.

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations Consultation Draft #10645837v57

Recommendation 2.4

A listed entity should disclose:

- (a) the names of the directors considered by the board to be independent directors;
- (b) if a director has an interest, position or relationship of the type described in Box 2.32.4 but the board is of the opinion that it does not compromise the independence of the director, the nature of the interest, position or relationship in question and an explanation of why the board is of that opinion; and
- (c) the length of service of each director.

Commentary

To describe a director as "independent" carries with it a particular connotation that the director is not aligned with the interests of management or a substantial (for example) a significant security holder, and can and will bring an-independent <u>judgement judgment</u> to bear on issues before the board.

It is an appellation that gives great comfort to security holders and not one that should be applied lightly.

A director of a listed entity should only be characterised and described as an independent director if he or she is they are free of any interest, position or relationship that might influence, or reasonably be perceived to influence, in a material respect their capacity to bring an-independent judgement judgement to bear on issues before the board and to act in the best interests of the entity as a whole rather than in the interests of an individual security holder or other party.

Examples of interests, positions and relationships<u>Some factors</u> that might raise issues about the independence of a director are set out in Box 2.32.4. Where a director falls within one or more of these examples, the board should rule the director not to be independent unless it is clear that the interest, position or relationship in question is not material and will not interfere with (A board may also consider that other factors could impact the perception of a director's capacity to bring an independent judgement to bear on issues before the board and to act in the best interests of the entity as a whole rather than in the interests of an individual security holder or other partyindependence.)

Box 2.32.4 – Factors relevant to assessing the independence of a director

Examples of <u>Some</u> interests, positions and relationships that might raise issues about the independence of a director of an entity include if the director:

- is, or has been, employed in an executive capacity by the entity or any of its child entities, and there has not been a period of at least three years between ceasing such employment and serving on the board;
- receives <u>or holds</u> performance-based remuneration (including options or performance rights) from, or participates in an employee incentive scheme of, the entity;
- is, or has been within the last three years, in a material business relationship (ege.g. as a supplier, professional adviser, consultant or customer) with the entity or any of its child entities, or is an officer of, or otherwise associated with, someone with such a relationship;
- is, represents, or is or has been within the last three years an officer or employee of, or <u>material</u> professional adviser to, a <u>substantial10%</u> holder;
- has close personal ties with any person who falls within any of the categories described above; or
- has been a director of the entity for such a period that their independence from management and substantial<u>10%</u> holders may have been compromised.

In each case, the materiality of the interest, position or relationship needs to be assessed by the board to determine whether it might interfere, or might reasonably be seen to interfere, with the director's capacity to bring an independent judgement in the bear on issues before the board and to act in the best interests of the entity as a whole rather than in the interests of an individual security holder or other party.

A candidate for election as a director of a listed entity should disclose to the entity all interests, positions and relationships that may bear on their independence. Those matters in turn should be disclosed to security holders in the materials given to security holders in support of their election, together with whether the board considers that the director is independent.²⁸

If there is a change in a non-executive director's interests, positions or relationships that could bear upon their independence, the non-executive director should inform the board or the nomination committee at the earliest opportunity.

The board or the nomination committee should regularly assess the independence of each non-executive director. That assessment should be made at least annually at or around the time that the board or the nomination committee considers candidates for election or re-election to the board. In the case of a change in a non-executive director's interests, positions or relationships, the assessment should be made as soon as practicable after the board or the nomination committee becomes aware of the change.

If the board determines that a director's status as an independent director has changed, that determination should be disclosed and explained in a timely manner to the market.

In relation to the fourth example in Box 2.32.4 (is, represents, or is <u>or has been</u> an officer or employee of, or <u>material</u> professional adviser to, a <u>substantial10%</u> holder), the holding of securities in the entity may help to align the interests of a director with those of other security holders, and such holdings are therefore not

²⁸ The board should also make a statement on independence if a director is to be re-elected: see Recommendation 1.2.

discouraged. The example simply reflects and addresses a<u>the</u> perception <u>of the materiality of a holding of</u> that<u>-size.</u>

- a director who is a substantial holder in the entity is likely to have such a proportion of their personal wealth tied up in that holding that they have a qualitatively different interest to security holders generally; while
- a director who represents, or is or has been within the last three years an officer or employee of, or
 professional advisor to, a substantial holder is likely to have a bias towards the individual interests of
 that substantial holder rather than the interests of security holders generally.

In relation to the fifth example in Box 2-32.4 (close personal ties with someone who is not independent), these ties may be based on family, friendship or other social or business connections.

In relation to the last example in Box 2.32.4 (length of service as a director), the Council recognises that the interests of a listed entity and its security holders are likely to be well served by having a mix of directors, some with a longer tenure with a deep understanding of the entity and its business and some with a shorter tenure with fresh ideas and perspective. It also recognises that the chair of the board will frequently fall into the former category rather than the latter.

The-mere fact that a director has served on a board for a substantial period does not mean that the director has become too close to management or <u>a substantial(for example) a significant security</u> holder to be considered independent. However, the board should regularly assess whether that might be the case for any director, <u>particularly one</u> who has served in that position for more than 10 years.

Recommendation 2.42.5

A majority of the board of a listed entity should be independent directors.

Commentary

Investors expect, and the law requires,²⁸²⁹ the directors of a listed entity to act in the best interests of the entity as a whole rather than in the interests of an individual security holder or other party.

Having a majority of independent directors makes it harder for any individual or small group of individuals to dominate the board's decision-making and maximises the likelihood that the decisions of the board will reflect the best interests of the entity as a whole and not be biased towards the interests of management or any other person or group with whom a non-independent director may be associated.

Non-executive directors should consider the benefits of conferring periodically as a group without senior executives present.

Recommendation 2.52.6

The chair of the board of a listed entity should be an independent director and, in particular, should not be the same person as the CEO of the entity.

Commentary

Having an independent chair can contribute to a culture of openness and constructive challenge that allows for a diversity of views to be considered by the board.

²⁸29 See sections 180 and 181 (in the case of a listed company) and 601FD(1)(b) and (c) (in the case of a listed trust) of the Corporations Act.

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations Consultation Draft #10645837v57

Good governance demands<u>requires</u> an appropriate separation between those charged with managing a listed entity and those responsible for overseeing its managers. Having the role of chair and CEO exercised by the same individual is unlikely to be conducive to the board effectively performing its role of challenging management and holding them to account.

If the chair is not an independent director, a listed entity should consider the appointment of an independent director as the deputy chair or as the "senior independent director", who can fulfil the role whenever the chair is conflicted. Even where the chair is an independent director, having a deputy chair or senior independent director can also assist the board in reviewing the performance of the chair and in providing a separate channel of communication for security holders (especially where those communications concern the chair).

The role of chair is demanding, requiring a significant time commitment. The chair's other positions should not be such that they are likely to hinder effective performance of the role.

Recommendation 2.62.7

A listed entity should have a program for inducting new directors and for periodically reviewing whether there is a need for existing directors to undertake professional development to maintain the skills and knowledge needed to perform their role as directors effectively.

Commentary

All new directors should be offered induction training, tailored to their existing skills, knowledge and experience, to position them to discharge their responsibilities effectively and to add value. This could include, for example, having interviews with key senior executives to gain an understanding of the entity's structure, business operations, history, culture and key risks, and conducting site visits of key operations.

If a director is not familiar with the legal <u>frameworkor accounting frameworks</u> that <u>governsapply to</u> the entity, the entity's induction program should include training on <u>their legal duties and responsibilities as a</u> <u>director under</u> the key legislation <u>and standards</u> governing the entity, and the <u>listing rulesListing Rules</u> (including ASX's continuous and periodic reporting requirements). <u>This includes directors' duties and responsibilities.</u>

If a director does not have accounting skills or knowledge, the The entity's induction program should also include training on familiarity with the key accounting matters and on the responsibilities of directors in relation to the entity's issues for the entity. While a diverse range of skills on a board means that not every director on a board will have the same level of accounting skills, it is the duty of every director of an entity to read and understand the financial statements of the entity carefully and to consider whether what they disclose is consistent with the director's own knowledge of the entity's affairs.³⁹³⁰

The board or the nomination committee of a listed entity should regularly assess whether the directors as a group have the skills, knowledge and experience to deal with new and emerging business and governance issues, including material risks and opportunities relevant to the entity. Professional development for

²⁹ In ASIC v Healey & Ors [2011] FCA 717 (available online at: http://www.austlii.edu.au/au/cases/cth/FCA/2011/717.html), the Federal Court held that it is the duty of every director of an entity subject to section 344 of the Corporations Act (which includes public companies, registered managed investment schemes and disclosing entities) to read the financial statements of the entity carefully and to consider whether what they disclose is consistent with the director's own knowledge of the entity's affairs. It is important that a listed entity's beard have a diverse range of skills and experience and this necessarily means that not all directors will have the same level of accounting skills and experience. Nevertheless, it is in the interests of a listed entity and its security holders (and also in the personal interests of the director concerned) that each director of the entity has an appropriate base level of understanding of accounting matters.³⁰ See ASIC v Healey & Ors [2011] FCA 717.

directors should be considered where gaps are identified and they are not expected to be addressed in the short term by new appointments.

The board or the nomination committee should also ensure that directors receive briefings on material developments in laws, regulations and accounting standards relevant to the entity.

Principle 3: Instil a culture of acting lawfully, ethically and responsibly

A listed entity should instil and continually reinforce a culture⁴⁰-across the organisation of acting lawfully, ethically and responsibly<u>, within the organisation and in its dealings with</u> external stakeholders, to create long-term sustainable value.

Recomm	nendation 3.1
A listed	entity should articulate and disclose its values.
Recomm	nendation 3.2
	entity should <u>instil and continually reinforce a culture across the organisation of acting lawfully,</u> and responsibly, including by:
	have<u>having</u> and <mark>disclose_<u>disclosing</u> a code of conduct for its directors, senior executives and</mark> employees; and
	onsuro<u>ensuring</u> that the board or a <u>board</u>committee of the board is informed of any material breaches of that<u>the</u> code<u>of conduct; and</u>
	disclosing (on a de-identified basis) the outcomes during the last reporting period of actions taken by the entity in response to material breaches of the code of conduct.
Recomm	nendation 3.3
A listed	entity should have
A listed	entity should have regard to the interests of the entity's key stakeholders, including having
process	es for the entity to engage with them and to report material issues to the board.*
(a) have	a-and- disclose -a whistleblower policy; and
(b)	ensure that the board or a committee of the board is informed of any material incidents
	reported under that policy.
Recomn	nendation 3.4
<u>A listed</u>	entity should:
<u>(a)</u>	have and disclose a diversity and inclusion policy;
	<u>through its board or a board committee set measurable objectives for achieving gender</u> diversity in the composition of its workforce (including in its senior executive team); and
	<u>disclose in relation to each reporting period the effectiveness of its diversity and inclusion</u> <u>practices, including:</u>
	(1) the measurable objectives set for that period to achieve gender diversity:

⁴⁹ Listed entities may find the guidance in *Managing Culture: A good practice guide,* First edition 2017 helpful. This is a joint publication of the Institute of Internal Auditors – Australia, The Ethics Centre, the Governance Institute of Australia and Chartered Accountants Australia and New Zealand.

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations Consultation Draft #10645837v57

	<u>(2)</u>	the entit	y's progress towards achieving those objectives; and
	<u>(3)</u>	either:	
		<u>(A)</u>	the respective proportions (by gender) of members of the board, in senior
			executive positions and across the whole workforce (including how the
			entity has defined "senior executive" for these purposes); or
		<u>(B)</u>	<u>if the entity is a "relevant employer" under the Workplace Gender Equality</u>
			Act, the entity's most recent "Gender Equality Indicators", as defined in and
			published under that Act.
A listed entity should:			
(a) have and disclose an anti-bribery and c orrupt ion policy; and			
(b) ensure that the board or a committee of the board is informed of any material breaches of that policy.			

Recommendation 3.1

A listed entity should articulate and disclose its values.

Commentary

A listed entity's values are the guiding principles and norms that define what type of organisation it aspires to be, and what it requires from its directors, senior executives and employees to achieve that aspiration. Values create a link between the entity's purpose (why it exists) and its strategic goals (what it hopes to do) by expressing the standards and behaviours it expects from its directors, senior executives and employees to fulfil its purpose and meet its goals (how it will do it).

Investors and the broader community expect a listed entity to act lawfully, ethically and responsibly and that expectation should be reflected in its statement of values.

In formulating its values, a listed entity should consider what the behaviours are needed from its officers and employees to buildcreate long _term sustainable value for its security holders. This includes the need for the entity to act lawfully, ethically and responsibly, in order to preserve and protect its the entity's reputation and standing in the community and with its key stakeholders, such as customers, employees, suppliers, creditors, law makers and regulators.⁴¹.³¹

Values should be aligned with the entity's purpose (if articulated), strategic objectives and risk appetite.

And, lest there be any doubt, it also entails obeying the law. But to preserve and enhance a eputation of the enterprise reputation ... the enterprise must do more than not break the law. It must seek to do 'the right thing'." ³¹ See Recommendation 3.2.

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations ultation Draft #10645837v57

As a result, a listed entity should also consider articulating its purpose.³² Purpose is usually broader than a business model, and may evolve over time. It can assist an entity to define its time horizons when developing strategy. Where purpose also reflects responsible business conduct relevant to the entity's activities, this may more effectively drive ethical, lawful and responsible behaviour.³³

The board should approve an entity's statement of values-and, and model behaviour and decision-making consistent with those values.

<u>The board should</u> charge the senior executive team with the responsibility of inculcating those values across the organisation. This includes ensuring that all employees receive appropriate training on the values and senior executives continually referencing and reinforcing those values in their interactions with staff-(ie setting the "tone at the top").

Recommendation 3.2

A listed entity should instil and continually reinforce a culture across the organisation of acting lawfully, ethically and responsibly, including by:

- (a) <u>havehaving</u> and <u>disclose⁴² disclosing</u> a code of conduct for its directors, senior executives and employees; and
- (b) <u>ensureensuring</u> that the board or a <u>board</u> committee of the board is informed of any material breaches of <u>that</u> the code <u>of conduct</u>; <u>and</u>
- (c) disclosing (on a de-identified basis) the outcomes during the last reporting period of actions taken by the entity in response to material breaches of the code of conduct.

Commentary

It is the role of the board to oversee an appropriate corporate culture, aligned with the entity's values, strategic objectives and risk appetite.³⁴

Indicators of a healthy organisational culture include:

- <u>a "speak-up culture"</u>, which promotes listening to staff and respectful treatment of internal and third party whistleblowers;
- <u>appropriate escalation of issues from management to the board, or a board committee, including</u> information on patterns of behaviour which may be material when taken as a whole;
- <u>a culture which recognises and has regard to the interests of internal and external stakeholders, to</u> create long-term sustainable value; and
- <u>a risk culture which supports early reporting and timely remediation of risk, with learning outcomes</u>

³² For example, the entity's purpose may be approved by the board, or may be determined by its constitution.

³³ For example, see OECD, OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises on Responsible Business Conduct, OECD Publishing, Paris (2023). Also see Recommendation 3.2 commentary regarding responsible business conduct.

⁴⁴ An entity may redact from the disclosed copy of its code of conduct personal or confidential information such as the names and contact details of individual staff involved in conduct issues.

³⁴ See Australian Council of Superannuation Investors and Australian Institute of Company Directors, *Governing company culture:* <u>Insights from Australian directors</u> (December 2020). See also Institute of Internal Auditors – Australia, The Ethics Centre, the <u>Governance Institute of Australia and Chartered Accountants Australia and New Zealand</u>, <u>Managing Culture: A good practice guide</u> (December 2017). [NB: Update proposed in 2024.]

from those processes.

For example, material breaches of the code of conduct, whistleblowing incidents and breaches of the entity's anti-bribery and corruption policy should be promptly reported to the board, or a board committee.³⁵ Where reporting is to a board committee, there should be escalation of issues to the board, where appropriate.

The entity's code of conduct should support the lawful, ethical and responsible operations of the entity, in the best interests of the entity (including having regard to the interests of the entity's key stakeholders).

A listed entity should articulate code of conduct articulates the standards of behaviour expected of its directors, senior executives and employees in a code of conduct.

The board or a committee of the board should be informed of any material breaches of the entity's code of conduct, as they may be indicative of issues with the culture of the organisation <u>A listed entity may find the suggestions in Box 3.2 helpful in formulating its code</u>.

For a code of conduct to be effective, all:

- <u>All</u> employees must receive appropriate training on their obligations under the code.
- Directors and senior executives must speak and act consistently with the code (again, setting the "tone at the top") and reinforce it by taking appropriate and proportionate disciplinary action against those who breach it.
- It should be supported by the entity's other cultural processes, such as training in policies relevant to the code of conduct and the availability on its internal and external websites of policies that support the code (such as its whistleblower policy).
- <u>The board or a board committee should be promptly informed of material breaches of the code of conduct, ³⁶ and should ensure appropriate action is taken with respect to such breaches.</u>

<u>Appropriate and proportionate disciplinary action reinforces the code's significance. Other associated</u> <u>outcomes from breaches of the code may include, for example, changes to training, policies, risk</u> <u>management processes or stakeholder engagement efforts. Disclosures of outcomes for the purposes of</u> <u>paragraph (c) of this Recommendation are intended to promote a culture of transparency and remediation.</u>

A listed entity may find the suggestions in Box 3.2 helpful in formulating its code of conduct.

<u>Disclosures of outcomes should generally be on a de-identified basis.</u> An entity may exclude disclosure to the extent they relate to actions which are not finalised (for example, actions which are under investigation or in dispute), or matters which cannot be appropriately de-identified (including under privacy laws).</u>

<u>Entities may also wish to consider published guidelines when considering what constitutes responsible</u> <u>business conduct. This relates to, for example, human rights, rights of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander</u> <u>peoples</u>,³⁷ combating bribery and corruption, technology, competition and taxation matters.³⁸

³⁵ Information may be subject to whistleblowing laws.

³⁶ Information may be subject to whistleblowing laws.

³⁷ See Global Compact Network Australia, KPMG Australia and the University of Technology Sydney, *The Australian Business Guide to* Implementing the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (November 2020).

³⁸ For example, see OECD, OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises on Responsible Business Conduct, OECD Publishing, Paris (2023), and United Nations, Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights, HR/PUB/11/04 (2011).

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations Consultation Draft #10645837v57

Box 3.2: Suggestions for the content of a code of conduct			
•	Express or cross-reference the organisation's <u>purpose (if articulated) and</u> values.		
•	State the organisation's expectation that all directors, senior executives and employees will:		
	o eact in accordance with the entity's stated values and;		
	 <u>act</u> in the best interests of the entity, including having regard to the interests of the entity's key stakeholders; 		
	 e-act honestly and with high standards of personal integrity; 		
	 comply with all laws and regulations that apply to the entity and its operations; 		
	 e-act ethically and responsibly; 		
	<u>o</u> <u>deal with customers and suppliers fairly;</u>		
	<u>o</u> <u>contribute to an equitable and inclusive workplace culture that promotes and respects</u>		
	gender equality and other diversity;		
	e-treat fellow staff members with respect and not engage in bullying, sexual or other		
	harassment- or , discrimination ; , <u>bullying or victimisation (including of whistleblowers)</u>		
 deal with customers and suppliers fairly; 			
	e-disclose and deal appropriately with any conflicts between their personal interests and		
	their duties as a director, senior executive or employee;		
	e-not take advantage of the property or information of the entity or its customers for		
	personal gain or to cause detriment to the entity or its customers;		
	•-not take advantage of their position or the opportunities arising therefrom for personal		
	gain; and		
	o e-report <u>any</u> breaches of the code, <u>misconduct</u> , <u>or improper state of affairs or</u>		
	<u>circumstances in relation to the entity. Reporting should be</u> to the appropriate person or		
	body within the organisation <u>or, where relevant, in accordance with the entity's</u>		
	whistleblower policy.		
• State that the code will be periodically reviewed to check that it is operating effectively and			
	whether any changes are required to the code.		
	nendation 2.3		

Recommendation 3.3

A listed entity should: have regard to the interests of the entity's key stakeholders, including having processes for the entity to engage with them and to report material issues to the board.

(a) have and disclose⁴³ a whistleblower policy; and

(b) ensure that the board or a committee of the board is informed of any material incidents reported under that policy.

⁴³ An entity may redact from the disclosed copy of its whistleblower policy personal or confidential information such as the names and contact details of individual staff involved in the whistleblower process.

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations Consultation Draft #10645837v57

Commentary

It is in the best interests of an entity to have regard to its impact and interaction with its key stakeholders, as appropriate, to support creation of long-term sustainable value for security holders.³⁹

<u>Stakeholders may include security holders, employees, customers, suppliers, Aboriginal and Torres Strait</u> <u>Islander peoples, local community, law makers and regulators.</u> <u>Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander</u> <u>stakeholders might be business partners, land owners, host communities, employees, customers, or have</u> <u>other relationships with the listed entity.</u> <u>Stakeholders may also include organisations which represent the</u> <u>interests of stakeholders, such as unions, environmental groups, or consumer groups.</u>

<u>Security holders are the subject of Principle 6 and are not intended to be the subject of this</u> <u>Recommendation. However, the entity's consideration of the interests of other stakeholders should be</u> <u>consistent with the long-term interests of security holders.</u>

An understanding of stakeholder perspectives can inform decision-making throughout the organisation. For example, this could include decision-making in relation to customer policies, governance of data and technology,⁴⁰ designing remuneration structures which drive appropriate behaviour, or the entity's political lobbying and donation activities.

<u>The listed entity should identify and regularly review the entity's key stakeholders, having regard to the</u> <u>entity's purpose (if articulated), values, strategic objectives and risk appetite. ⁴¹ It should consider a form of</u> <u>stakeholder engagement program appropriate for the entity and its circumstances.</u>

The board's activities may include, for example:

- requiring information on serious or systemic workplace incidents or customer complaints;
- <u>approving and monitoring a Reconciliation Action Plan; ⁴² and</u>
- overseeing due diligence on the entity's stakeholder relationships, including human rights impacts. 43

Where information is provided to a board committee, there should be escalation procedures to ensure that important information and trends are communicated to the board.

In most cases, the best source of information about whether a listed entity is living up to its values are its employees. They should be encouraged to speak up about any unlawful, unethical or irresponsible behaviour within the organisation through an appropriate whistleblower policy.

The board or a committee of the board should be informed of material incidents reported under the entity's whistleblower policy, as they may be indicative of issues with the culture of the organisation.

A listed entity may find the suggestions in Box 3.3 helpful in formulating its whistleblower policy.

39/86

³⁹ For example, see Australian Institute of Company Directors, *Directors' "best interests" duty in practice* (July 2022) and Bret Walker SC and Gerald Ng, *The content of directors' "best interest" duty: Memorandum of advice* (22 February 2022).

⁴⁰ For example, see the Department of Industry, Science and Resources Australia's AI Ethics Principles and Governance Institute of Australia, Good Governance Guide – Ethical Artificial Intelligence (2023).

⁴¹ For example, see Australian Institute of Company Directors, *Elevating stakeholder voices to the board: A guide to effective* governance (April 2021).

⁴² See Reconciliation Australia for information on Reconciliation Action Plans: < https://www.reconciliation.org.au/ >.

⁴³ For example, under the Modern Slavery Act 2018 (Cth) or otherwise based upon structures in United Nations, Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights. See footnote 38, above.

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations Consultation Draft #10645837v57

Box 3.3: Suggestions for the content of a whistleblower policy
Link the policy to the organisation's statement of values.
 Clearly identify the types of concerns that may be reported under the policy and how and to whom reports may be made (including to senior executives and the board).
 Explain how the confidentiality of the whistleblower's identity is safeguarded and the whistleblower is protected from retaliation or victimisation.
Outline the processes to follow up and investigate reports made under the policy.
 Provide for the training of employees about the whistleblower policy and their rights and obligations under it.
 Provide for the training of managers and others who may receive whistleblower reports about how to respond to them.
 State that the policy will be periodically reviewed to check that it is operating effectively and whether any changes are required to the policy.

Recommendation 3.4

A listed entity should:

- (a) have and disclose an anti-bribery and corruption policy; and
- (b) ensure that the board or a committee of the board is informed of any material breaches of that policy.

A listed entity should:

- (a) have and disclose a diversity and inclusion policy:
- (b) <u>through its board or a board committee set measurable objectives for achieving gender diversity</u> in the composition of its workforce (including in its senior executive team); and
- (c) disclose in relation to each reporting period the effectiveness of its diversity and inclusion practices, including:
 - (1) the measurable objectives set for that period to achieve gender diversity:
 - (2) the entity's progress towards achieving those objectives; and
 - (3) either:
 - (A) the respective proportions (by gender) of members of the board, in senior executive positions and across the whole workforce (including how the entity has defined "senior executive" for these purposes); or
 - (B) if the entity is a "relevant employer" under the Workplace Gender Equality Act, the entity's most recent "Gender Equality Indicators", as defined in and published under that Act.

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations Consultation Draft #10645837v57

Commentary

In this Recommendation, a diversity and inclusion policy refers to one or more policies designed to foster a diversity of backgrounds⁴⁴ in a listed entity's board and broader workforce, equity (fairness) in treatment regardless of background, and an inclusive environment in which those of different backgrounds can participate and contribute to the organisation.

<u>A listed entity may find the suggestions in Box 3.4 helpful when formulating its diversity and inclusion policy.</u> <u>The Australian Human Rights Commission also provides resources for employers to support diversity,</u> <u>including workplace strategies and managing data collection.⁴⁵</u>

The commentary for this Recommendation discusses gender diversity in some detail. However, this should not detract from the importance of fostering other forms of diversity within an organisation. A listed entity should endeavour to understand the demographics within its workforce (and collect data in a respectful way, in accordance with laws). It should consider the appropriateness of setting, and disclosing, measurable objectives for other diversity characteristics, within the whole or any part of its workforce.⁴⁶

A listed entity should consider the outcomes it can report in order to communicate the effectiveness of its diversity and inclusion practices. For example, this may include information on the prevalence of, and measures taken to address, sex-based harassment and discrimination.

<u>Any diversity objectives the board or a board committee sets should include appropriate and meaningful</u> <u>objectives that are able to be, and are, monitored and measured, and (where appropriate) benchmarked</u> <u>against other relevant organisations.⁴⁷ For gender diversity, these could involve, for example:</u>

- <u>achieving specific numerical targets for the proportion of women on its board,⁴⁸ in senior executive</u> roles, its key operational roles and in its workforce generally within a specified timeframe; or
- achieving specific targets for the "Gender Equality Indicators" in the Workplace Gender Equality Act.

<u>The board or committee may wish to consider setting key performance indicators for senior executives on</u> <u>gender participation within their areas of responsibility and linking part of their remuneration (either directly</u> <u>or as part of a "balanced scorecard") to the achievement of those KPIs.</u>

<u>An entity may combine its disclosures under this Recommendation with disclosures under Recommendation</u> <u>2.3. Some further commentary on reporting gender diversity is set out below.</u>

41/8

⁴⁴ Including personal circumstances.

⁴⁵ See the Australian Human Rights Commission: < https://humanrights.gov.au/ >.

⁴⁶ Entities should consider laws relating to anti-discrimination, including special measures provisions and exemptions. For examples of entities reporting other measurable objectives, see KPMG, ASX Corporate Governance Council: Diversity - Analysis of diversity disclosures made by listed entities between 1 January 2021 and 31 December 2021 (2022).

⁴⁷ For example, see Workplace Gender Equality Agency, WGEA Data Explorer for benchmarking information: <</p>

https://www.wgea.gov.au/data-statistics/data-explorer >.

⁴⁸ See Recommendation 2.3 for board diversity disclosures.

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations Consultation Draft #10645837v57

Box 3.4: Suggestions for the content of a diversity and inclusion policy

- Link the policy to the organisation's statement of values.
- <u>Articulate the corporate benefits of diversity in a competitive labour market and the importance</u> of being able to attract, retain and motivate employees from the widest possible pool of available talent.
- Express the organisation's commitment to equity and inclusion at all levels of the organisation, regardless of marital or relationship status, family or caring responsibilities, sexual orientation, gender identity, inter-sex status, age, disabilities, race, religious beliefs, cultural background, socio-economic background, circumstances, perspective and experience.
- <u>Emphasise that in order to have an inclusive workplace, discrimination, harassment, vilification and victimisation cannot and will not be tolerated.</u>
- <u>Commit to ensuring that recruitment and selection practices at all levels (from the board</u> <u>downwards) are appropriately structured so that a diverse range of candidates are considered</u> <u>and guarding against any conscious or unconscious biases that might discriminate against certain</u> <u>candidates.</u>
- <u>Commit to designing and implementing programs that will assist in the development of a more diverse pool of skilled and experienced employees.</u>
- <u>Recognise that employees (regardless of gender) at all levels may have family or caring</u> responsibilities and adopt flexible work practices that will assist them to meet those responsibilities.
- <u>Provide opportunities for employees on extended parental leave to maintain their connection</u> with the entity, for example, by offering them the option (without any obligation) to receive allstaff communications and to attend work functions and training programs.
- <u>State that the policy will be periodically reviewed to check that it is operating effectively and</u> whether any changes are required to the policy.

<u>Reporting gender diversity</u>

A listed entity should tailor its gender diversity reporting to reflect its own circumstances.

<u>Those listed entities that do not report under the Workplace Gender Equality Act may wish to consider the</u> <u>Act's Gender Equality Indicators, how the indicators relate to their workplace practices, and what</u> <u>information can be made available to investors on the effectiveness of its diversity and inclusion practices.</u>

Listed entities should give an accurate and not misleading impression of the relative participation of genders in the workplace and the roles in which they are employed. For example:

- When reporting under Recommendation 3.4(c)(3)(A), listed entities should clearly define how they are using the term "senior executive". This could be done, for example, by reference to their relativity in terms of reporting hierarchy to the CEO (e.g., CEO 1, CEO 2 etc.⁴⁹) or by describing the roles that term covers (e.g., leadership, management or professional speciality).
- <u>The Workplace Gender Equality Act "Gender Equality Indicators" apply to individual employing</u>

⁴⁹ CEO-1 refers to the layer of senior executives reporting directly to the CEO, CEO-2 the next layer of management reporting to those senior executives, and so on.

42/86

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations Consultation Draft #10645837v57

entities. Data is not published on a consolidated basis across groups of entities, and data relates to Australian-based employees only. As a practical matter, therefore, it may well be that many entities are not able to report meaningfully under Recommendation 3.4(c)(3)(B) and should therefore report under Recommendation 3.4(c)(3)(A).

Giving bribes or other improper payments or benefits to public officials is a serious criminal offence and can damage a listed entity's reputation and standing in the community.

The board or a committee of the board should be informed of any material incidents of bribery or corruption, as they may be indicative of issues with the culture of the organisation.

A listed entity's anti-bribery and corruption policy can be a stand-alone policy or form part of its code of conduct.

A listed entity may find the suggestions in **Box 3.4** helpful-in-formulating its-anti-bribery-and-corruption policy

Box 3.	4: Suggestions for the content of an anti-bribery and corruption policy
•	Link the policy to the organisation's statement of values.
•	Acknowledge the serious criminal and civil penalties that may be incurred and the reputational damage that may be done if the organisation is involved in bribery or corruption.
•	Prohibit the giving of bribes or other improper payments or benefits to public officials;
•	Prohibit the payment of secret commissions to those acting in an agency or fiduciary capacity.
•	 Include appropriate controls around political donations and offering or accepting gifts, entertainment or hospitality.
•	Provide for the training of managers and employees likely to be exposed to bribery or corruption about how to recognise and deal with it.
•	Require breaches of the policy to be reported to the appropriate person or body within the organisation.
•	-State that the policy will be periodically reviewed to check that it is operating effectively and whether any changes are required to the policy.

Principle 4: Safeguard the integrity of corporate reports

A<u>The board of a</u> listed entity should <u>haveoversee</u> appropriate processes to verify the integrity of its <u>periodic</u> corporate reports.

Recommendation 4.1

The board of a listed entity should:

(a) have an audit committee which:

- (1) has at least three members, all of whom are non-executive directors and a majority of whom are independent directors; and
- (2) is chaired by an independent director, who is not the chair of the board,

and disclose:

- (3) the charter of the committee;
- (4) the relevant qualifications and experience of the members of the committee; and
- (5) in relation to each reporting period, the number of times the committee met throughout the period and the individual attendances of the members at those meetings; or
- (b) if it does not have an audit committee, disclose that fact and the processes it employs that independently verify and safeguard the integrity of its <u>periodic</u> corporate reporting, including the processes for the appointment and removal of the external auditor and the rotation of the audit ongagement partner.

Recommendation 4.2

The board of a listed entity should, before it approves the entity's financial statements for a financial period, receive from its CEO and CFO a declaration that, in their opinion, the financial records of the entity have been properly maintained and that the financial statements comply with the appropriate accounting standards and give a true and fair view of the financial position and performance of the entity and that the opinion has been formed on the basis of a sound system of risk management and internal control which is operating effectively.

Recommendation 4.3

A listed entity should disclose its process to verify the integrity of any periodic corporate report it releases to the market that is not, including the extent to which it has been audited, or reviewed by an otherwise the subject of assurance, by an external assurance practitioner.

Recommendation 4.3

A listed entity should disclose:

- (a) the tenure of the audit firm and audit engagement partner as at the end of the reporting period; and
- (b) when the appointment of the external auditor was last comprehensively reviewed, and the outcomes from that review.

<u>Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations</u> <u>Consultation Draft</u> #10645837v57.

Recommendation 4.1

The board of a listed entity should:

- (a) have an audit committee⁴⁵ which:
 - (1) has at least three members, all of whom are non-executive directors and a majority of whom are independent directors; and
 - (2) is chaired by an independent director, who is not the chair of the board,

and disclose:

- (3) the charter of the committee;
- (4) the relevant qualifications and experience of the members of the committee; and
- (5) in relation to each reporting period, the number of times the committee met throughout the period and the individual attendances of the members at those meetings; or
- (b) if it does not have an audit committee, disclose that fact and the processes it employs that independently verify and safeguard the integrity of its <u>periodic</u> corporate reporting, including the processes for the appointment and removal of the external auditor and the rotation of the audit engagement partner.

Commentary

While ultimate responsibility for a listed entity's financial statements rests with the full board, having a separate audit committee can be an efficient and effective mechanism to bring the transparency, focus and independent <u>judgementjudgment</u> needed to oversee the <u>financial reporting processes and (depending upon</u> the committee's remit) other corporate reporting processes.

The role of the audit committee is usually to review and make recommendations to the board in relation to:

- the adequacy of the entity's corporate reporting processes, and internal control framework;
- <u>the integrity of the entity's financial reporting</u>,⁵⁰ including whether the entity's financial statements reflect the understanding of the committee members of, and otherwise provide a true and fair view of, the financial position and performance of the entity;
- <u>(depending upon the committee's remit) the integrity of reporting for the entity's other periodic</u>

⁴⁵ It should be noted that a listed entity which is included in the S&P All Ordinaries Index at the beginning of its financial year is required under listing rule 12.7 to have an audit committee for the entire duration of that financial year. If it is included in the S&P/ASX 300 Index at the beginning of its financial year, it must also comply with the structure and disclosure requirements in paragraph (a) of recommendation 4.1 for the whole of that financial year, unless it had been included in that index for the first time less than 3 months before the beginning of its financial year. An entity that is included in the S&P/ASX 300 Index for the first time less than 3 months before the first day of its financial year but did not comply with the structure and disclosure requirements in paragraph (a) of recommendation 4.1 at that date must take steps so that it complies with those requirements within 3 months of the beginning of the financial year.

⁵⁰ Financial reporting includes finance-related narrative disclosures (including, for example, finance-related climate disclosures [*in a sustainability report*]). [*NB: This assumes that sustainability reports are introduced as reflected in exposure draft legislation: Treasury Laws Amendment Bill 2024: Climate-related financial disclosure.*]

corporate reports;⁵¹

- the appropriateness of the accounting <u>judgements and reporting judgments</u> or choices exercised by management in preparing the entity's financial statements reporting and (as applicable) other <u>periodic corporate reports;</u>
- <u>the entity's audit and assurance policies and practices, including:</u>
 - <u>approaches to internal and external audit and assurance in respect of periodic corporate</u> reports; and
 - <u>o</u> <u>for management of external audit and other assurance engagements (including for the</u> <u>undertaking of comprehensive reviews of the external auditor's appointment);</u>
- the appointment or removal of the external auditor, including external audit tenders;
- the fees payable to the auditor for audit and non-audit work;
- the rotation of the audit engagement partner;
- the scope and adequacy of the external auditand (as applicable) other assurance engagements;
- the independence and performance of the external auditor<u>and (as applicable) any other external</u> assurance practitioner;
- any proposal for the external auditor to provide non-audit services and whether it might compromise the independence of the external auditor;
- if the entity has an internal audit function:
 - the appointment or removal of the head of internal audit;
 - e-the scope and adequacy of the internal audit work plan; and
 - •-the independence, objectivity and performance of the internal audit function.

The audit committee should have a charter⁴⁶⁵² that clearly sets out its role and confers on it all necessary powers to perform that role. This will usually include the right to obtain information, interview management and internal and external auditors (with or without management present), and seek advice from external consultants or specialists where the committee considers that necessary or appropriate.

The <u>In order to discharge the</u> audit <u>committee's mandate effectively, the</u> committee should be of sufficient size and independence, <u>and with</u> its members between them should have the <u>having</u> accounting and financial expertise and a sufficient understanding of the industry in which the entity operates, to be able to discharge the committee's mandate effectively.

<u>There should also be a consideration of committee skills and support required for evolving areas (for example, reporting related to climate and other sustainability-related matters may be supported by the work of a sustainability committee).⁵³ However, oversight of corporate reporting integrity should remain</u>

⁵¹ For example, sustainability reporting. Where this is within the audit committee's responsibilities, this may also be supported by other committees, such as a sustainability committee.

 ⁴⁶ Listed entities may find⁵² For example, see the sample audit committee charter in Audit Committees: A Guide to Good Practice, Third Edition (2017) helpful. This is a joint publication of the Australian Institute of Company Directors, the Australian Auditing Standards Board, and the Institute of Internal Auditors <u>Australia</u>, <u>Audit Committees: A Guide to Good Practice (2017)</u>.
 ⁵³ See Recommendation 2.7 regarding director skills. Regarding sustainability committees, see for example the joint publication of the Australian Institute of Company Directors and Herbert Smith Freehills <u>Bringing together ESG: Board structures and sustainability</u>

with the board, with recommendations made by the audit committee.

The boards of some listed entities may decide that they are able to oversee the corporate reporting process efficiently and effectively without establishing a separate audit committee.⁵⁴ If they do, the entity should disclose in its annual report or on its website the fact that it does not have an audit committee and explain the processes it employs that independently verify and safeguard the integrity of its corporate reporting (including, but not limited to, the appointment or removal of the external auditor and the rotation of the audit ongagement partner audit and assurance policies or practices).

Recommendation 4.2

The board of a listed entity should, before it approves the entity's financial statements for a financial period, receive from its CEO and CFO a declaration that, in their opinion, the financial records of the entity have been properly maintained and that the financial statements comply with the appropriate accounting standards and give a true and fair view of the financial position and performance of the entity and that the opinion has been formed on the basis of a sound system of risk management and internal control which is operating effectively.

Commentary

Section 295A of the Corporations Act requires each person who performs the CEO or CFO function in a listed entity established in Australia to provide a declaration that, in their opinion, the financial records of the entity for a financial year have been properly maintained in accordance with the Act and that the financial statements and the notes for the financial year comply with the accounting standards and give a true and fair view of the financial position and performance of the entity. The declaration must be given before the directors approve the financial statements for the financial year.

Similar requirements may apply to listed entities established in other jurisdictions under their local law.

This recommendation largely mirrors the declaration required under section 295A but extends it to include a declaration by the CEO and CFO that their opinion has been formed on the basis of a sound system of risk management and internal control which is operating effectively. It also extends it to apply to the financial statements for any financial period, not just for the financial year.

The board of a listed entity subject to section 295A of the Corporations Act or an equivalent provision under the law of its home jurisdiction can receive the one declaration from the CEO and CFO that meets both the requirements of that Act or law and this recommendation.

The board of a listed entity established outside Australia that is not subject to section 295A of the Corporations Act or an equivalent provision under the law of its home jurisdiction should nonetheless require an equivalent declaration from the CEO and CFO.

⁽November 2022).

⁵⁴ A listed entity which is included in the S&P All Ordinaries Index at the beginning of its financial year is required by the Listing Rules to have an audit committee for the entire duration of that financial year. If it is included in the S&P/ASX 300 Index, it must also comply with certain structure and disclosure requirements. See Listing Rule 12.7.

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations Consultation Draft #10645837v57

Recommendation 4.3

Recommendation 4.2

A listed entity should disclose its process to verify the integrity of any periodic corporate report it releases to the market that is not, including the extent to which it has been audited, or reviewed by an<u>otherwise</u> the subject of assurance, by an external assurance practitioner.

Commentary

Increasingly, investors are relying on a broader range of periodic corporate reports⁵⁵ (and other disclosures)</sup> than audited or reviewed financial statements to inform their investment decisions. This includes an entity's annual directors' reports, quarterly activity reports, quarterly cash flow reports and, in some cases, integrated reports (if prepared as a separate annual report)⁴⁹ and sustainability reports.

Where a corporate report of this type is not subject to audit or review by an external auditor, it is important that investors understand the process by which the entity has satisfied itself that the report is materially accurate, balanced and provides investors with appropriate information to make informed investment decisions.

This can be disclosed in the report itself or more generally in the entity's governance disclosures in its annual report or on its website.

Periodic corporate reports may include an entity's:

- <u>annual directors' reports;</u>
- (if prepared) sustainability reports; 56
- <u>quarterly activity reports:</u>
- <u>quarterly cash flow reports; and</u>
- (if prepared) integrated reports⁵⁷.

<u>An entity should have processes to ensure that the narrative and quantitative information in all of its</u> <u>periodic corporate reports is materially correct, balanced and provides investors with appropriate</u> <u>information to make informed investment decisions. Information must not be misleading or deceptive. This</u>

⁵⁵ "Periodic corporate report" is defined in the Glossary.

⁴⁹ "Integrated report" has the meaning given in the International <IR> Framework, available online at:

http://integratedreporting.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/12/13-12-08-THE INTERNATIONAL IR FRAMEWORK 2-1.pdf. The principles of integrated reporting can be used in preparing existing reports, for example, the directors' report or the operating and financial review.

⁴⁴ For these purposes, "approve" means make the declaration required of directors under section 295(4) of the Corporations Act that (amongst other things) the financial statements comply with accounting standards and give a true and fair view. Note that the fact that the directors receive such a declaration from the CEO and CFO does not derogate from their responsibility for ensuring that the

financial statements comply with the Corporations Act (section 295A(8)),⁵⁶ [Certain entities may be required to prepare

<u>sustainability reports, for example, in respect of climate-related financial disclosures under Chapter 2M.3 of the Corporations Act.</u> <u>Other sustainability reporting content may be introduced, or regulated by laws such as those relating to false or misleading</u> <u>statements.] [NB: This assumes that sustainability reports are introduced as reflected in exposure draft legislation: Treasury Laws</u> <u>Amendment Bill 2024: Climate-related financial disclosure.]</u>

⁵⁷ "Integrated report" has the meaning given in the International Integrated Reporting Council, *International Integrated Reporting Framework*. The principles of integrated reporting can be used in preparing existing reports, for example, the directors' report or the operating and financial review.

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations Consultation Draft #10645837v57

is particularly the case for developing areas of reporting.

Where some or all of a report has been the subject of audit or another assurance engagement by an external auditor or other external assurance practitioner. 58 the nature and extent of that work should be disclosed in the report itself. This includes confirmation that the external assurance practitioner's engagement is in accordance with relevant audit and assurance standards.⁵⁹

The processes should also be disclosed more generally in the entity's governance disclosures in its annual report or on its website. The disclosure should, for example, discuss guiding principles and internal procedures. It should provide more information to investors than, for example, sign-offs being obtained from management, the existence of an audit committee or the fact an unqualified audit opinion has been issued for the relevant financial statements.

For financial reports in respect of a financial period,⁶⁰ management should also provide an opinion that the reports are based on a sound system of risk management and internal control which is operating effectively.

Ultimately, directors must form their own views on the adequacy of each of an entity's periodic corporate reports⁶¹ and other disclosures.⁶² Independent assurance processes (including external audit, review or other assurance engagements) do not remove these responsibilities.⁶³

Recommendation 4.3

A listed entity should disclose:

- the tenure of the audit firm and audit engagement partner as at the end of the reporting period; (a) <u>and</u>
- <u>(b)</u> when the appointment of the external auditor was last comprehensively reviewed and the outcomes from that review.

Commentary

External auditing acts as an important independent function in relation to financial statements. Its value lies in its independence from the board and management and audit quality.

Listed entities established in Australia have laws governing the appointment and removal of auditors, as well as the rotation of individuals with significant roles in the audit.⁶⁴ The role of security holders and ASIC in removal processes reflects the significance of a change in auditor.

The audit committee should periodically undertake a comprehensive review of the effectiveness and independence of the auditor.⁶⁵ (This is in addition to the committee's ongoing review of audit quality, as

⁵⁹ Standards for assurance engagements include Australian Auditing Standards and Standards on Review Engagements (for audits and reviews of historical financial information) and Standards on Assurance Engagements (for other assurance engagements). ⁶⁰ This includes periods less than a financial year.

- ⁶¹ Including directors' opinions as to compliance of financial statements, whether an entity is solvent and if it is appropriate to prepare accounts on a going concern basis: for example, see sections 285, 295, 588G of the Corporations Act. Also see: ASIC v Healey & Ors [2011] FCA 717.
- ⁶² For example, see sections 674 and 1041E of the Corporations Act.
- 63 See ASIC. INFO 183 Directors and financial reporting.

⁶⁵ See Australian Institute of Company Directors and Auditing and Assurance Standards Board, Periodic Comprehensive Review of the

49/86

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations ultation Draft #10645837v57

⁵⁸ "External assurance practitioner" is defined in the Glossary.

⁶⁴ Part 2M.4 of the Corporations Act.

part of the corporate reporting process for a reporting period.)

<u>The audit committee should consider whether a recommendation should be made to the board to seek</u> <u>removal of the auditor by shareholders, to put the audit to tender, or for rotation of the audit engagement</u> <u>partner.</u>

External Auditor Guide for Audit Committees (2022). Also see ASIC, INFO 196 Audit quality – The Role of directors and audit committees, and ASIC, INFO 223 Audit quality – The role of others.

Principle 5: Make timely-and, balanced and accurate disclosure

A listed entity should make timely and balanced disclosure of all matters concerning it that a reasonable person would expect to have a material effect on the price or value of its securities.

Recommendation 5.1

A listed entity should have and disclose a written policy for complying with its continuous disclosure obligations-under listing rule 3.1.

Recommendation 5.2

A listed entity should ensure that its board receives copies of all material market announcements promptly after they have been made.

Recommendation 5.3

A listed entity that gives a new and substantive investor or analyst presentation should release a copy of the presentation materials on the ASX Market Announcements Platform ahead of the presentation.

Recommendation 5.1

A listed entity should have and disclose⁵⁰ a written policy for complying with its continuous disclosure obligations-under listing rule 3.1.

Commentary

Listing rule 3.1 requires a listed entity, subject to certain exceptions, to disclose to ASX immediately any information concerning it that a reasonable person would expect to have a material effect on the price or value of its securities.

Chapter 3 of the Listing Rules sets out a listed entity's continuous disclosure obligations.⁶⁶

A listed entity should have <u>and disclose</u> a written policy directed to ensuring that it complies with this <u>obligation these obligations</u>, so that all investors have equal and timely access to material information concerning the entity – including its financial position, performance, ownership and governance.

In designing its disclosure policy, a listed entity should have regard to ASX Listing Rules Guidance Note 8 Continuous Disclosure: Listing Rules 3.1 – 3.1B and to the 10 principles set out in ASIC Regulatory Guide 62 Better disclosure for investors.

A listed entity may find the suggestions in Box 5.1 helpful in formulating its

Information should be accurate, complete⁶⁷ and not misleading. The processes for review and approval of

⁴⁰ An entity may reduct from the disclosed copy of its continuous disclosure policy personal or confidential information such as the names and contact details of individual staff involved in the disclosure process.

⁶⁶ Also see section 674 of the Corporations Act.

⁶⁷ "Complete" in this context means not omitting material information.

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations Consultation Draft #10645837v57

disclosures should ensure:

- the integrity of the information disclosed; and
- <u>that disclosures are balanced in their presentation of that information.</u>

For example, in some circumstances, additional internal or external verification may be required, in order to prevent misleading or deceptive disclosure (including by omission).⁶⁸ Particular care should be taken in areas where practice is still developing, including where recognised terminology, data and reporting frameworks may be at varying stages of evolution;⁶⁹ this may require clarification in the disclosure itself.

ASX provides guidance to listed entities on continuous disclosure under the Listing Rules, including the elements of a continuous disclosure policy, and the content and presentation of information in market announcements.⁷⁰

Box 5.1: Suggestions for the content of a continuous disclosure policy		
• H	ghlight the importance of the entity's market announcements being accurate, balanced and	
e	pressed in a clear and objective manner that allows investors to assess the impact of the	
in	formation when making investment decisions.	
• •	utline the roles and responsibilities of directors, officers and employees in complying with the	
e	ntity's disclosure obligations.	
• <u> </u>	t out the entity's processes to review and authorise market announcements.	
• H	ghlight the importance of safeguarding the confidentiality of corporate information to avoid	
	omaturo disclosuro. ⁵¹	
• <u> </u>	t out or cross refer to the entity's policy on media contact and comment.	
• <u>A</u>	Idress the potential disclosure issues associated with analyst briefings and responses to security	
h	older questions.	
• <u> </u>	t out the entity's processes for responding to or avoiding the emergence of a false market in its	
	curities.	
•St	ate that the policy will be periodically reviewed to check that it is operating effectively and	
	hether any changes are required to the policy.	

Recommendation 5.2

A listed entity should ensure that its board receives copies of all material market announcements promptly after they have been made.

⁶⁸ See also Recommendation 4.1 (for audit and assurance policy and practices) and Recommendation 4.2.

⁶⁹ For example, see ASIC, INFO 271 How to avoid greenwashing when offering or promoting sustainability-related products; ACCC, Making environmental claims: A guide for business (December 2023).

⁷⁰ ASX Compliance, Continuous Disclosure: Listing Rules 3.1 – 3.1B. (See Annexure C for guidance on policies.) See also ASIC, Regulatory Guide 62 Better disclosure for investors.

⁵¹-See the joint publication by Chartered Secretaries Australia (now Governance Institute of Australia) and the Australian Investor Relations Association entitled Handling confidential information: Principles of good practice available online at: https://www.governanceinstitute.com.au/confidentialprinciples.

Commentary

This is to ensure that the board has timely visibility of the nature and quality of the information being disclosed to the market and the frequency of such disclosures. $\frac{71}{2}$

Recommendation 5.3

A listed entity that gives a new and substantive investor or analyst presentation should release a copy of the presentation materials on the ASX Market Announcements Platform ahead of the presentation.

Commentary

This <u>recommendation</u><u>Recommendation</u> is directed to ensuring equality of information among investors and applies regardless of whether the presentation contains <u>material</u> new <u>market sensitive</u> information required to be disclosed under <u>listing rule</u>Listing <u>Rule</u> 3.1.

Examples of "substantive" presentations caught by this <u>recommendation</u> include results presentations and the types of presentations typically given at annual general meetings, investor days and broker conferences.

The market announcement should provide clear and balanced disclosure of any new market sensitive information contained in the document (for example, by use of a cover sheet which identifies the relevant information).

Where practicable, the entity should consider providing security holders the opportunity to participate in the presentation, for example, by providing them with dial-in details or providing a link to a live webcast (including remote access). If that is not practicable, the entity should consider making available on its website a recording or transcript of the presentation as soon as it reasonably can.

This <u>recommendationRecommendation</u> is not intended to apply to private meetings between a listed entity and an investor or analyst. However, any entity that has such a meeting must be careful not to disclose in the meeting any information that a reasonable person would expect to have a material effect on the price or value of its securities that has not already been disclosed to the market.

The Council recognises that listed entities may give a series of presentations to analysts and investors over a short period of time that contain materially the same information but have been tailored for each audience. The Council would not regard the second and subsequent presentations in such a series as ""new" presentations for these purposes and, provided they do not contain any new market sensitive information, would not expect them to be published on the ASX Market Announcements Platform.

ASX provides guidance to assist listed entities to understand and comply with their continuous disclosure obligations under the Listing Rules.⁷² ASIC publishes guidance on the handling of confidential information, including for unannounced corporate transactions.⁷³

⁷¹ This can be facilitated by the ASX Online Company Portal external notifications feature.

⁷² ASX Compliance, Continuous Disclosure: Listing Rules 3.1 – 3.1B.

⁷³ See ASIC, Report 393 Handling of Confidential Information: Briefings and unannounced corporate transactions (May 2014). Also see Chartered Secretaries Australia (now Governance Institute of Australia) and the Australian Investor Relations Association, Handling confidential information: Principles of good practice (2013). [NB: To be updated.]

<u>Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations</u> <u>Consultation Draft</u> #10645837v57

Principle 6: Respect the rights of security holders

A listed entity should provide its security holders with appropriate information and facilities to allow them to exercise their rights as security holders effectively.

Recommendation 6.1

A listed entity should provide information about itself and its governance to investors via its website.

Recommendation 6.2

A listed entity should have an investor relations program that facilitates effective two-way communication with investors.

Recommendation 6.3

A listed entity should disclose how it facilitates and encourages participation at <u>general</u> meetings of security holders.

Recommendation 6.4

A listed entity should ensure that all substantive resolutions at a meeting of security holders are decided by a poll rather than by a show of hands.

Recommendation 6.5

A listed entity should give security holders the option to receive communications from, and send communications to, the entity and its security registry electronically.

Recommendation 6.1

A listed entity should provide information about itself and its governance to investors via its website.

Commentary

A fundamental underpinning of the corporate governance framework for listed entities is that security holders should be able to hold the board and, through the board, management to account for the entity's performance.

For this to occur, a listed entity needs to engage with its security holders, and provide them with appropriate information and facilities to allow them to exercise their rights as security holders effectively. This includes:

- giving them ready access to information about the entity and to, its governance;
- communicating openly and honestly with them; and
- encouraging and facilitating their participation in meetings of security holders.

In the digital age, investors.⁷⁴

⁷⁴ References in this recommendation to communicating and interacting with investors include, where securities are held by a custodian or nominee, communicating and interacting with the beneficial owner of the securities.

<u>Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations</u> <u>Consultation Draft</u> #10645837v57

<u>Investors</u> expect information about listed entities to be freely and readily available online. A listed entity's <u>website</u> should have a website with a "corporate governance" landing page from where all relevant corporate governance information can be accessed. There should be an intuitive and easily located link to this page in the navigation menu for the entity's website.^{52/25}

A<u>Information available through the</u> listed entity<u>'s website</u> should include-in the corporate governance area of its website links to:

- the names, photographs and brief biographical information for each of its directors and senior executives;
- its constitution, its board charter and the charters of each of its board committees;
- a statement of the entity's values;⁵³
- thekey corporate governance policies and otherdocuments (including corporate governance materials referred to in these recommendations. Recommendations); and

A listed entity should also include in an appropriate area of its website links to:

- copies of its annual directors' reports, financial statements and other corporate reports;
- <u>background information on the entity, its board and management (including copies of its</u> announcements to ASX; and current and historical corporate reports).⁷⁶
- copies of notices of meetings of security holders and any accompanying documents;
- copies of any documents tabled or otherwise made available at meetings of security holders and, if it keeps them, a recording or transcript of the meetings; and
- copies of any materials distributed at investor or analyst presentations and, if it keeps them, a recording or transcript of the presentations,
- and keep this material available on its website for a reasonable period.

Investors will also find it helpful if a listed entity includes in an appropriate area of its website:

- an overview of the entity's current business;
- a description of how the entity is structured;
- a summary of the entity's history;
- a key events calendar showing the expected dates in the forthcoming year for:
 - results presentations and other significant events for investors and analysts;
 - the AGM;
 - books closing dates for determining entitlements to dividends or distributions; and
 - ex-dividend and payment dates for dividends or distributions;
- once they are known, the time, venue and other relevant details⁵⁴ for results presentations and the

- 53 See recommendation 3.1 above.
- ⁷⁶ ASX Compliance, *Guidance Note 9: Disclosure of corporate governance practices* [NB: ASX is to update GN9 for 5th Edition.]
 ⁵⁴ Such as the dial in details for a conference call on a results presentation and a link to the UBL for a web cast of an AGM.

55/86

⁵²²⁵ For example, under an "About Us", "Investor Centre" or "Information for Shareholders/Unitholders" menu item.

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations Consultation Draft #10645837v57

AGM;

- if the entity has different classes of securities on issue, a brief description of those different classes and the rights attaching to them;
- historical information about the market prices of the entity's securities;
- a description of the entity's dividend or distribution policy;
- information about the entity's dividend or distribution history;
- copies of media releases the entity makes;
- contact details for enquiries from security holders, analysts or the media;

 <u>The listed entity should also include on its website the contact details for and a link to its securities</u> registry; so that security holders may access relevant information and

links forms to download keymanage their security holder forms, such as transfer and transmission forms, dividend or distribution reinvestment plan forms etcholding.

Recommendation 6.2

A listed entity should have an investor relations program that facilitates effective two-way communication with investors.⁵⁵

Commentary

A listed entity's <u>investor⁷⁷ relations program should involve two-way communication, facilitating an entity's</u> engagement with its investors, and reporting of material issues and concerns to the entity's board and relevant senior executives.

It should allow investors and other financial market participants to gain a greater understanding of the entity's business, governance, financial performance and prospects, and to express their views to the entity on matters of concern or interest to them. For example, the entity should consider engagement with investors where a significant number of votes are cast against a resolution put to a general meeting, and (if appropriate) disclosure of any actions taken to understand and respond to that vote.

An investor relations program should be tailored appropriate to the individual entity and its circumstances of, including the entity. For smaller nature of its investor base. As a minimum, entities, it may involve little more than should actively engaging engage with security holders at the AGM, meeting with them upon request and responding to any enquiries they may make from time to time. For larger Larger entities, it is activities are likely to involve a detailed program of scheduled and ad hoc interactions with institutional investors, retail investor groups, sell-side and buy-side analysts, proxy advisers and the financial media.⁷⁸

A primary aim of an investor relations program should be to allow investors and other financial market participants to gain a greater understanding of the entity's business, governance, financial performance and

- ⁷⁷ References in this recommendation to communicating and interacting with investors includes, where securities are held by a custodian or nominee, communicating and interacting with the beneficial owner of the securities.
- ⁷⁸ For example, see Australasian Investor Relations Association, *Best Practice Investor Relations: Guidelines for Australasian Listed* <u>Entities</u> (Fifth Edition, March 2021). Also see Recommendation 5.3, regarding continuous disclosure and briefings.

^{**} References in this recommendation to communicating and interacting with security holders include, where securities are held by a custodian or nominee, communicating and interacting with the beneficial owner of the securities.

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations Consultation Draft #10645837v57

prospects. However, it should not just involve one way communication from the entity to the market but also provide an opportunity for investors and other financial market participants to express their views to the entity on matters of concern or interest to them.

A listed entity's

<u>The</u> investor relations program may also run in tandem with a wider stakeholder engagement program involving interactions with politicians, bureaucrats, regulators, unions, employees, consumer groups, environmental groups, local community groups and other stakeholders.⁷⁹ These programs should be aligned with the entity's risk management processes and crisis management planning, and be designed to respond to changing circumstances.

While the focus of many investor relations programs will be on larger investors and financial market participants who service larger investors, listed entities should also seek opportunities to engage with retail investors and the organisations that represent them, to understand the matters of concern or interest to smaller investors.

Where significant comments or concerns are raised by investors or their representatives, they should be conveyed to the entity's board and relevant senior executives.

<u>Listed entities should also support the communication choices of their security holders.</u> Security holders should be notified at least annually of their right to elect to receive electronic or physical documents, or to change that election at any time. For annual financial reporting, security holders may elect not to receive the document at all.⁸⁰

Recommendation 6.3

A listed entity should disclose how it facilitates and encourages participation at <u>general</u> meetings of security holders.

Commentary

<u>Meetings</u><u>General meetings</u> of security holders are an important forum for two-way communication between a listed entity and its security holders. They provide an opportunity for a listed entity to impart to socurity holders a greater understanding of its business, governance, financial performance and prospects, as well as to discuss areas of concern or interest to the board and management. They also provide an opportunity for security holders to express their views to the entity's board and management about any areas of concern or interest for them.

The Council would encourage

<u>Listed entities should hold general meetings at a reasonable place and time, with any technology used giving</u> security holders as a whole a reasonable opportunity to participate.⁸¹

<u>Investors expect that</u> listed entities with large or geographically diverse registers to consider how<u>will use</u> technology can be used to facilitate the participation of security holders in <u>general</u> meetings. This may include, for example, live webcasting of meetings so that security holders can view and hear proceedings

⁸¹ Sections 249R and 249S (listed companies) and sections 252P and 252Q (listed trusts), Corporations Act. See Governance Institute of Australia, Australian Institute of Company Directors, Australasian Investor Relations Association and Law Council of Australia, Electronic Governance Reforms: Meetings and Documents (14 March 2022).

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations Consultation Draft #10645837v57

⁷⁹ See Recommendation 3.3.

⁸⁰ Sections 110C, 110D and 110K of the Corporations Act.

online, holding meetings across multiple venues linked by live telecommunications, and holding hybrid meetings that allow shareholderssecurity holders to attend and vote in person, by proxy or online,⁸² and permitting security holders to submit questions online before or during the meeting.

All listed entities that have an AGM should afford security holders who are not able to attend the meeting and exercise their right to ask questions about, or make comments on, the management of the entity, ⁵⁶⁸³ the opportunity to provide questions or comments ahead of the meeting. Where appropriate, these questions and comments should be addressed at the meeting, either by being read out and then responded to at the meeting or by providing a transcript of the question or comment and a written response at the meeting (which should also be made available to those security holders attending online).

<u>Processes should be disclosed in the relevant notices of meeting, in addition to general disclosure under this</u> <u>Recommendation in the entity's annual report or on its website.</u>

Recommendation 6.4

A listed entity should ensure that all substantive⁵⁷ resolutions at a meeting of security holders are decided by a poll rather than by a show of hands.

Commentary

The principle of "one security one vote" is enshrined in the listing rules. Deciding votes of security holders on the basis of a show of hands, regardless of the number of securities held, is inconsistent with this principle.

It is the responsibility of the person chairing a meeting of security holders to ascertain the true will of the security holders attending and voting at the meeting, whether they attend in person, electronically or by proxy or other representative. In most situations, this can only be achieved with certainty by conducting a poll.

Recommendation 6.5

A listed entity should give security holders the option to receive communications from, and send communications to, the entity and its security registry electronically.

Commentary

Most security holders appreciate the speed, convenience and environmental friendliness of electronic communications, compared with more traditional methods of communication. Listed entities should provide security holders with the option to receive communications from, and send communications to, the entity and its security registry electronically.

Communications to security holders from the entity or its security registry should be formatted to be easily readable on a computer screen and other electronic devices commonly used for that purpose and include a printer-friendly option for those security holders who wish to retain a hard copy of the communication.

⁸² An entity's constitution may require amendment to permit direct voting.

⁵⁶⁸³ Section 250S of the Corporations Act.

⁵⁷ This recommendation does not apply to procedural resolutions. Whether a poll is called on a procedural resolution is generally a matter for the chair of the meeting.

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations Consultation Draft #10645837v57

Principle 7: Recognise and manage risk

A<u>The board of a</u> listed entity should <u>establishoversee</u> a sound risk management framework and <u>periodicallythe periodic</u> review <u>of</u> the effectiveness of that framework.

Recommendation 7.1

The board of a listed entity should:

- (a) have a committee or committees to oversee risk, each of which:
 - (1) has at least three members, a majority of whom are independent directors; and
 - (2) is chaired by an independent director,

and disclose:

- (3) the charter of the committee;
- (4) the members of the committee; and
- (5) as at the end of each reporting period, the number of times the committee met throughout the period and the individual attendances of the members at those meetings; or
- (b) if it does not have a risk committee or committees that satisfy (a) above, disclose that fact and the processes it employs for overseeing the entity's risk management <u>frameworkand internal</u> <u>control frameworks</u>.

Recommendation 7.2

The board or a board committee of the board should:

(a) review the entity's risk management frameworkand internal control frameworks at least annually to satisfy itself that it continues:

(1) the frameworks continue to be sound and that-address the entity's material risks; and

- (2) the entity is operating with due regard to the risk appetite set by the board; and
- (b) disclose, in relation to each reporting period, whether such a review has taken place.

Recommendation 7.3

A listed entity should disclose:

- (a) if it has an internal audit function, how the function is structured and what role it performs; or
- (b) if it does not have an internal audit function, that fact and the processes it employs for evaluating and continually improving the effectiveness of its governance, risk management and internal control processes frameworks.

Recommendation 7.4

A listed entity should disclose whether it has any:

(a) its material exposure torisks (including its material environmental-or, social and governance

<u>Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations</u> <u>Consultation Draft</u> #10645837v57

risks<u>); and</u> and, if it does,

(b) how it manages or intends to manage those risks.

Recommendation 7.1

The board of a listed entity should:

- (a) have a committee or committees to oversee risk,⁵⁸ each of which:
 - (1) has at least three members, a majority of whom are independent directors; and
 - (2) is chaired by an independent director,

and disclose:

- (3) the charter of the committee;
- (4) the members of the committee; and
- (5) as at the end of each reporting period, the number of times the committee met throughout the period and the individual attendances of the members at those meetings; or
- (b) if it does not have a risk committee or committees that satisfy (a) above, disclose that fact and the processes it employs for overseeing the entity's risk management <u>frameworkand internal control</u> <u>frameworks</u>.

Commentary

Recognising and managing risk is a crucial part of the role of the board and management.

While ultimate responsibility for a listed entity's risk management framework rests with the full board, having a risk committee (be it a stand-alone risk committee, a combined audit and risk committee or a combination of board committees addressing different elements of risk) can be an efficient and effective mechanism to bring the transparency, focus and independent judgementjudgment needed to oversee the entity's risk management framework (including its internal control framework).

The role of a risk committee is usually to:

- monitor management's performance against the entity's risk management framework, including whether it is operating within the risk appetite set by the board;
- review any material incident involving fraud or a break-down of the entity's risk controls and the "lessons learned";
- receive reports from internal audit on its reviews of the adequacy of the entity's processes for managing risk <u>(including internal controls)</u>;
- receive reports from management on new and emerging sources of risk and the risk controls and mitigation measures that management has put in place to deal with those risks;
- make recommendations to the board in relation to changes that should be made to the entity's risk

⁵⁸ The risk committee(s) could be a stand alone risk committee, a combined audit and risk committee or a combination of board committees addressing different elements of risk. Where it is a combination of committees, the listed entity should disclose how it has divided the responsibility for overseeing risk between those different committees.

management framework or to the risk appetite set by the board; and

• oversee the entity's insurance program, having regard to the entity's business and the insurable risks associated with its business.

<u>The risk committee(s) could be a stand-alone risk committee, a combined audit and risk committee or a combination of board committees addressing different elements of risk.⁸⁴ Where it is a combination of committees, the listed entity should disclose how it has divided the responsibility for overseeing risk between those different committees.</u>

A risk committee should have a charter that clearly sets out its role and confers on it all necessary powers to perform that role. This will usually include the right to obtain information, interview management and internal and external auditors (with or without management present), and seek advice from external consultants or specialists where the committee considers that necessary or appropriate.

A risk committee should be of sufficient size and independence, and its members between them should have the necessary technical knowledge and a sufficient understanding of the industry in which the entity operates, to be able to discharge the committee's mandate effectively.

The boards of some listed entities may decide that they are able to oversee the entity's risk management framework efficiently and effectively without establishing a risk committee. If they do, the entity should disclose in its annual report or on its website the fact that it does not have a risk committee and explain the processes it employs for overseeing the entity's risk management framework and internal control frameworks.

Recommendation 7.2

The board or a board committee of the board⁵⁹ should:

- (a) review the entity's risk management and internal control frameworks at least annually to satisfy itself that:
 - (1) the frameworks continue to be sound and address the entity's material risks; and
 - (a2) review the entity's risk management framework at least annually to satisfy itself that it continues to be sound and that the entity is operating with due regard to the risk appetite set by the board; and
- (b) disclose, in relation to each reporting period, whether such a review has taken place.

Commentary

One of the key roles of the board of a listed entity is to monitor the adequacy of the entity's risk management framework <u>(including its internal control framework)</u> and satisfy itself that the entity is operating with due regard to the risk appetite set by the board.

This includes satisfying itself that the risk management framework identifies and deals adequately with risks

⁸⁴ For example, culture, sustainability, or technology committees. In particular, a sustainability committee can look more broadly at risks and opportunities related to sustainability than a risk committee.

⁵⁹ If the board decides to delegate this role to a committee of the board, this should be reflected in the charter of the committee in question.

which may go to the sustainability of the entity's business model over the short, medium and long term.⁸⁵

<u>There should be consideration of</u> contemporary and emerging risks such as conduct risk, ^{69<u>36</u>} digital disruption, cyber-security, privacy and <u>resilience</u>, data breaches, sustainability and <u>governance</u>, climate change<u>and third party risk management</u>.

<u>The board should also consider crisis management and business continuity processes. They can assist the coordination of the entity's response to risks which impact across different processes, resources and relationships, should be adaptable to different risks, and should be aligned with investor relations and stakeholder engagement programs.⁸⁷ This includes stress testing against different scenarios, and through simulation exercises.</u>

If the board decides to delegate the review role to a board committee, this should be reflected in the committee's charter.

The Council acknowledges that from time to time circumstances may dictate that an entity needs to operate outside of the current risk appetite set by the board. Where that occurs, the matter should be brought to the attention of the board.

<u>The board should also require that, for financial reports in respect of a financial period,⁸⁸ management provides an opinion that the reports are based on a sound system of risk management and internal control which is operating effectively.</u>

Recommendation 7.3

A listed entity should disclose:

- (a) if it has an internal audit function, how the function is structured and what role it performs; or
- (b) if it does not have an internal audit function, that fact and the processes it employs for evaluating and continually improving the effectiveness of its governance, risk management and internal control processes frameworks.

Commentary

An internal audit function⁶¹ can assist a listed entity to accomplish its objectives by bringing a systematic, disciplined approach to evaluating and continually improving the effectiveness of its risk management and framework (including its internal control processes framework).

If a listed entity has an internal audit function,⁶² the <u>function should have a mandate and a charter. The</u>

⁶⁰26 As stated in the report of APRA's Prudential Inquiry into the Commonwealth Bank of Australia<u>Prudential Inquiry into the</u> <u>Commonwealth Bank of Australia</u> at page 7:

"Conduct risk is 'the risk of inappropriate, unethical or unlawful behaviour on the part of an organisation's management or employees.' At its simplest, conduct risk management goes beyond what is strictly allowed under law and regulation ('can we do it?') to consider whether an action is appropriate or ethical ('should we do it?')."

⁸⁸ This includes periods less than a financial year.

⁶¹-For the avoidance of doubt, despite the word "internal", a listed entity may outsource the internal audit function (for example, to a professional services firm).

⁶² Listed entities that have or wish to have an internal audit function may find the International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing published by the International Internal Audit Standards Board helpful in understanding how that

<u>Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations</u> <u>Consultation Draft</u> #10645837v57

⁸⁵ See Recommendation 7.4.

head of that function should be suitably qualified and have a direct reporting line to the board or to the board audit committee to bring the requisite degree of skill, independence and objectivity to the role. <u>(Internal audit standards can assist listed entities in understanding how to structure an internal audit function.)⁸⁹</u>

In describing the function's structure, the entity should also disclose if the function follows recognised internal audit standards.

If a listed entity does not have an internal audit function, the board or audit committee should review periodically whether there is a need for such a function.

<u>A listed entity may outsource the internal audit function (for example, to a professional services firm). This is</u> <u>distinct from the engagement of an external auditor or other external assurance practitioner, to provide</u> <u>assurance for corporate reporting.</u>

Recommendation 7.4:

A listed entity should disclose-whether it has any:

<u>(a)</u> its material exposure⁶³-torisks (including its material environmental-or, social and governance risks⁶⁴); and, if it does,

(b) how it manages or intends to manage those risks.

Commentary

How an ontity manages environmental and social risks can affect its ability to create long-term value for security holders. Accordingly, investors increasingly are calling for greater transparency on the environmental and social risks faced by listed entities,⁶⁵ so that they in turn can properly assess the risk of investing in those entities.

To make the disclosures called for under this recommendation does not require a listed entity to publish an "integrated report" or "sustainability report". However an entity that does publish an integrated report in accordance with the International Integrated Reporting Council's International <IR> Framework,⁶⁶ or a sustainability report in accordance with a recognised international standard,⁶⁷ may meet this recommendation simply by cross-referring to that report.

⁶³ "Material exposure" in this context means a real possibility that the risk in question could materially impact the listed entity's ability to create or preserve value for security holders over the short, medium or longer term.

⁶⁶ See note 49 above.

67 Such as:

function chould perform.

⁸⁹ See IIA Global Internal Audit Standards: < https://www.theiia.org/en/ >.

⁶⁴ The terms "environmental risks" and "social risks" are defined in the glossary.

⁶⁵ See, for example, the joint publication by the Australian Council of Superannuation Investors and the Financial Services Council entitled 2015 ESG Reporting Guide for Australian Companies, available online at:

https://acsi.org.au/images/stories/ACSIDocuments/ESG_Reporting_Guide_Final_2015_single_page.pdf.

the Global Reporting Initiative's standards, available online at: https://www.globalreporting.org/standards/gri standardsdownload center/;

the various sustainability accounting standards published by the Sustainability Accounting Standards Board, accessible online from https://www.sasb.org/; or

the Climate Disclosure Standards Board's Framework for reporting environmental and natural capital, available online at: https://www.cdsb.net/sites/cdsbnet/files/cdsb_framework_for_reporting_environmental_information_natural_capital.pdf.

The Council would encourage entities that believe they do not have any material exposure to environmental or social risks to consider carefully their basis for that belief and to benchmark their disclosures in this regard against those made by their peers.

One particular source of environmental risk relates to climate change.⁶⁸ This includes:

- risks related to the transition to a lower-carbon economy, including policy and legal risks, technology risk, market risk and reputation risk; and
- physical risks, such as changes in water availability, sourcing, and quality; food security; and extreme temperature changes affecting an organisation's premises, operations, supply chains, transport needs, and employee safety.

Many listed entities will be exposed to these types of risks, even where they are not directly involved in mining or consuming fossil fuels.

The Council would encourage entities to consider whether they have a material exposure to climate change risk by reference to the recommendations of the Financial Stability Board's Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures ("TCFD")⁶⁹-and, if they do, to consider making the disclosures recommended by the TCFD.

A listed entity should consider the material risks to its business model and strategy.

<u>"Material risk" in this Recommendation relates to a risk which is material to a listed entity's prospects over</u> the short, medium or longer term. The relevant time period will be individual to the circumstances of the entity.⁹⁰

Entities may find it useful to consider their reasonably foreseeable risks, as well as their key stakeholders, when developing their reporting. Although paragraph (a) of this Recommendation makes reference to environmental, social and governance risks, it is not necessary to report against each of these categories individually. The use of this terminology is to assist entities in considering the range of risks that may be relevant to their organisation, beyond (for example) short-term financial risk.

In addition, several risks may span more than one category of risk. For example, corporate culture, cyberresilience, and workplace health and safety issues may be governance or social risks and may develop into financial risks. Entities that believe that their prospects may not be impacted by any material environmental, social or governance risks should consider carefully their basis for that belief.

<u>A listed entity may satisfy this Recommendation with a statement that includes references to disclosures in,</u> for example, its operating and financial review in its directors' report (and, if it prepares a sustainability

- The TCFD is an industry led task force set up to develop voluntary, consistent climate related financial disclosures useful to investors, lenders and insurance underwriters in assessing and pricing climate related risks and opportunities. The TCFD's recommendations and related materials are available online at: https://www.fsb tefd.org/publications/. Listed entities can find
- useful resources on climate change risk at the TCFD Knowledge Hub at: https://www.tcfdhub.org/.

⁶⁸ See the report from Senate Economics References Committee dated April 2017 entitled Carbon risk: a burning issue, available online at:

https://www.aph.gov.au/Parliamentary_Business/Committees/Senate/Economics/Carbonriskdisclosure45/~/media/Committees/eco nomics_ctte/Carbonriskdisclosure45/report.pdf.

⁹⁰ For example, see the discussion of prospects and time frames in ASIC, *Regulatory Guide 247 Effective disclosure in an operating* and financial review, 247.55.

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations Consultation Draft #10645837v57

report⁹¹ or an integrated report⁹², disclosures in those reports).

An operating and financial review should disclose information that members of the listed entity would reasonably require to make an informed assessment of (amongst other matters) the business strategies, and prospects for future financial years, of the entity reported on.⁹³ It is not intended to result in disclosure of generic risks.⁹⁴

While a sustainability report is not expected when reporting under this Recommendation, sustainability concepts may assist an entity in its approach to reporting its material risks. The sustainability of an organisation considers the interactions between the entity, the resources it uses to generate value, and its relationships. For example, this includes capital management, human capital management, considering the impact of technology on business models, the entity's impacts and dependencies on natural resources, and the interests of various stakeholders throughout the entity's upstream and downstream value chain.⁹⁵

<u>Listed entities should consider ongoing developments in sustainability standard setting when making</u> <u>disclosures under this Recommendation</u>. Where no relevant standard as yet applies to the entity, entities <u>may wish to consider global standards in developing their thinking on the material risk issues and metrics</u> <u>that may be relevant to their organisations.⁹⁶ (It may also assist in developing reporting which will become</u> <u>expected of listed entities that are within larger supply chains.)</u>

<u>An entity should have reasonable grounds for making disclosures under this Recommendation, particularly</u> <u>for forward-looking statements.⁹⁷ As a result, an entity may wish to provide relevant context to its forward-</u> <u>looking information.</u>

Information may be omitted to the extent that it is likely to unreasonably prejudice the entity⁹⁸ (for example, by giving a competitor an advantage, or by impacting the effectiveness of cyber risk management). This means that disclosure is expected under this Recommendation except to the extent that the detriment to the listed entity of disclosure is both likely and material.⁹⁹

<u>Some risk examples</u>

Cyber risk spans, for example, strategic, operational, social and governance risks. It includes digital

⁹¹ [Certain entities may be required to prepare sustainability reports, for example, in respect of climate-related financial disclosures under Chapter 2M.3 of the Corporations Act. Entities may also prepare other sustainability reporting content.] [NB: This assumes that sustainability reports are introduced as reflected in exposure draft legislation for climate-related financial reporting: Treasury Laws Amendment Bill 2024: Climate-related financial disclosure.]

⁹⁵ For example, sustainability-related risks and opportunities are referred to in sustainability financial-related reporting standards by reference to an entity's value chain of resources and relationships: see [the Australian Accounting Standards Board for Australian Sustainability Reporting Standards, including ASRS1: General Requirements for Disclosure of Climate-related Financial Information, Aus 2.1, and IFRS 51 General Requirements for Disclosure of Sustainability-related Financial Information (2023), paragraph 2.] [NB: ASRS are in the course of development.]

⁹⁶ Generally, governments are seeking to make their regulations and standards on matters such as climate and other sustainability as inter-operable as possible internationally, and for information to be presented in a comparable way. See the Australian Accounting Standards Board for Australian Sustainability Reporting Standards. Where Australian standards are in the course of development, entities may also find it useful to consider standards and guidance from the International Sustainability Standards Board.

⁹⁷ For example, see section 769C of the Corporations Act.

⁹⁸ For example, see section 299A(3) of the Corporations Act in respect of operating and financial reviews.

⁹⁹ For example, see ASIC, Regulatory Guide 247 Effective disclosure in an operating and financial review, 247.67-81.

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations Consultation Draft #10645837v57

 ⁹² In accordance with the International Integrated Reporting Council's International Integrated Reporting Framework.
 ⁹³ Section 299A of the Corporations Act.

⁹⁴ For example, see ASIC, Regulatory Guide 247 Effective disclosure in an operating and financial review, 247.61-66.

disruption, platform obsolescence, cyber-resilience, the retention and use of data, and the application of artificial intelligence.

<u>Climate change-related risk may also have broad impact, including for those entities not in emission</u> <u>intensive industries. This Recommendation does not require climate-related financial disclosures. However,</u> <u>entities may find it useful to consider definitions and qualitative guidance in relevant standards (for example,</u> <u>in relation to climate-related physical risks and climate-related transition risks) when developing their</u> <u>disclosures under this Recommendation.¹⁰⁰</u>

¹⁰⁰ See the Australian Sustainability Reporting Standard [ASRS 2: Climate-related Financial Disclosures]. [NB: ASRS 2 is in <u>development.]</u>

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations Consultation Draft #10645837v57

Principle 8: Remunerate fairly and responsibly

A listed entity should <u>payensure that its</u> director <u>remuneration sufficient to attract and</u> <u>retain high quality directors and design its and</u> executive remuneration to attract, retain and motivate high quality senior policies and practices are fair and responsible. <u>Remuneration of</u> executives and to should align their interests with the <u>entity's values</u>, <u>strategic objectives and risk appetite</u>, and the creation of <u>long-term sustainable</u> value for security holders and with the entity's values and risk appetite.

Recommendation 8.1

The board of a listed entity should:

(a) have a remuneration committee which:

- (1) has at least three members, a majority of whom are independent directors; and
- (2) is chaired by an independent director,

and disclose:

- (3) the charter of the committee;
- (4) the members of the committee; and
- (5) as at the end of each reporting period, the number of times the committee met throughout the period and the individual attendances of the members at those meetings; or
- (b) if it does not have a remuneration committee, disclose that fact and the processes it employs for setting the level and composition of remuneration for directors and senior executives and ensuring that such remuneration is appropriate and not excessive.

Recommendation 8.2

A listed entity should separately disclose its policies and practices regarding the remuneration of nonexecutive directors and the remuneration of executive directors and other senior executives.

<u>A listed entity should not give performance-based remuneration or retirement benefits to non-</u> <u>executive directors.</u>

Recommendation 8.3

A listed entity which has an equity based remuneration scheme should:

- (a) have a policy on whether participants are permitted to enter into transactions (whether through the use of derivatives or otherwise) which limit the economic risk of participating in the scheme; and
- (b) disclose that policy or a summary of it.

A listed entity should:

(a) have remuneration structures which can clawback or otherwise limit performance-based remuneration outcomes of its senior executives after award, payment or vesting; and

<u>Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations</u> <u>Consultation Draft</u> #10645837v57. (b) disclose (on a de-identified basis) the use of those provisions during the reporting period.

Recommendation 8.1

The board of a listed entity should:

- (a) have a remuneration committee⁷⁰ which:
 - (1) has at least three members, a majority of whom are independent directors; and
 - (2) is chaired by an independent director,

and disclose:

- (3) the charter of the committee;
- (4) the members of the committee; and
- (5) as at the end of each reporting period, the number of times the committee met throughout the period and the individual attendances of the members at those meetings; or
- (b) if it does not have a remuneration committee, disclose that fact and the processes it employs for setting the level and composition of remuneration for directors and senior executives and ensuring that such remuneration is appropriate and not excessive.

Commentary

Remuneration is a key driver of culture^{74<u>101</u>} and a key focus for investors. When setting the level and composition of remuneration, a listed entity needs to balance:

- its desire to attract and retain high quality directors and to attract, retain and motivate senior executives;
- the need to ensure that the incentives for executive directors and other senior executives encourage them to pursue the growth and success of the entity without rewarding conduct that is contrary to the entity's values, strategic objectives or risk appetite;
- the need to ensure that the incentives for non-executive directors doare not remunerated in a manner that may conflict with their obligation to bring an independent judgement to matters before the board;
- the implications for its reputation and standing in the community if it is seen to pay excessive

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations Consultation Draft #10645837v57

[&]quot;" It should be noted that a listed ontity which is included in the S&P/ASX 300 Index at the beginning of its financial year is required under listing rule 12.8 to have a remuneration committee comprised solely of non-executive directors for the entire duration of that financial year.

^{71<u>101</u>} As noted by Commissioner Hayne in the Interim Report, Royal Commission into Misconduct in the Banking, Superannuation and Financial Services Industry Interim Report, Royal Commission into Misconduct in the Banking, Superannuation and Financial Services Industry (28 September 2018) Volume 1, at page 55:

[&]quot;... staff and others engaged by an entity will treat as important what they believe that the entity values. Rewarding volume and amount of sales is the clearest signal that selling is what the entity values. What staff and others believe that the entity values informs what they do. It is a critical element in forming the culture of the entity."

remuneration to directors and senior executives; and

• its commercial interest in controlling expenses.

A listed entity should have a formal, rigorous and transparent process for developing its remuneration policy and for fixing the remuneration packages of directors and senior executives.

Having a separate remuneration committee can be an efficient and effective mechanism to bring the focus and independent <u>judgementjudgment</u> needed on remuneration decisions. The role of the remuneration committee is usually to review and make recommendations to the board in relation to:

- the entity's remuneration framework for directors, including the process by which any pool of directors' fees approved by security holders is allocated to directors;
- the remuneration packages to be awarded to senior executives;⁷², including the exercise of discretion;¹⁰²
- equity-based remuneration plans and other incentive structures for senior executives and other employees;
- superannuation arrangements for directors, senior executives and other employees; and
- whether there is any gender or other inappropriate bias in remuneration for directors, senior executives or other employees.

The remuneration committee should have a charter that clearly sets out its role and confers on it all necessary powers to perform that role. This will usually include the right to obtain information, interview management, and seek advice from external consultants or specialists where the committee considers that necessary or appropriate.⁷⁴¹⁰³

The remuneration committee should be of sufficient size and independence to discharge its mandate effectively.

If the remuneration committee includes an executive director, they should not be involved in deciding their own remuneration. The committee should also be alive to the potential conflict of interest in an executive director being involved in setting the remuneration for other executives that may indirectly affect their own (for example, through setting a benchmark or because of relativities)

<u>A listed entity which is included in the S&P/ASX 300 Index at the beginning of its financial year is required</u> <u>under Listing Rule 12.8 to have a remuneration committee comprised solely of non-executive directors for</u> <u>the entire duration of that financial year</u>.

The boards of some listed entities may decide that they are able to deal efficiently and effectively with remuneration issues without establishing a separate remuneration committee. If they do, the entity should disclose in its annual report or on its website the fact that it does not have a remuneration committee and explain the processes it employs for setting the level and composition of remuneration for directors and senior executives and ensuring that such remuneration is appropriate and not excessive.

⁷²¹⁰² See Recommendation 8.3. The individual remuneration packages to be awarded to employees other than senior executives are generally matters left to management.

⁷²¹⁰³ Listed companies established in Australia should note the provisions in sections 206K-206MPart 2D.8 of the Corporations Act regarding the engagement of remuneration consultants to advise on the remuneration packages to be awarded to key management personnel.

Regardless of whether there is a remuneration committee, no individual director or senior executive should be involved in deciding his or her own remuneration.⁷⁴¹⁰⁴

Recommendation 8.2

A listed entity should separately disclose its policies and practices regarding the remuneration of nonexecutive directors and the remuneration of executive directors and other senior executives.

Commentary

A listed entity's remuneration policies and practices should appropriately reflect the different roles and responsibilities of non-executive directors compared with executive directors and other senior executives. In this regard, listed entities may find the guidelines in Box 8.2 useful in formulating their remuneration policies and practices.

Box 8.2 Suggested guidelines for:

Executive remuneration

Composition: remuneration packages for executive directors and other senior executives should include an appropriate balance of fixed remuneration and performance-based remuneration.

Fixed remuneration: should be reasonable and fair. aking into account the entity's obligations at law and non-executive directors should reflect the time abour market conditions, and should be relative to the scale of the entity's business. It should reflect core performance requirements and expectations.

Non-executive director remuneration

Composition: non-executive directors should be remunerated by way of cash fees, superannuation contributions and non-cash benefits in lieu of fees (such as salary sacrifice into superannuation or equity).

Fixed remuneration: levels of fixed remuneration for commitment and responsibilities of the role.

Performance based remuneration: should be linked Performance based remuneration: non-executive to clearly specified performance targets. These targetsdirectors should not receive performance-based should be aligned to the entity's short, medium and 🔰 remuneration as it may lead to bias in their decisie longer term performance objectives and should be making and compromise their objectivity. consistent with its circumstances, purpose, strategic

Equity-based remuneration: well-designed equitybased remuneration, including options or performance rights, can be an effective form of remuneration, especially when linked to hurdles that the interests of other security holders.⁷⁵-However,

zoals, values and risk appetite. Discretion should be retained, where appropriate, to prevent performance-

based remuneration rewarding conduct that is contrary to the entity's values or risk appetite.

Equity based remuneration: it is generally acceptable for non-executive directors to receive securities as part of their remuneration to align their interests with are aligned to the entity's short, medium and longer- non-executive directors generally should not receive

⁷⁴104 This statement is not intended to apply to a determination by the board of a listed entity on how the pool of directors' fees approved by security holders should be split between directors.

⁷⁵ Note that an issue of securities t approval under listing rule 10-11 unless it falls rity holdo exceptions set out in listing rule 10.12.

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations #10645837v57

Executive remuneration

term performance objectives. Care needs to be taken options with performance hurdles attached or the design of equity-based remuneration schemes, performance rights as part of their remuneration a owever, to ensure that they do not lead to "short- may lead to bias in their decision-making and ermism" on the part of senior executives or the taking of undue risks.

Non executive director remuneration

compromise their objectivity.

Termination payments: termination payments, if any, Termination payments: non-executive directors for senior executives should be agreed in advance and should not be provided with retirement benefits other he agreement should clearly address what will than superannuation. happon in the case of early termination.⁷⁶ There hould be no payment for removal for misconduct.

The disclosures regarding the remuneration of executive directors and other senior executives should include a summary of the entity's policies and practices regarding the deferral of performance-based remuneration and the reduction, cancellation or clawback of performance-based remuneration in the event of serious misconduct or a material misstatement in the entity's financial statements.

The disclosures regarding the remuneration of non-executive directors should include a summary of the entity's policies and practices regarding any minimum shareholding ("skin in the game") requirements for those directors.

Under the listing rules, a listed entity is required to obtain security holder approval for the issue of securities to directors or their associates under any equity-based incentive scheme.⁷⁷

A listed entity is not required under the Corporations Act⁷⁸ or the listing rules⁷⁹Listing Rules to obtain security holder approval for an equity-based incentive scheme involving the issue of securities to senior executives or other employees who are not directors. Notwithstanding this, a listed entity may find it useful to submit to security holders any proposed equity-based incentive scheme which will involve the issue of securities to senior executives or other employees prior to implementing it. This will provide the board with a timely assurance that the scheme is reasonable and acceptable to security holders.⁸⁰¹⁰⁵

Recommendation 8.2

A listed entity should not give performance-based remuneration or retirement benefits to non-executive directors.

uming it has sufficient headroom to issue securities without security holder approval under listing rules 7.1 and 7.1A. If it does not, then the employee incentive scheme will require security holder approval under listing rule 7.2 exception 13.

erporated in Australia (and their associates) to those who hold a managerial or exec sutive office in the company or in a related corporate.

 $^{^{77}}$ Listing rule 10.14. Note that this requirement does not apply to securities purchased on market under the terms of a scheme that ovides for purchases of securities by or on behalf of employees or directors (listing rule 10.16).

val for financial bo tion 208 of the Act

⁸⁰¹⁰⁵ If renewed every 3 years, it will also result in any issues of securities under the scheme not eating into the entity's placement capacity under listing rules Listing Rules 7.1 and 7.1A (listing rule Listing Rule 7.2 exception 13).

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations ultation Draft #10645837v57

Commentary

<u>Non-executive directors should not receive performance-based remuneration, as it may lead to bias in their</u> <u>decision-making and compromise their objectivity.</u>

It is generally acceptable for non-executive directors to receive securities as part of their remuneration to align their interests with the interests of other security holders. Security holder approval may be required for such equity payments.¹⁰⁶ However, non-executive directors should not receive options with performance hurdles attached or performance rights as part of their remuneration.

<u>No benefits should be given in connection with retirement as a non-executive director, other than</u> <u>superannuation.</u>

<u>Where remuneration or retirement benefits are not in accordance with this Recommendation, the entity</u> <u>should consider obtaining security holder approval.</u>

Recommendation 8.3

A listed entity which has an equity based remuneration scheme should:

(a) have a policy on whether participants are permitted to enter into transactions (whether through the use of derivatives or otherwise) which limit the economic risk of participating in the scheme; and

(b) disclose that policy or a summary of it.

A listed entity should:

- (a) have remuneration structures which can clawback or otherwise limit performance-based remuneration outcomes of its senior executives after award, payment or vesting; and
- (b) disclose (on a de-identified basis) the use of those provisions during the reporting period.

Commentary

Allowing participants in an equity-based remuneration scheme to hedge or otherwise limit the economic risk of participating in the scheme may act counter to the aims of the scheme and blur the relationship between remuneration and performance. A listed entity which has an equity-based remuneration scheme should establish a policy on whether participants can enter into these sorts of transactions and disclose that policy to investors. This applies whether the participants in the scheme are directors, senior executives or other employees.

<u>A listed entity should have structures which permit the board, supported by the remuneration committee, to exercise discretion in relation to executive remuneration.¹⁰⁷ This includes where performance-based remuneration has been awarded, paid or vested.</u>

<u>Disclosure for the purposes of paragraph (b) of this Recommendation may be on a de-identified basis, and</u> <u>may reference the entity's remuneration report or other financial reporting. The disclosure should, where</u> <u>appropriate, refer to:</u>

<u>the types of matters which triggered use of these provisions (for example, misconduct or a material</u>

 ¹⁰⁶ See Listing Rules Chapter 10. (Under section 211 of the Corporations Act, benefits that are *"reasonable remuneration"* are an exception to the requirement for member approval for financial benefits to related parties under section 208 of the Act.)
 ¹⁰⁷ See ASIC, INFO 245 Board oversight of executive variable pay decisions.

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations Consultation Draft #10645837v57

misstatement in the entity's financial statements);

- the number of current and previous senior executives impacted by the use of these provisions during the reporting period; and
- the impact on remuneration outcomes for those executives, such as the aggregate value or percentage of performance-based remuneration clawed back, reduced, cancelled or otherwise limited.

An entity may exclude disclosure of outcomes to the extent they relate to actions which are not finalised (for example, actions which are under investigation or are in dispute) or matters which cannot be appropriately de-identified.

<u>A listed entity which provides the information on an identified basis (for example, in its remuneration report</u> <u>disclosures)¹⁰⁸ will be taken to satisfy this Recommendation.</u>

¹⁰⁸ See section 300A of the Corporations Act.

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations Consultation Draft #10645837v57

Additional recommendations<u>Recommendations</u> that apply only in certain cases

The following additional recommendations<u>Recommendations</u> apply to the entities described within them.

Recommendation 9.1

A listed entity with a director who does not speak the language in which board or security holder meetings are held or key corporate documents are written should disclose the processes it has in place to ensure the director understands and can contribute to the discussions at those meetings and understands and can discharge their obligations in relation to those documents.

Recommendation 9.2

A listed entity established outside Australia should ensure that meetings of security holders are held at a reasonable place and time.

Recommendation 9.3

<u>The board of a listed entity established outside Australia should, before it approves the entity's</u> <u>financial statements for a financial period, receive from its CEO and CFO a declaration that, in their</u> <u>opinion:</u>

(a) the financial records of the entity have been properly maintained; and

(b) the financial statements comply with the appropriate accounting standards and give a true and fair view of the financial position and performance of the entity.

Recommendation 9.4

<u>A listed entity established outside Australia should ensure that all substantive resolutions at a meeting</u> of security holders are decided by a poll rather than by a show of hands.

Recommendation 9.5

<u>A listed entity established outside Australia should give security holders the option to receive</u> communications from, and send communications to, the entity and its security registry electronically.

Recommendation 9.6

A listed entity established outside Australia, and an externally managed listed entity that has an AGM, should ensure that its external auditor attends its AGM and is available to answer questions from security holders relevant to the audit.

Recommendation 9.7

A listed entity established outside Australia which has an equity-based remuneration scheme should:

- (a) have a policy on whether participants are permitted to enter into transactions (whether through the use of derivatives or otherwise) which limit the economic risk of participating in the scheme; and
- (b) disclose that policy or a summary of it.

<u>Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations</u> <u>Consultation Draft</u> #10645837v57

Recommendation 9.1

A listed entity with a director who does not speak the language in which board or security holder meetings are held or key corporate documents[&] are written should disclose the processes it has in place to ensure the director understands and can contribute to the discussions at those meetings and understands and can discharge their obligations in relation to those documents.

Commentary

This <u>recommendation</u><u>Recommendation</u> could apply to an entity established in Australia that conducts its board meetings in a language other than English⁸³¹⁰⁹ and has a director who does not speak that language.

It could also apply to an entity established outside Australia that holds its meetings and prepares key documents in a language other than English and has a director who does not speak that language.

It could further apply to an entity established in Australia or elsewhere that holds meetings or prepares key documents in English and has a director who does not speak English.

Key corporate documents include an entity's constitution, prospectus, PDS, corporate reports and continuous disclosure announcements.

Recommendation 9.2

A listed entity established outside Australia should ensure that meetings of security holders are held at a reasonable place and time.

Commentary

Australian listed entities are required under the Corporations Act⁸⁴ by law¹¹⁰ to hold meetings of security holders at a reasonable place and time. Listed entities established outside Australia should do likewise.

Recommendation 9.3

<u>The board of a listed entity established outside Australia should, before it approves the entity's financial</u> <u>statements for a financial period, receive from its CEO and CFO a declaration that, in their opinion:</u>

(a) the financial records of the entity have been properly maintained; and

(b) the financial statements comply with the appropriate accounting standards and give a true and fair view of the financial position and performance of the entity.

Commentary

This Recommendation largely mirrors the declaration required by law for listed entities established in Australia.¹¹¹

<u>The board of a listed entity established outside Australia that is not subject to section 295A of the</u> <u>Corporations Act or an equivalent provision under the law of its home jurisdiction should require an</u> <u>equivalent declaration from the CEO and CFO.</u>

^{** &}quot;Key corporate documents" include an entity's constitution, prospectus, PDS, corporate reports and continuous disclosure announcements.

⁸⁹¹⁰⁹ For example, because the chair or other directors are more comfortable speaking that language rather than English.

⁸⁴ Section 10 Sections 249R and 249S (listed companies) and section sections 252P and 252Q (listed trusts) of the Corporations Act.
111 Section 295A of the Corporations Act.

<u>Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations</u> <u>Consultation Draft</u> #10645837v57.

Recommendation 9.4

<u>A listed entity established outside Australia should ensure that all substantive resolutions at a meeting of security holders are decided by a poll rather than by a show of hands.</u>

Commentary

Substantive resolutions are those proposed resolutions that appear in a meeting's ballot paper.

<u>Australian listed entities have these requirements for voting by law.¹¹² Listed entities established outside</u> <u>Australia should do likewise; this reflects the principle of "one security one vote" which is enshrined in the</u> <u>Listing Rules.</u>

Recommendation 9.5

<u>A listed entity established outside Australia should give security holders the option to receive</u> <u>communications from, and send communications to, the entity and its security registry electronically.</u>

<u>Commentary</u>

Australian listed entities may provide security holders with electronic communications, unless they have elected otherwise.¹¹³ Listed entities established outside Australia should do likewise.

<u>Security holders should be notified at least annually that they may elect to receive electronic or physical</u> <u>documents, or to change that election at any time. For annual financial reporting, security holders may elect</u> <u>not to receive the document at all.</u>

Alternatively, a copy of the notice should appear in the investor section of the entity's website.

The entity should take reasonable steps to ensure that the member's election is complied with.

<u>Communications to security holders from the entity or its security registry should be formatted to be easily</u> readable on a computer screen and other electronic devices commonly used for that purpose and include a printer-friendly option for those security holders who wish to retain a hard copy of the communication.

Recommendation 9.6

A listed entity established outside Australia, and an externally managed listed entity that has an AGM, should ensure that its external auditor attends its AGM and is available to answer questions from security holders relevant to the audit.

Commentary

The opportunity for security holders to question a listed entity's external auditor at the AGM is an important safeguard for the integrity of the corporate reporting process. That opportunity is afforded to security holders in

<u>Australian</u> listed companies established in Australia by provisions in the Corporations Act.⁸⁵<u>have this</u> requirement by law.¹¹⁴

These Corporations Act provisions do not apply to listed Listed entities established outside Australia-

Listed, and listed trusts established in Australia as registered managed investment schemes are not required

¹¹² Section 250JA (listed companies) and section 253J (listed trusts) of the Corporations Act.

¹¹³ Sections 110C, 110D and 110K of the Corporations Act.

⁸⁵¹¹⁴ Sections 250PA, 250RA and 250T of the Corporations Act.

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations Consultation Draft #10645837v57

by the Corporations Act to which have an AGM-and, even if they do, they also are not subject to these Corporations Act provisions should do likewise.

Despite this, a listed entity established outside Australia, and an externally managed entity that has an AGM, should ensure that its external auditor attends its AGM and is available to answer questions from security holders relevant to the audit.

Recommendation 9.7

A listed entity established outside Australia which has an equity-based remuneration scheme should:

- (a) have a policy on whether participants are permitted to enter into transactions (whether through the use of derivatives or otherwise) which limit the economic risk of participating in the scheme: and
- (b) disclose that policy or a summary of it.

<u>Commentary</u>

<u>Allowing participants in an equity-based remuneration scheme to hedge or otherwise limit the economic risk</u> of participating in the scheme may act counter to the aims of the scheme and blur the relationship between remuneration and performance.

A listed entity established outside Australia which has an equity-based remuneration scheme should establish a policy on whether participants can enter into these sorts of transactions and disclose that policy to investors. This applies whether the participants in the scheme are directors, senior executives or other employees. (Australian companies have a similar requirement by law.)¹¹⁵

77/8

¹¹⁵ Section 206J of the Corporations Act prohibits the key management personnel of an ASX listed company established in Australia, or a closely related party of such personnel, from entering into an arrangement that would have the effect of limiting their exposure to risk relating to an element of their remuneration that either has not vested, or has vested but remains subject to a holding lock.

The application of the **recommendations**<u>Recommendations</u> to externally managed listed entities

As noted previously, some recommendations<u>Recommendations</u> require modification when applied to externally managed listed entities.⁸⁶ Investors in an externally managed listed entity generally invest in the listed entity on the basis of the management expertise of the responsible entity. In that context, an appropriate line needs to be drawn between corporate governance matters affecting the responsible entity, which will primarily be a concern for the board and security holders of the responsible entity, and corporate governance matters affecting the listed entity.

Recommendations that apply to externally managed listed entities

Recommendations <u>2.32.4</u>, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, <u>3.4,</u> 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 6.1, 6.2, 6.3, <u>6.4, 6.5,</u> 7.1, 7.2, 7.3 and <u>7.4, 9.3, 9.4, 9.5</u>, apply to an externally managed listed entity.

Recommendation 9-39.6 will also apply to an externally managed listed entity that has an AGM.

The disclosures in relation to recommendations 2.3 <u>Recommendations 2.4</u> (disclosure of independent directors), 3.1 (values), and 3.2 (code of conduct), 3.3 (whistleblower policy) and 3.4 (anti-bribery and corruption policy) should be made in relation to the responsible entity in its corporate capacity. In the case of recommendation 2.3 <u>Recommendation 2.4</u>, independence should be assessed and disclosed vis-à-vis the responsible entity rather than the listed entity.

The disclosures in relation to recommendations<u>Recommendations 3.3 (stakeholders)</u>, 5.1 (disclosure policy), 5.2 (copies of announcements to board), 5.3 (investor and analyst presentations), 6.3 (facilitate participation at meetings of security holders), 6.47.4 (material risks), 9.4 (vote by poll rather than show of hands), 6.59.5 (electronic communications) and 7.4 (environmental and social risks) should be made in relation to the listed entity being managed by the responsible entity.

The disclosures in relation to <u>recommendationsRecommendations</u> 4.1 (audit committee), 4.2 (<u>CEO and CFO</u> <u>certification of financial statements</u>), <u>4.3 (verification of periodic</u> corporate reports), <u>4.3 (audit review</u>), 6.1 (website disclosures), 6.2 (investor relations), 7.1 (risk committee), 7.2 (annual risk review) and 7.3 (internal audit), <u>9.3 (CEO and CFO certification of financial statements</u>) should be made in relation to the specific processes and facilities the responsible entity has put in place to perform its role as the manager of the listed entity.

In relation to <u>recommendations</u><u>Recommendations</u> 4.1 (audit committee) and 7.1 (risk committee), if the entity is a listed trust with a compliance committee, ⁸⁷¹¹⁶ the board of the responsible entity may instead of establishing a separate audit or risk committee, adapt the role of the compliance committee to cover the responsibilities that would ordinarily be undertaken by the audit or risk committee. If it does so, it should make the disclosures mentioned in <u>recommendations</u><u>Recommendations</u> 4.1(a) and 7.1(a) in relation to the compliance committee.

^{** &}quot;Externally managed listed entity" is defined in the glossary.

^{87<u>116</u>} Under section 601JA(1) of the Corporations Act, the responsible entity of a registered managed investment scheme is required to establish a compliance committee if less than half of the directors of the responsible entity are "*external directors*" (as defined in section 601JA(2) of that Act).

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations Consultation Draft #10645837v57

In addressing <u>recommendationRecommendation</u> 7.2 (annual risk review), the board of the responsible entity should have regard to the guidance given by ASIC about the obligation⁸⁸¹¹⁷ of a responsible entity to maintain adequate risk management systems in *Regulatory Guide 259 Risk management systems of responsible entities*.

Recommendations that do not apply to externally managed listed entities

Recommendations 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, 1.6, 1.7, 2.1, 2.2, 2.4<u>2.3</u>, 2.5, 2.6, <u>2.7, 3.4</u>, 8.1, 8.2, 8.3, 9.1, <u>9.2</u> and <u>9.29.7</u> do not apply to an externally managed listed entity. The entity may simply state that these recommendations Recommendations are "not applicable" in its corporate governance statement.

Additional disclosures that an externally managed listed entity should make

In lieu of <u>recommendation</u><u>Recommendation</u> 1.1, an externally managed listed entity should instead comply with the following alternative <u>recommendation</u><u>Recommendation</u>:

Alternative to recommendation<u>Recommendation</u> 1.1 for externally managed listed entities:

The responsible entity of an externally managed listed entity should disclose:

- (a) the arrangements between the responsible entity and the listed entity for managing the affairs of the listed entity; and
- (b) the role and responsibility of the board of the responsible entity for overseeing those arrangements.

Commentary

In the case of an externally managed listed entity, the performance of the responsible entity will usually drive the performance of the listed entity. It is important that investors in the entity understand the arrangements between the responsible entity and the listed entity for managing the affairs of the listed entity and also the role and responsibility of the board of the responsible entity for overseeing those arrangements.

In addressing this alternative <u>recommendationRecommendation</u>, the responsible entity should disclose the extent to which the responsible entity has outsourced any material aspects of the management of the listed entity and how the responsible entity oversees the performance of the outsourced service provider.⁴⁹ (An entity will generally be liable for any acts or omissions committed by the outsourced service provider.¹¹⁸)

In lieu of <u>recommendationsRecommendations</u> 8.1, 8.2<u>, 8.3</u> and <u>8.39.7</u>, an externally managed listed entity should instead comply with the following alternative <u>recommendationRecommendation</u>:

Alternative to recommendations<u>Recommendations</u> 8.1, 8.2<u>. 8.3</u> and 8.3<u>9.7</u> for externally managed listed entities:

An externally managed listed entity should clearly disclose the terms governing the remuneration of the manager.

⁸⁸¹¹⁷ See section 912A(1)(h) of the Corporations Act.

⁸⁹ Noting that the entity will generally be liable for any acts or omissions committed by the outsourced service provider under section¹¹⁸ <u>Section</u> 601FB of the Corporations Act.

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations Consultation Draft #10645837v57

Commentary

The management fees (including performance-related fees) payable by an externally managed listed entity to its manager are a key focus for investors. Investors should be able to easily locate a summary of the amount and composition of those fees.

Simply including a copy of the entity's constitution (if that is the relevant document which governs the calculation and payment of management fees) or management agreement on the entity's website is not sufficient for this purpose. There should be a clear and concise summary of the fees payable to the manager on the entity's website, as well as a cross-reference to the specific clause or clauses in the relevant document setting out those fees where investors can go for further details.

Coparte Sovemance Principles and Recommendations

Glossary

<u>10% holder:</u>

- in relation to a listed entity that is an Australian company or registered managed investment scheme, a person who has a "substantial holding" in the listed entity under paragraph (a) of the definition of that term in section 9 of the Corporations Act, as if the reference in that paragraph to 5% was a reference to 10%;
- in relation to a listed company that is not an Australian company, a person who would have a "substantial holding" in the company under paragraph (a) of the definition of "substantial holder" in section 9 of the Corporations Act if the references in that paragraph to a company and its securities were references to the foreign company and its securities, and the reference to 5% was a reference to 10%; and
- in relation to a listed trust which is not a registered managed investment scheme or which is a foreign trust, a person who would have a "substantial holding" in the trust under paragraph (a) of the definition of that term in section 9 of the Corporations Act if the references in that paragraph to a scheme and interests in the scheme were references to the trust and units in the trust, and the reference to 5% was a reference to 10%.

AGM: the annual general meeting of security holders.

ASIC: Australian Securities and Investments Commission.

assurance engagement: means an audit by an external auditor, a review by an external auditor or other external assurance practitioner, or a reasonable or limited assurance engagement by an external assurance practitioner, in accordance with relevant audit and assurance standards.

ASX: ASX Limited.

board: in the case of an internally managed listed entity, the directors of the entity acting as a board and, in the case of an externally managed listed entity, the directors of the responsible entity acting as a board.

CEO: in the case of an internally managed listed entity, the chief executive officer of the entity (by whatever title called) and, in the case of an externally managed listed entity, the chief executive officer of the responsible entity (by whatever title called).

CFO: in the case of an internally managed listed entity, the chief financial officer of the entity (by whatever title called) and, in the case of an externally managed listed entity, the chief financial officer of the responsible entity (by whatever title called).

commentary: the discussion headed "Commentary" that follows a <u>recommendationRecommendation</u>. The commentary does not form part of the <u>recommendationRecommendation</u> and does not give rise to a reporting obligation.

corporate governance statement: the statement made by a listed entity under listing rule<u>Listing Rule</u> 4.10.3 stating the extent to which it has followed the Council's recommendations<u>Recommendations</u>.

Corporations Act: the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth).

director: in the case of an internally managed listed entity, a director of the entity and, in the case of an externally managed listed entity, a director of the responsible entity.

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations Consultation Draft #10645837v57 disclose: when used in a recommendationRecommendation, means :

- to include the information in the entity's annual report or on its website; and
- (if the Recommendation requires disclosure of a policy) disclosure with any personal or confidential information redacted (such as the names and contact details of individual staff).

employee incentive scheme: the same meaning as in the <u>listing rules</u> but does not include a contribution or salary sacrifice plan where a director acquires securities in the entity at their market value.

environmental risks: the potential-negative consequences (including systemic risks and the risk of consequential-regulatory responses) to a listed entity if its activities adversely affect the natural environment or if its activities are adversely affected by changes in the natural environment. This includes the risks associated with the entity polluting or degrading the environment, adding to the carbon levels in the atmosphere, or threatening a region's biodiversity or cultural heritage. It also includes the risks for the entity associated with climate change, reduced air quality and water scarcity.

executive director: in the case of an internally managed listed entity, a director of the entity who is also an executive of the listed entity or a child entity and, in the case of an externally managed listed entity, a director of the responsible entity who is also an executive of the responsible entity or a related body corporate.

external assurance practitioner: an independent external assurance practitioner, including an auditor.

externally managed listed entity: a listed trust or stapled structure that is managed by an external responsible entity.

<u>governance risk: the potential consequences to a listed entity relating to the adequacy of its governance</u> <u>structures, internal processes, people or systems, including by failing to prevent inappropriate, unethical or</u> <u>unlawful behaviour. It may include financial risk and reputational risk.</u>

independent director: a director who is free of any interest, position or relationship that might influence, or reasonably be perceived to influence, in a material respect their capacity to bringan independent <u>judgementjudgment</u> to bear on issues before the board and to act in the best interests of the entity as a whole rather than those of an individual security holder or other party.

internally managed listed entity: a listed entity that is not an externally managed listed entity (this includes a listed company and a listed trust or stapled structure that has an internal responsible entity).

listed entity: an entity admitted to the official list of ASX as an ASX Listing. The term does not extend to entities admitted to the official list of ASX as an ASX Debt Listing or as an ASX Foreign Exempt Listing (these entities are not subject to listing rule_Listing Rule 4.10.3).

listing rule
Listing Rule: an ASX listing rule.

market sensitive information: has the meaning given to it in ASX Compliance Guidance Note 8 Continuous Disclosure: Listing Rules 3.1-3.1B.

non-executive director: a director who is not an executive director.

periodic corporate report: an entity's annual directors' report, <u>sustainability report</u>, annual and half yearly financial statements, quarterly activity report, quarterly cash flow report, integrated report, sustainability report, or similar periodic report prepared for the benefit of investors.

principle<u>Principle</u>: one of the 8 enumerated principles in this document.

```
<u>Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations</u>
<u>Consultation Draft</u>
#1064<u>5837v57</u>
```

recommendation<u>Recommendation</u>: one of the 35<u>33</u> general and <u>37</u> additional enumerated recommendations in this document.

reporting period: the financial period covered by an entity's annual report.

responsible entity: the entity responsible for managing an externally managed listed entity.

security holders: in the case of a listed company means shareholders and in the case of a listed trust means unitholders.

senior executive:

- in the case of an internally managed listed entity:
 - except in recommendation 1.5<u>Recommendation 3.4(c)(3)(A)</u>, an executive who is a member of the key management personnel of the entity, including an executive director but not including a non-executive director; and
 - •-in recommendation 1.5<u>Recommendation 3.4</u>(c)(3)(A), the listed entity should define what it means by "senior executive"; or
- in the case of an externally managed listed entity, an executive who is a member of the key
 management personnel of the responsible entity, including an executive director but not including a
 non-executive director.

senior independent director: an independent director nominated to perform this role.

social risks: the potential-negative consequences (including systemic risks and the risk of consequential regulatory responses) to a listed entity if its activities adversely affect human society or if its activities are adversely affected by changes in human society. This includes the risks associated with the entity or its suppliers engaging in modern slavery, aiding human conflict, facilitating crime or corruption, mistreating employees, customers or suppliers, or harming the local community (including from failing to protect data, or the inappropriate application of artificial intelligence). It also includes the risks for the entity associated with large scale mass migration, pandemics or shortages of food, water or shelter.

substantial holder:

- in relation to a listed entity that is an Australian company or registered managed investment scheme, a person who has a "substantial holding" in the listed entity under paragraph (a) of the definition of that term in section 9 of the Corporations Act;
- in relation to a listed company that is not an Australian company, a person who would have a
 "substantial holding" in the company under paragraph (a) of the definition of "substantial holder" in
 section 9 of the Corporations Act if the references in that paragraph to a company and its securities
 wore references to the foreign company and its securities; and
- in relation to a listed trust which is not a registered managed investment scheme or which is a
 foreign trust, a person who would have a "substantial holding" in the trust under paragraph (a) of
 the definition of that term in section 9 of the Corporations Act if the references in that paragraph to
 a scheme and interests in the scheme were references to the trust and units in the trust.

Workplace Gender Equality Act: the Workplace Gender Equality Act 2012 (Cth).

Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations Consultation Draft #10645837v57

Resource materials

How to approach corporate governance disclosures

ASX Compliance, Guidance Note 9: Disclosure of corporate governance practices

Principle 1: Lay solid foundations for management and oversight

Australian Standard AS 4811-2022 Workforce screening

<u> Auscheck: < https://www.auscheck.gov.au/ ></u>

Principle 2: Structure the board to be effective and add value

<u>Cambridge Institute for Sustainability Leadership, Future of Boards Final Report: Summary and Synthesis.</u> <u>Phase 1, Part 4 (2023)</u>

Governance Institute of Australia, Good Governance Guide Creating and disclosing a board skills matrix

Principle 3: Instil a culture of acting lawfully, ethically and responsibly

ASIC, REP 758 Good practices for handling whistleblower disclosures (March 2023)

<u>Australian Council of Superannuation Investors and Australian Institute of Company Directors, Governing</u> <u>company culture: Insights from Australian directors (December 2020)</u>

Australian Government, Department of Industry, Science and Resources, Australia's AI Ethics Principles

<u>Australian Human Rights Commission : < https://humanrights.gov.au/ ></u>

Australian Institute of Company Directors, Directors' "best interests" duty in practice (July 2022)

<u>Global Compact Network Australia, KPMG Australia and the University of Technology Sydney, The Australian</u> <u>Business Guide to Implementing the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (November 2020)</u>

<u>Institute of Internal Auditors – Australia, The Ethics Centre, the Governance Institute of Australia and</u> <u>Chartered Accountants Australia and New Zealand Managing Culture: A good practice guide (December</u> 2017) [*NB: Proposed to update in 2024, with AICD.*]

<u>KPMG, ASX Corporate Governance Council: Diversity - Analysis of diversity disclosures made by listed entities</u> <u>between 1 January 2021 and 31 December 2021 (2022)</u>

<u>OECD, OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises on Responsible Business Conduct, OECD Publishing,</u> <u>Paris (2023)</u>

Reconciliation Australia: < https://www.reconciliation.org.au/ >

<u>Workplace Gender Equality Agency, WGEA Data Explorer: < https://www.wgea.gov.au/data-statistics/data-</u> explorer >

84/86

Principle 4: Safeguard the integrity of corporate reports

ASIC, INFO 183 Directors and financial reporting

ASIC, INFO 196 Audit quality – The Role of directors and audit committees

ASIC, INFO 223 Audit quality – The role of others

<u>Australian Institute of Company Directors and Auditing and Assurance Standards Board, Periodic</u> <u>Comprehensive Review of the External Auditor Guide for Audit Committees (2022)</u>

<u>Australian Institute of Company Directors, Australian Auditing Standards Board and Institute of Internal</u> <u>Auditors, Audit Committees: A Guide to Good Practice (</u>2017)

<u>Australian Institute of Company Directors and Herbert Smith Freehills Bringing together ESG: Board</u> <u>structures and sustainability (November 2022)</u>

Australian Government, AUASB Standards

Principle 5: Make timely, balanced and accurate disclosure

ASIC, Regulatory Guide 62 Better disclosure for investors

ASIC, INFO 271 How to avoid greenwashing when offering or promoting sustainability-related products

<u>ASIC, REP 393 Handling of Confidential Information: Briefings and unannounced corporate transactions (May</u> 2014)

ASX Compliance, Guidance Note 8: Continuous Disclosure: Listing Rules 3.1 – 3.1B

<u>Australian Competition and Consumer Commission, Making environmental claims: A guide for business</u> (December 2023)

<u>Chartered Secretaries Australia (now Governance Institute of Australia) and the Australian Investor Relations</u> <u>Association, Handling confidential information: Principles of good practice (2013) [NB: Being updated.]</u>

Principle 6: Respect the rights of security holders

ASIC, FAQs – Virtual meetings for companies and registered schemes

<u>Governance Institute of Australia, Australian Institute of Company Directors, Australasian Investor Relations</u> <u>Association and Law Council of Australia, Electronic Governance Reforms: Meetings and Documents (14</u> <u>March 2022)</u>

Principle 7: Recognise and manage risk

<u>APRA, Prudential Inquiry into the Commonwealth Bank of Australia (April 2018)</u>

ASIC, Regulatory Guide 247 Effective disclosure in an operating and financial review (August 2019)

Australian Accounting Standards Board, Australian Sustainability Reporting Standards

<u>Australian Institute of Company Directors and Herbert Smith Freehills Bringing together ESG: Board</u> <u>structures and sustainability (November 2022)</u>

<u>IIA Global Internal Audit Standards: < https://www.theiia.org/en/ ></u>

International Organization for Standardization ISO 31000 Risk Management

World Economic Forum, The Global Risks Report 2024 19th Edition: Insight Report (10 January 2024)

85/86

Principle 8: Remunerate fairly and responsibly

ASIC, INFO 245 Board oversight of executive variable pay decisions

<u>Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations</u> <u>Consultation Draft</u> #10645837v57

© 2024 ASX Corporate Governance Council

All rights reserved 2024.